1	In the Matter of the
2	New York State Office of Indigent Legal Services
3	Public Hearing on
4	Eligibility for Assignment of Counsel
5	
6	Location and Time of Hearing
7	Albany County Courthouse
8	16 Eagle Street, Courtroom 427
9	Albany, New York 12207
10	Thursday, June 16, 2015, at 11 a.m.
11	
12	Panel Members:
13	John R. Dunne
14	Michael G. Breslin
15	William Leahy
16	Angela Olivia Burton
17	Joanne Macri
18	Speakers:
19	Albany County Executive Daniel McCoy
20	Robert Linville, Esq.
21	Greg Lubrow, Esq.
22	Hon. Dr. Carrie A. O'Hare
23	Lee C. Kindlon, Esq.
24	James Milstein, Esq.
25	Melanie Trimble, on behalf of NYCLU

ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility 1 2 MR. DUNNE: Good morning. There's 3 nothing better than seeing a prompt full 4 courtroom. Delighted that you're all here. 5 My name is John Dunne. I'm one of the 6 directors of the Office of Indigent 7 Services, and just parenthetically, so that 8 you know we're all on the same team, I've 9 been involved with this issue even before 10 Gideon vs. Wainwright, if you can believe 11 And it's been a great half century that. 12 ever since Gideon, and your presence here 13 this morning indicates how important the 14 work is, but, in particular, how important 15 the legacy of Gideon is. 16 So I'm delighted to welcome you 17 And thank all of you for joining us here. 18 here today to discuss eligibility for 19 assignment of counsel. 2.0 Over 50 years ago, the supreme court 21 announced Gideon against Wainwright, that any person who is, in their language, "too 22 23 poor to hire a lawyer must be provided with 2.4 counsel during the criminal court 25 proceeding." And I remember when 18B was

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	debated and enacted back there in 1965. It
3	made New York one of the leaders in
4	implementing and making a reality out of
5	the message of Gideon.
6	I'm sure you're all familiar with
7	the various litigations that have been
8	pending since that time. Some of you are
9	also aware of some of the expressed
10	concerns of local government with regard to
11	carrying the increasing heavy burden of
12	providing proper counsel in criminal cases.
13	And the purpose of our hearing today is to
14	listen to you very closely, because, under
15	the statute, the office has a very serious
16	responsibility in further defining and
17	hoping to implement rational standards for
18	determining eligibility.
19	I'm not going to read further these
20	remarks that Bill Leahy has prepared, along
21	with his staff, but I would like to make
22	that part of the record. And before we
23	call upon the first witness, I'd like to
24	first say that our dear friend, Michael
25	Breslin, who is a very active and

3

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	contributing member of the office, board
3	will be here. He's off attending to a very
4	personal responsibility to attend a
5	funeral, but I'm sure all of us would be
6	happy to have our very dear and respected
7	colleague here to join us. He's a very
8	active member of the board.
9	So all of you recognize the name, if
10	not the smiling Irish face, of our
11	executive director, Bill Leahy, who has
12	really breathed life into this office that
13	was created only three or four years ago
14	and has been a strong leader and has
15	assembled an extraordinarily capable staff.
16	Bill had a similar position in
17	Massachusetts with a responsibility much
18	like he's fulfilling now. And we've been
19	privileged to have him as our leader.
20	To my left is Angela Olivia Burton,
21	who is the director of quality enhancement
22	for for parent representation at in
23	our office. And you will be hearing from
24	her. Just be prepared. When when
25	Angela asks you a question, you better have

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	the answer. Because no one knows much more
3	about the subject than she.
4	And to far right is Joanne Macri,
5	who is our director of regional
6	initiatives. And that's really what we're
7	talking about to a great extent here this
8	morning.
9	So if our director, Bill Leahy, has
10	nothing further to add, shall we request
11	the first witness?
12	MR. LEAHY: Please. And that's the
13	county executive, Dan McCoy.
14	MR. DUNNE: You do us great honor
15	being here, County Executive McCoy. I know
16	you have the burdens of your office, but I
17	also know you have an awareness of what's
18	involved in implementing this program that
19	we're trying to achieve. And I appreciate
20	you coming here and giving us your
21	thoughts.
22	MR. MCCOY: John, I appreciate that,
23	and I appreciate the opportunity to speak
24	in front of the board, because it is a
25	tough issue. It's not just about the five

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	counties up north. It's about all 57
3	counties up north that are faced with this
4	problem. And I believe roughly it's about
5	\$174 million [inaudible] with Albany county
6	paid to the indigent defense fund.
7	But, first of all, may I thank the
8	Office of Indigent Legal Services,
9	especially the executive director, Bill
10	Leahy, for this opportunity to speak
11	regarding the ever increasing problem of
12	providing first-rate legal assistance to
13	indigent defense in both family and
14	criminal courts in our county and our
15	state.
16	While I fully understand and
17	appreciate the focus today is on
18	eligibility requirements, I trust and
19	understand how difficult it is to isolate
20	aspects from the multiple problems created
21	by constitute obligation under Gideon,
22	which was nice to hear that you're a part
23	of that. So I must begin by saying, though
24	it's my belief that providing a legal
25	defense to criminal indigent is extremely

6

-	
1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	important. I've always believed that the
3	representatives must be first-rate and
4	failure to provide that would have a
5	dangerous consequence to the intercity and
6	our county, and I and for the whole
7	entire New York State.
8	It must be maintained, however, that
9	the state's decision to place the ownness
10	on constitute obligation on the counties
11	has created intolerant [inaudible] for
12	Upstate county budgets. As I was saying
13	earlier, for all 57 of us. It's with this
14	in mind that I have drafted and had
15	presented to the New York State Legislature
16	a bill that would require New York State to
17	absorb the cost of this representation and
18	share it fairly amongst all counties and
19	enhance we are talking legal and on
20	downward to ensure that Hurrell-Harring's
21	settlement, apply to all counties, not
22	mainly the five counties who brought the
23	litigation.
24	With that background in mind, I'll
25	attempt to address the issues of

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	eligibility. For starters, the importance
3	of eligibility defense obtains obtaining
4	this help. I believe we're all aware, as a
5	general rule, criminal defense will hire
6	private attornies to represent in the
7	unshaken belief that this will lead to a
8	better result.
9	However, I believe we all are aware
10	that the system can be abused. I believe
11	that ILS can help end the abuse by
12	suggesting or implementing certain
13	procedures. All courts should utilize the
14	same form to retain representation.
15	In Albany County there are a dozen
16	criminal courts with many using different
17	methods to decide on eligibility. ILS
18	shall profligate a form to be used
19	statewide. ILS shall provide some
20	investigation service for sufficient for
21	for applicants that might be have
22	some fraud behind it.
23	If the court, public defender,
24	conflict defender, or the district attorney
25	believe the system to be gained in a

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	particular situation, there is a need to
3	have the matter investigated to see if
4	fraud has occurred in a given instance. In
5	in situations with large bails is
6	posted, courts must inquire as to where the
7	money came from. My suggestion is that the
8	bails of over \$20,000 is posted, ILS shall
9	propagate instructions with OCA approval
10	that the judges must inquire under oath as
11	to the source of the funds and with those
12	of the other funds were not utilized to
13	retain counsel.
14	The common practice of saving money
15	for bail and getting free legal service
16	should be closely examined. It is
17	certainly true by examples of suggestions
18	that I have made make a dent can be made
19	into an ever increasing and unbelievable
20	cost to the counties. It is my further
21	belief that this legislation that I have
22	opposed should become law. Our state will
23	probably defend those in need, providing a
24	needed collateral service and begin to
25	amend the major crisis in the criminal

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	justice system in New York State. That
3	that begins with the overburden of public
4	defenders, the horror of the mass
5	incarceration, and the extent of one system
6	of justice for the rich and one for the
7	poor.
8	Thank you.
9	MR. DUNNE: Well, you've given us a
10	great deal to think about, particularly
11	with regard to this the examination into
12	where did that bail money come from and
13	what opportunities might be available for
14	hiring counsel rather than going to public.
15	Bill?
16	MR. LEAHY: Yes. Executive McCoy, I
17	wonder if you could address the the
18	problem that we frequently hear about on
19	the other end of the spectrum of
20	eligibility and that to put kind of a
21	typical example to you, the use of the
22	federal poverty guidelines or some
23	multiplier of those guidelines as an
24	absolute decision point without regard to
25	the statutory requirement that question

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	should be and legally is, can you afford to
3	hire a lawyer.
4	So to put that into perspective, a
5	hundred twenty-five percent of the federal
6	poverty guidelines, which is a common
7	commonly used number, I believe, in the
8	courts throughout New York, amounts to less
9	than \$30,000 total income for a family of
10	four. So if you have a sole breadwinner
11	facing, let's says, a DWI charge, the
12	application of that and then let's say
13	it's a second DWI charge with the real
14	possibility of incarceration, loss of
15	license, and so forth, real ramifications
16	for the individual, is there any concern on
17	the other end that people who legally
18	cannot really afford to hire a lawyer are
19	being shut out from their access to the
20	Gideon right?
21	MR. MCCOY: I totally agree with
22	you. I think it does have a double-edge
23	sword effect, because if people are using
24	the system that really don't need it and
25	the people that need the system that we

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	could put more time into and really
3	evaluate the case.
4	Because our public defenders are
5	overburdened. They handle more caseload
6	Upstate than Downstate. And, you know, to
7	really sit there and say you go to the
8	jail and our public defender might visit
9	16 inmates. A private attorney visits one.
10	So they're spending that one-on-one quality
11	time really getting to understand a case.
12	Wherein the other situation, we are trying
13	our best to give that person the best
14	defense that we can, but we just don't have
15	the resources.
16	So I totally agree with you that if
17	we get people that don't need the system
18	and we can focus on the people that do, the
19	outcome in court is going to be a lot
20	different.
21	MR. DUNNE: Thank you.
22	MS. BURTON: Thanks for your input,
23	Mr. McCoy. And with respect to your we
24	certainly agree that we want to make sure
25	that our focus is on those who cannot

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	afford to hire an attorney and that we're
3	not subsidizing people who actually can
4	afford to hire an attorney. And I was just
5	wondering you mentioned and so one of
6	your main points was in terms of
7	identifying fraud and investigating that
8	and figuring it out.
9	Can you speak to the what you've
10	seen in terms of Albany County or anywhere
11	else as to the magnitude of that problem
12	and how instituting the suggestions that
13	you've made can help to alleviate that
14	that problem?
15	MR. MCCOY: [Inaudible] across the
16	state and give the judges that right to
17	say, okay, I gave you \$20,000 bail; well,
18	how did you post that? If you had the
19	money and collateral to do that, it means
20	you might have the means to pay for some of
21	your legal defense coming from the system.
22	We don't want to hurt anyone from
23	getting fair representation, but we just
24	want to make sure you know, we have
25	defendants that come to court and they're

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	driving they're driving, you know,
3	Jaguars or BMWs [inaudible]. What do you
4	need for me if you have this means of
5	transportation or you have money at your
6	disposable to really pay for your own
7	defense attorney. And in takes away from
8	the person that really needs it.
9	And the thing that bothers me with
10	the system, when we start looking at the
11	statistics of Albany County say I have
12	money and I come and I get the best DWI
13	attorney. I get out of it. Now, we have
14	someone that doesn't can't afford that
15	attorney, comes in with a public defender.
16	And I don't want I think our public
17	defenders in Albany County, all the public
18	defender do a great job.
19	But, again, their caseloads are
20	different. And the outcome is different.
21	Same judge, two different outcomes. Why is
22	that? You know, and if you really start to
23	look at the statistics, that's starting to
24	bother me, because I'm sitting there going,
25	they're not getting a fair shake. Not

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	because the you know, it's kind of a
3	system set up against them, because they
4	can't fairly represent them fully, because
5	people are abusing the system that aren't
6	entitled to the system.
7	MS. MACRI: So just in terms of
8	do you recommend any type of baselines that
9	might be something where we sort of have a
10	given where they should at least be
11	entitled to counsel at, say, for example,
12	the beginning of arraignment where that
13	baseline might be I don't know, someone
14	being incarcerated, for example? Would
15	that be enough to initially establish that
16	the attorney be provided immediately at
17	arraignment?
18	MR. MCCOY: You know, we came up
19	with \$20,000 because that's what we were
20	looking at the threshold, but that's why we
21	said we'll leave it to the board and judges
22	to really find out, you know, what really
23	works, you know, what would work across the
24	State of New York.
25	Because Upstate is going to be
	u

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	different than Downstate, you know, because
3	incomes are different. Up north is going
4	to be even different because the incomes
5	are typically lower, so you really got to
6	find that that medium that works across
7	the state.
8	But, no, I do think it will make a
9	difference. If you know, people that
10	are incarcerated, we can look at and we
11	have some of the statistics we can share
12	with the board that basically does show
13	that, you know, there are people that need
14	it and there's people that don't.
15	But we're wasting valuable resources
16	by investigating them, going after the
17	background, see if they have resources, if
18	they have money, if they have a home. You
19	know, this is taking away from things that
20	that we need to do to really defend that
21	person or defend someone else. It's just
22	time and, you know, resources.
23	MR. DUNNE: I know that your
24	position the responsibilities are so
25	broad and for you to have given this much

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	attention to this aspect of it is really
3	gratifying. I'd really like to follow up
4	on the one point that you made about this
5	whole question of bail and inquiring the
6	source of it and cautiously say, after
7	discussions with OCA, I think we are very
8	fortunate that Judge Breslin is in an
9	administrator position today, and he
10	probably knows as much about the criminal
11	court as anyone in this room.
12	MR. MCCOY: Correct.
13	MR. DUNNE: Have you had any
14	discussion with him on any aspect of the
15	question of eligibility?
16	MR. MCCOY: We have. We've had our
17	kind of turn to talk to Judge Breslin. And
18	we've gone back and forth. This is data we
19	put together, you know, working within the
20	system. Obviously, we're in a unique
21	situation being here in the capital and
22	being so close to some of the great courts,
23	so we do have a little bit more dialogue
24	than, say, someone up north or out west.
25	So it's just that's why we looked at that

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	number, what would work, what wouldn't work
3	here in the Capital Region. And I'm not
4	saying \$20,000 would work across the state.
5	Just that number, we felt, was the
6	threshold that if you can drop \$20,000 on
7	bail, then you can afford someone other
8	than a public defender your own
9	attorney.
10	MR. DUNNE: Well, you doubt noticed
11	that our board chairman just happens to be
12	the chief judge of the State of New York,
13	so
14	MR. MCCOY: I did.
15	MR. DUNNE: we will we will
16	certainly take up your suggestion with him,
17	but I'm glad to know that you talked with
18	Judge Breslin.
19	MR. MCCOY: Oh, no, he's been very
20	helpful.
21	MR. DUNNE: Okay. Any other
22	questions?
23	MR. LEAHY: No more questions I
24	think, Mr. Executive, but I didn't want to
25	let you leave without repeating to the

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	audience what I said to you earlier, which
3	is my congratulations to you and Albany
4	County on securing the grant for the
5	regional immigration assistance centers.
6	That puts Albany County in the position of
7	operating one of our six regional centers.
8	Shared with you an article that just came
9	out about New York State leading the nation
10	with respect to immigrant defense. And
11	Albany, we're very proud to say, is playing
12	a lead role in that by what we're seeing,
13	the advice and support of the attorneys,
14	not just in this county but in the whole
15	what we call the north region north
16	country. Northern New York region
17	MR. MCCOY: Yes.
18	MR. DUNNE: which Albany is is
19	a very southern extremity.
20	And also I want to salute you for
21	your leadership and your working with
22	Representative Assemblywoman, rather,
23	Fahy, and proposing a bill, which is
24	working its way through the legislature,
25	which would provide some very necessary

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	state support, both in terms of the funding
3	and in terms of oversight. That would help
4	us all realize the dream, I think we all
5	share and you have articulated, that there
6	can't two systems of justice: One for the
7	rich and one for the poor; there needs to
8	be one system of effective representation
9	for everybody. So we thank you very much
10	for your testimony, sir.
11	MR. MCCOY: Thank you. I'm honored
12	to be here. Thank you for your time, and I
13	do appreciate you taking the time out of
14	your busy schedules to really hear this and
15	evaluate it and help us come to a point in
16	the system that maybe does fairly represent
17	everybody. So thank you.
18	MR. DUNNE: Unlike yours, ours is
19	not 365 days a year.
20	MR. MCCOY: Correct.
21	Thank you again.
22	MR. DUNNE: Particularly fortunate
23	to have, I'll call, one of the men in the
24	trenches. Robert Linville, who is the
25	Columbia County public defender and a

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	long-term practicing attorney. And, Bob,
3	it's great to have you here.
4	MR. LINVILLE: It's a great pleasure
5	to be here. Hello to all of you. And I do
6	give you a view of all this from deep down
7	in the trenches, as you said there, John.
8	With the help of Bill Leahy and his
9	office and your help as well, we have an
10	unusually productive and potential position
11	in Columbia County today. Your office has
12	funded attorneys and arraignment in
13	Columbia County, and I'm happy to say that
14	I have two staff attorneys who go out any
15	time of the day or night for arraignments
16	around the county.
17	It becomes difficult in the far
18	reaches of the county when judges are
19	impatient in the middle of the night and
20	say, "Well, how long is it going to take
21	you to get here," and the answer is maybe
22	well over a half hour, at which point some
23	of them get impatient. And so we're
24	fortunate to do what we can over the
25	telephone while we have the judge's

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	attention and have an opportunity to talk
3	to the defendant telephonically.
4	But in the majority of cases, with
5	your support, we have boots on the ground
6	in all courts around the clock. And that's
7	important in the context of our subject
8	today, because it's my policy to send
9	attorneys to every arraignment in the
10	county, every criminal arraignment and
11	violation arraignment, mostly criminal, in
12	the county, whether or not we keep those
13	defendants as our own clients. We have
14	made a good effort to separate the inquiry
15	that occurs at an arraignment to as to
16	family status, roots in the county, the
17	likelihood of flight, and whether or not
18	they can make bail so as to attack the
19	issue of potentially prohibiting bail or
20	incarceration.
21	We then are tell them all if they
22	want to hire an attorney of their own, you
23	can do so, that we would turn over the
24	initial documents to that attorney. And
25	we're careful about the inquiry that takes

22

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	place at arraignment because if we have
3	multiple defendant arraignments as a result
4	of a drug sweep, for example, we would only
5	be able to represent one of them only, and
6	we don't want to learn case-related
7	material from the other defendants at that
8	time. We limit what we say.
9	But we do believe, with your
10	support, that an attorney at arraignment is
11	absolutely critical because the decisions
12	that occur at that point are tremendously
13	important to everyone who's arrested. Jobs
14	go away. Lives blow up. The woman at home
15	says, "That's it. I'm out of here," and
16	children get kicked into the system. And
17	and there's no going back when those
18	things occur. So, with your help, we have
19	attorneys at arraignment now.
20	At the point when we reached a
21	decision of eligibility for our defense
22	throughout the case, different kinds of
23	considerations, come into play. I argue
24	strongly that we, public defenders, ought
25	to have the decision about eligibility.

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	Statute, gives that, I think, to the courts
3	and in many counties it evolves upon the
4	attorneys, the public defenders, and that's
5	where it ought to be, because I submit to
6	that you the public defenders know the most
7	about the people, at least in Columbia, as
8	a result of our having an initial crack at
9	them and a crack at the case at the time of
10	arraignment.
11	MR. DUNNE: Now, do you come, then,
12	in confrontation with the judge? You're
13	saying you feel that the public defender
14	should have the final word on eligibility.
15	MR. LINVILLE: On the eligibility.
16	There is, in our county, a method for a
17	a defendant to appeal to the courts, if
18	they are dissatisfied with our decision.
19	And the only one's that are really
20	dissatisfied with our decision is if we
21	decline. And the judges in our county have
22	not made an issue ever of our initial
23	determination of eligibility.
24	There are some cases in which we do
25	get into dialogue with the courts about

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	whether or not this or that person ought to
3	be a client of ours, and there may be some
4	factors that they know about the defendant
5	that are brought to our attention for the
6	first time. So there is an occasional and
7	very courteous discussion about
8	eligibility, and we review our initial
9	decision if the court has a different view.
10	So it has never been a difficulty, Mr.
11	Dunne.
12	Now, I know that we repeat to
13	ourselves the phrase "ability to afford an
14	attorney." And I take a very relaxed and
15	flexible view of that. What is the the
16	ability to afford an attorney? It is much
17	more than a a an automatic
18	examination of the federal poverty
19	guidelines and whether or not the results
20	should, from looking at the guidelines and
21	the person's income is, makes eligibility
22	or denies somebody eligibility. It's much
23	more than that. And I submit to you that
24	the when someone is able to afford
25	counsel, I look at it as meaning that when

1	
1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	you get a careful evaluation of their
3	income and their liabilities and their debt
4	load, that you should look at only the
5	disposable income.
6	I don't want anybody to have to go
7	out and borrow to afford a lawyer. And, of
8	course, as we all know, if the case is a
9	really serious one and a defendant goes on
10	the marketplace to get a lawyer, the
11	retainer is going to be higher if it's
12	complex or multi counts or serious upper
13	level felony. So I look to disposable
14	income.
15	And we have tried and we keep
16	refining our application documents to smoke
17	out all the information about these
18	different elements, all streams of income,
19	debt to debt load, debt on real property,
20	for example, on automobiles. If somebody
21	has a doublewide house and it's maybe worth
22	around a hundred and eighty thousand
23	dollars and it's mortgaged to the top or
24	the guy's underwater, it doesn't matter a
25	bit in my calculation that they have this

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	house. There's no place they can go to get
3	money.
4	And the timing issue is critical,
5	because when somebody's arrested, it's
6	always a surprise almost always a
7	surprise. And as a surprise, people have
8	not put a little money aside for their
9	eventual legal needs. They're hit with the
10	demand right away which cuts into their
11	their economic stream.
12	Yes, sir?
13	MR. DUNNE: May I interpret you for
14	a moment?
15	We are graced by the presence of my
16	colleague, the executive president.
17	Michael, great to have you.
18	MR. BRESLIN: Good to see you.
19	Sorry to arrive late. Good to see you.
20	(A discussion was held off the
21	record.)
22	MR. LINVILLE: Good morning, sir.
23	MR. BRESLIN: Good morning. I
24	apologize for coming in late.
25	MR. LINVILLE: I'm pleased to see

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	you here. I wanted to say, what I have to
3	say will be of interest, I hope. We'll
4	see.
5	And so back to the the analysis
6	of able to afford counsel, as a phrase. I
7	was just saying, disposable income, to me,
8	is a very critical element of one's
9	analysis of whether or not they can afford
10	an attorney. I don't want anybody to have
11	to remortgage a house or go get a loan on
12	an automobile if they have an automobile,
13	particularly if it's essential to their
14	work. It's never realistic to say, well,
15	you can go out and borrow some more money
16	on your car or you can borrow some more
17	money on your doublewide house.
18	I was beginning to develop the idea
19	of timing. Back when the person was
20	arrested, it's a shock, and the need for
21	money, the need for bail money is
22	immediate. And the need for an attorney,
23	in my view and I believe in the view of
24	all of you the need for an attorney is
25	immediate and goes right back to the moment

1 ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility 2 of arraignment. 3 You can't have an economic argument 4 at arraignment about whether a guy can 5 afford -- or a woman can afford an 6 attorney. As I said to your other members 7 of the panel before you came, we have an 8 attorney in Columbia County -- a system 9 developing still because some courts are 10 not quite there yet. We have an attorney 11 at arraignment at every occasion around the clock, seven days a week. And I have a --12 13 a dedicated telephone that I've bought for 14 assignment to one of the other attorneys, 15 of the two attorneys that I have. So they 16 carry the phone, and judges are encouraged 17 and asked to call that number. It's not an 18 office number. It's not a hard line 19 number. It's a cell phone. And it is the 2.0 arraignment phone for people arrested in 21 Columbia County. But back to timing. When a person's 22 23 arrested, the needs are immediate for an 2.4 attorney and for money. And getting money 25 and then getting into this inquiry about

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	eligibility for counsel is a a an
3	inquiry with no time at all. They need an
4	attorney right now. They need they're
5	forced into accommodation decisions.
6	And I have seen in other counties
7	that there's a tremendous and detailed
8	inquiry that the public defenders go
9	through to determine eligibility. There is
10	lines of paper. They some counties ask
11	for tax returns and ask for employment
12	stubs and material that's not easily
13	available not readily available,
14	anyways, at the time an attorney is needed
15	and the decision for representation is
16	needed.
17	I think that's my own view. I
18	think that's demeaning and unnecessary,
19	particularly if you keep in mind the the
20	concept of disposable income. And so that
21	people do not have to go in deeper in debt.
22	Many are of our clients, my clients are
23	very much in debt at the beginning. And if
24	they get caught in the criminal justice
25	system and need to have more money, it's

30

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	very hard with an ongoing debt load that
3	they're trying to service, they're trying
4	to stay afloat in this economy. It's very
5	hard for them to borrow, to get more money.
6	In some cases, do go to family members, do
7	go to employers. Sometimes that works out
8	so that the bail or money for a lawyer can
9	be borrowed. But it's not a a regular
10	thing. It's certainly nothing you can
11	predict. I mean, nothing you can put an
12	expectation on.
13	So in my mind and my belief is that
14	we need to be flexible. We need to be
15	need to have all the detail we can. Gotten
16	off the application forms, that I eluded to
17	before to make a reasonable decision about
18	whether a person can afford an attorney and
19	is satisfy the statute by being able
20	to do so without harm.
21	And so there does come a time in
22	many cases that I have had where it's a
23	close call and you say, well, maybe they
24	can get it; maybe they can get the money
25	for an attorney if they try really hard.

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	And I think it's demeaning when a person
3	is in bad need of a supportive attorney
4	whom they can trust with a serious very
5	significant decisions in their life. It's
6	demeaning to squeeze at them. I think it
7	does damage to the relationship.
8	And so I recommend to my attorneys
9	and I say to you that when it comes down to
10	that close call and we have spirited
11	discussions about cases from time to time
12	where it is a close call. My policy is to
13	tip toward the defendant and take the case,
14	because the alternative is worse. We're
15	righteous if we say no. We're righteous
16	about it, well, you didn't qualify. And a
17	person's without a lawyer. And I think
18	that's fundamentally at odds with what we
19	all stand for and what we believe people
20	ought to have. That's all I have to say to
21	you.
22	Yes, ma'am?
23	Sir?
24	MR. BRESLIN: When you make that
25	final decision

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	MR. LINVILLE: Yes.
3	MR. BRESLIN: and you have a,
4	quote, close call
5	MR. LINVILLE: Yes.
6	MR. BRESLIN: what are the two
7	factors or three that will push you to say,
8	yep, he's entitled or, no, we shouldn't do
9	that? What are the just two or three
10	factors that are most likely to push you
11	one way or the other if you were in charge
12	of this whole process?
13	MR. LINVILLE: It is so case
14	specific, Mr. Breslin. I
15	MR. BRESLIN: Is there specific
16	number one of how serious and what it is
17	and what the problem is?
18	MR. LINVILLE: Well, depending on
19	the situation of the defendant, every case
20	is serious. Even a low grade misdemeanor
21	is serious if it blows up a job, it blows
22	up your household, and causes your kids to
23	be put in foster care or some other social
24	institution.
25	One of the main factors that I

33

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	present to you is that in the course of
3	this inquiry, we get to know a great deal
4	about not only from the paper, but from
5	interviews, repeated interviews with the
6	defendant, and so we have a good sense of
7	not only the strength of the case, but we
8	have a good sense of how cooperative they
9	are and how they're trying and how badly
10	they want our help and how badly they want
11	to be defended because of the position they
12	have on the case.
13	So it's a read of the person. If
14	you have the steps, as others have said
15	and I think the county executive before,
16	that the person is driving around in a BMW
17	and you see them on the street after the
18	case is closed and you've got them released
19	release on his own recognizance and he goes
20	outside and gets into an expensive car, it
21	raises questions.
22	And so at the next contact, you run
23	that down and try to find out if there are
24	resources that ought to be applied. Again,
25	back to the the concept of disposable

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	income. With a big automobile, it may well
3	be that there are other disposable assets.
4	I'm not suggesting that a person with a BMW
5	needs to go out and borrow against the car.
6	That may not be his car. Maybe he newly
7	bought it and 99 percent except for the
8	bumper is owned by the car company. Still.
9	And so
10	MR. BRESLIN: And those facts come
11	up later; they don't come up at the
12	first
13	MR. LINVILLE: I'm sorry?
14	MR. BRESLIN: Invariably, those
15	facts only come up later, in retrospect.
16	MR. LINVILLE: They do. They do.
17	And we have repeated interviews with the
18	defendant about that, when it comes to our
19	attention that maybe he's trying he or
20	she is trying to snooker us on assets.
21	But it's a read of the person. It's
22	a read of the family. And in Columbia
23	County, as my good friend, John Dunne,
24	knows, in Columbia County, everybody knows
25	everybody. I come from outside Columbia

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	County, and I'll never get inside the old
3	boy network. I try and I try and I try and
4	I learn that so and so is a brother-in-law
5	of someone else, and it always surprises
6	me. But I do try to get to know the
7	defendant and what his situation is and
8	whether or not he can afford an attorney.
9	But underneath it all is the the
10	iron requirement that they have a lawyer.
11	And so if my decision is made wrongly and
12	they don't have a lawyer and they don't
13	have the money for a lawyer and I turn them
14	down, they don't have a lawyer. And that
15	to me is is obscenity. So I hope that
16	answers part of your inquiry. Okay.
17	MS. BURTON: Thanks, Bob, for your
18	input. I just want to go back to you
19	mentioned several times that one of the
20	possible consequences for litigants is kids
21	going into foster care and various things
22	that impact their family life.
23	And so I wanted to just switch over
24	to the family court side, and if you could
25	just speak a little bit to how the process

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	works on the family court side. I mean,
3	there's an analogy, at least in the child
4	abuse and negligent cases, where a person's
5	child has been removed and now they're in
6	court. Is it a similar sort of analysis
7	and philosophy that you take in those
8	cases?
9	MR. LINVILLE: [Inaudible] before,
10	and the way I've structured to the family
11	court matters and I do have a large
12	responsibility to to give counsel to
13	parties in family court issues. And I have
14	dedicated attorneys for the family court,
15	and frequently at arraignments, we learn
16	that this is evolving into an integrated
17	domestic violence case or family court
18	matter, and the inquiry essentially is the
19	same. I feel that family court is not a
20	secondary forum. It is equivelent to
21	criminal court in the impact it has on the
22	lives of the people who are caught up in
23	the gears. People who are facing explosion
24	in their lives.
25	Now, as we all know, in particular,

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	though, with the family court experience,
3	family court situations grind on and on and
4	on. It's not like many criminal cases in
5	which there's a collision of facts once
6	or in one case a collision of facts.
7	Family court issues are in the court over
8	and over again for months at a time, and at
9	every step, there's the danger that there's
10	going to be an adverse decision in the
11	court, which will explode the family if
12	you're trying to hold it together. And
13	it's one of my sub philosophies that we do
14	what we can when there's elements of
15	family to help to hold it together and
16	not have it explode.
17	And so the vigorous representation
18	is the same as an equal value to me in
19	family court because the damage is
20	tremendous. If there's
21	underrepresentation, less than all possible
22	vigor and investigation into the facts
23	surrounding, allegations that are being
24	aired. Is that an answer for you?
25	MS. BURTON: Thank you.
	u

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	MS. MACRI: I have one question.
3	Thank you for sharing your insight with us
4	today. In terms of the process that you go
5	through determining eligibility I know
6	that some of this information you learn
7	about your clients is certainly gained
8	through confidential communications. Are
9	you required in your county to share the
10	information with respect to eligibility
11	with the courts when you make a
12	determination, or is it something that
13	remains confidential?
14	MR. LINVILLE: I have always taken
15	the position that it's between the
16	defendant and my office. I don't unless
17	there's a contest. Unless there's a
18	essentially an appeal of the decision we
19	made as to eligibility. The the
20	application facts don't don't go to the
21	court. Or if the court brings to me
22	something new, they share that with me.
23	That's their decision, the judge's decision
24	to do so, but we do not give out the data
25	on our clients and you never know down the

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	road what's coming.
3	MS. MACRI: Thank you. And I just
4	have a follow up to that. An appeal
5	process, is that something where do they
6	just show up to court and day, "I've been
7	denied," or, you know, "Can you reconsider
8	this request," or how does this work out?
9	MR. LINVILLE: The way it normally
10	surfaces for me is that I'll get a I'll
11	be in the courtroom and the judge will
12	bring me up to the bench and say that
13	there's a question about your decision to
14	not to represent this person; I'll give you
15	all the time you need to inquire further to
16	whether or not you can represent them;
17	they're saying they don't have the money to
18	get an attorney, but you still turned them
19	down. And it appears that way, sometimes.
20	MS. MACRI: Okay.
21	MR. LINVILLE: Sometimes when we're
22	in the middle of a proceeding and something
23	arises, most most in the instance of
24	contest, something arises in some of the
25	testimony or some information we get, all

40

1	
1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	of a sudden I realize that I have got a
3	conflict immediately because I represent
4	someone else already that's meshed in this
5	tangle and have to pull out.
6	I go; I do a disqualification with
7	the court; and they'll assign conflict
8	defenders in sequence. We have three
9	others in Columbia County at the moment.
10	MR. DUNNE: Well, thank you, Mr.
11	Linville.
12	MS. MACRI: Thank you.
13	MR. LINVILLE: Thank you.
14	MR. DUNNE: Our next witness is Mr.
15	Greg Lubow. With a rich background in this
16	area, since he's been a former chief public
17	defender in Greene County and now is a
18	practicing attorney.
19	MR. LUBOW: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20	MR. DUNNE: Good morning.
21	MR. LUBOW: Thank you, Chairman
22	Dunne and members of the panel. My name is
23	Greg Lubow. I'm an attorney. I practice
24	law in Tannersville, New York, just south
25	of Albany. I've been practicing since

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	1977. Doing the math, that's about 38
3	years. For the first 27 years of my
4	practice, which is a private practice, I
5	was also the part-time chief public
6	defender of Greene County. It was a
7	position I was appointed to in
8	September 1977 and held until
9	February nineteen 2005.
10	The in that capacity, I became
11	intimately familiar with the eligibility
12	requirements and decision-making process
13	of for public defender or assigned
14	counsel services. I was the de facto
15	determinant of that eligibility. There
16	were no guidelines, other than the ones I
17	imposed. There were no judicial reviews,
18	other than on occasion when a judge might
19	ask what's going on. If the client is
20	deemed not to be eligible, based on their
21	perceived assets, we brought that to the
22	judge's attention, and the judge made that
23	final decision.
24	But I'd like to talk to you today
25	about is the process that we went through.

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	And this is primarily in the justice court,
3	in the town and justice courts that these
4	decisions are made in the first instance.
5	Most cases where there's an assignment of
6	counsel, that assignment continues on, if
7	it's a felony, to the county court, without
8	question by the county court judge.
9	In the rare case where the an
10	indictment is unsealed the felony
11	indictment is unsealed at the county court
12	level and those that commences the
13	criminal case where there's no assignment
14	of counsel, in Greene County, at least, the
15	county court judge will make a brief
16	inquiry and make a decision at that point
17	on their own to assign counsel or not
18	assign counsel, if the person appears
19	without counsel.
20	The arraignment is the town and
21	justice court is governed by Article 70
22	170 of Criminal Procedure Law. 170.10
23	requires the arraignment judge to do a lot
24	of things. The judge has to inform the
25	defendant of the charges against him.

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	There's no lawyer present. There's no
3	lawyer assigned at time. The judge is
4	required under subdivision 4 under
5	subdivision 3 to advise the defendant he
6	has a right to counsel and if he can't
7	afford counsel that counsel could be
8	appointed for him. More importantly,
9	170.10, subdivision 3 requires the court
10	not only to advise the defendant of his
11	right to counsel but to take such
12	affirmative steps as the court deems
13	necessary to effectuate that situation.
14	And in my private practice, I've
15	appeared in town and village courts
16	throughout Greene County on this. Here in
17	Columbia County; here in Albany County;
18	here in Rensselaer County; here in Saratoga
19	County. I've appeared as far north as
20	Malone. I've appeared as far south as the
21	town of Hancock, which is on the Delaware
22	water gap. I've appeared in Suffolk County
23	in several justice courts. I've appeared
24	in Nassau County, as well in their justice
25	courts, and throughout the Hudson Valley.

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	Hundreds and hundreds of courts. I've seen
3	thousands and thousands of different town
4	judges perform their arraignments.
5	When you are developing your
6	criteria, there are several things I think
7	you have to keep in mind. One, for the
8	most part in a town and justice court,
9	especially here in the upper Hudson Valley,
10	especially throughout much of rural New
11	York, you're going to be dealing
12	developing criteria for lay judges. In the
13	county court, you're dealing with a judge
14	who has had at least ten years of practice,
15	has been elected to the bench, and for the
16	most part, we hope, has developed and
17	exhibited an understanding of the law,
18	especially when you're talking about
19	criminal court.
20	On the other hand, the vast majority
21	of town and village judges are not lawyers.
22	In Greene County, we now have 6 out of
23	approximately 30. My concern is that with
24	all that is done, especially at that
25	so-called midnight arraignment, which I

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	think, by the way, should be done away
3	with you know, I think the entire
4	justice court system doesn't need to be
5	reformed; it needs to be replaced with the
6	district court system, but that's neither
7	here nor there with dealing with
8	[inaudible].
9	At that midnight arraignment, you
10	need to have guidelines that are clear,
11	that are easy to understand so that a judge
12	making that determination will have
13	something to fall back on. Because at that
14	midnight arraignment, you're not going to
15	have verifiable statements from the
16	defendant. In fact, putting the judge in
17	that position creates some type of issue.
18	The defendant is now accused by accused
19	of crime, a misdemeanor, perhaps a felony.
20	And the judge is starting to ask questions.
21	You have a police officer there. You asked
22	about confidentiality. There is no
23	confidentiality. There is no
24	confidentiality.
25	In fact, all town and village courts

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	are now now have and now are outfitted
3	with or are supposed to, whether they do or
4	not is another story. Are supposed to
5	record every proceeding, including those
6	midnight arraignments. So that you're
7	going to have a recorded statement
8	accessible to the prosecutor. You're going
9	to have a defendant accused of a crime
10	who's just been read, not only the
11	charges but is now being told that you have
12	a right to an attorney, and if they if
13	the client says, "I would like an
14	attorney," the next thing that happens in
15	open court is that a judge starts asking
16	questions about, "Well, do you own a house?
17	Are you" the judge might even put that
18	person under oath.
19	And if those statements are false,
20	you may find yourself with additional
21	charges of perjury, the judge being a
22	witness. The criteria in Greene County,
23	when I became the public defender, the
24	system was in place. The town and village
25	courts were ill equipped and didn't want

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	the responsibility that the statute places
3	on them, which is to assign counsel. They
4	didn't have it. They didn't want it. It
5	it they didn't have the resources to
6	do it. Unlike in New York City where you
7	have an independent agency doing those
8	intakes and determinations in some
9	instances, here, in in the rural
10	counties, it's left to Mr. Linville in
11	Columbia County, Greg Lubow in Green
12	County, and now my successor.
13	We have when someone's in the
14	jail, the way we did this, was I have an
15	investigator go every day to the jail. He
16	would call the jail in the morning say,
17	"Are there any new inmates?" If there
18	were, he would walk down to the jail with a
19	financial form that was very basic: What
20	is your income; do you have income; do you
21	have a job; what assets do you have. Two
22	pages, very simple. What's what are the
23	charges against you.
24	That investigator was someone I
25	trained, someone who is skilled. In fact,

1	TIS Bublic Popring on Eligibility
1 2	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility there's several investigators and they were
2 3	
	able to, in the first instance, make that
4	determination as to whether or not a person
5	was eligible or not, for the most part.
6	Most cases, if not the vast majority of the
7	cases are clearly obvious in that
8	determination.
9	Clearly, someone who is collecting
10	social service benefits and those have
11	changed throughout the years. When I
12	started, welfare was welfare. Now there's
13	all sorts of different types of social
14	service benefit. But if you were receiving
15	welfare, as we called it back then, you had
16	no income; you had no money; you had no
17	assets. You were clearly eligible for
18	services and we took the case.
19	We would it's the questionable
20	case that Mr. Linville has mentioned that
21	raises some issues, but those cases didn't
22	come up all that often. It was fairly
23	obvious. When pressed by my county
24	legislature which was a hostile
25	legislature, just so you are aware. It's a

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	very hostile legislature for the notion of
3	assigning counsel. Very hostile to the
4	funding of public defender offices.
5	When I was pressed by them one year
6	as to what my eligibility requirements, you
7	know, what were the criteria, I was able to
8	call up my good friend Jonathan at at
9	the New York State Defenders and say, "What
10	is the criteria I'm supposed to be using?"
11	And he handed me the federal poverty
12	guidelines, \$214 a month and or a
13	week, and we went from there. And we
14	applied that flex, is what we applied
15	that in a flexible manner. Flexible.
16	If the person makes \$200, is a
17	single person, can they afford someone
18	can they afford an attorney on that income
19	after paying their rent, paying for their
20	food, with no luxuries in their life; they
21	have no children? What attorney is going
22	to represent them on a felony in Greene
23	County if they make \$215 a week? There are
24	none.
25	Being in private practice, I was

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	able to have a good sense of what the
3	market costs were, what attorneys charge
4	MR. DUNNE: Excuse me. I
5	just wanted to you mentioned that the
6	judges typically do not want to get
7	involved in the determination of
8	eligibility and kind of falls to the
9	defender, as it did in your career as
10	public defender and Mr. Linville so
11	testified, and I contrast that with the
12	many studies the Brennan Center studies
13	from six or seven years ago and others that
14	pointed out that it's a bad idea for public
15	defenders to be involved in eligibility
16	determinations because it sets up a
17	conflict with their clients; it's a threat
18	to confidentiality; there are pressures to
19	either control caseload on the one hand to
20	please your county employer or on the other
21	hand to avoid the obscene result Mr.
22	Linville mentioned and to maybe kind of
23	break and allow the person representation
24	that may or may not be legally entitled to.
25	So a host of potential conflicts for

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	the defenders to be doing this, and the
3	recommendation of the Brennan Center study
4	and others to say there needs to be someone
5	who is not the judge and someone who is not
6	the defender, an independent body, to
7	conduct eligibility determinations without
8	these risks of conflict of interest. What
9	would your response be to that as, as a
10	path for us to potentially
11	MR. LUBOW: My initial response is
12	time and money. Who's going to pay? And I
13	can tell you in Greene County, the county
14	legislature would not pay. Number one.
15	Number two, time. When is that
16	determination going to be made? Case
17	law the reason you're here says you need
18	a defender at arraignment. Well, who's
19	that who's that who's making that
20	determination at 2 o'clock in the morning?
21	Honestly, I'm I was all in favor of the
22	litigation that that litigation, which
23	imposed on the state, which recognized the
24	state has obligations to properly fund
25	defense services at all stages.

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	My personal preference, quite
3	frankly, would have been to just throw out
4	the existing system, the justice court
5	system, and replace it with a Monday
6	morning 9 a.m. arraignment by in a
7	district court in every county. And that
8	way you should have holding rooms
9	instead of arraignments. And, yes, there's
10	a problem with that too; if someone gets
11	arrested at midnight, they have to stay in
12	court for in jail for eight hours before
13	they're someone comes in. But you have
14	a unified system, a centralized system here
15	in the city of Albany. That's how it
16	works. Does it work in why don't it
17	work in rural counties? Time and distance.
18	MR. DUNNE: Let me follow up with
19	another question, based upon your long
20	experience as a public defender, and that
21	is: What percentage would you estimate is
22	the problem identified by the county
23	executive in his testimony of client fraud
24	or the attempt to get a free lawyer when
25	actually you could afford to hire one

1 ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility 2 In what percent of clients would yourself? 3 you say this is a potential issue? 4 MR. LUBOW: One to two percent. 5 MR. DUNNE: Extremely low. 6 MR. LUBOW: Here's the issue comes 7 -- the question comes up in -- and I've 8 seen county court judges make these 9 determinations. What happens when a drug 10 -- drug defender, someone accused of 11 selling drugs, has no visible means of income but everybody knows that gold chain 12 13 on his neck costs a couple of thousand 14 dollars and everybody knows that he walks 15 around town flaunting his leather coats. 16 Yeah. There's that possibility and there's 17 the potential for that. 18 Can we weed it out entirely? No. 19 Is it worth the risk to try not to make 2.0 those determinations based on what we 21 perceive, as opposed to what we can prove? I think so. I think that the people 22 23 gaining the system are not -- it's not that 2.4 significant an issue. It's more an issue 25 to counties that do not want to provide

ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility 1 2 services, in my opinion. 3 Let's put it this way: There's 4 another side to all that. Most of the 5 public defender clients that I've seen in 6 my life don't want a public defender. Thev 7 want the quote, unquote real lawyer. In my 8 private practice -- as a part-time public 9 defender, I have a full-time criminal 10 practice. There are times that people 11 would come into my office and say, "Mr. 12 Lubow, I'd like to talk to you. I got 13 arrested. I'd like to talk to you." 14 And I'd have them come in. And 15 somewhere in the course of the interview, 16 they would say, "Oh, you're the public 17 defender." At that point -- and this is 18 what I've imposed on myself and imposed on 19 my entire office. The interview stops. 20 And I said, "You're looking for a public 21 defender?" And they would say, "Yes." And 22 I'd say, "Look, I'm a public defender. You 23 know that. I'm also a private lawyer. I'm 24 going to send you down to Catskill, which

> TARA M. DRAKE MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC. (518) 587 - 6832

is where our office is. You can complete

25

55

F	
1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	the interview and the intake process, since
3	I may not be the attorney assigned to the
4	case, and they'll process you, and we'll
5	determine if you're public defender
6	eligible, which you may be" because I
7	haven't talked to them about money at that
8	point, in general.
9	Then there comes, "Mr. Lubow, I
10	heard you're a good lawyer" and I'm not
11	patting myself on the back here, but, "I
12	heard you're a good lawyer. I would like
13	you to represent me. Can I pay you?"
14	"No, no. At this point you've made
15	a request, and I'm not you're not going
16	to able to pay me to be your lawyer. And
17	you're not going to be able to that's
18	just not going to happen."
19	I walk away from that one fee to
20	pre to avoid the potential of someone
21	saying to me, "You turned someone down as a
22	public defender client to take them in your
23	private practice." And I impose that
24	because we were all part-timers back then.
25	I impose that on each of my assistants. It

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	made sense, if someone talks to us and
3	says, hey, you're a public defender, we're
4	not it's not worth that aggravation. So
5	what people seeking out a public defender
6	because we're such great lawyers, I like to
7	think so in Greene County. I like to think
8	that our representation in the 27 years I
9	was the public defender there that we built
10	a reputation that I've heard private
11	lawyers
12	MR. DUNNE: I think I think
13	you've made your point very clearly.
14	Are there other questions, perhaps,
15	of Mr. Lubow before he steps down?
16	MS. BURTON: I do have one question
17	with respect to your thoughts or
18	suggestions about how to handle the
19	eligibility determination. Or let me put
20	it this way: How to handle the issue of
21	representation at arraignment vis-a-vis a
22	liability.
23	So you were discussing a number of
24	issues that could occur at that point in
25	terms of confidentiality and the judge

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	inquiring and the police officer being
3	there. Would it be and this just
4	occurred to me. Would it be your
5	suggestion that perhaps there be sort of
6	automatic assignment for purposes of
7	arraignment pending the determination of
8	eligibility as opposed to digging into that
9	issue at that point?
10	MR. LUBOW: The attorney-client
11	relationship gets formed at that at that
12	first meeting. The client has to believe
13	that the attorney has their best interests
14	at heart. You will be speaking to that
15	attorney on many, many things beyond just
16	the eligibility issues they're going to
17	represent you in. They need to know many
18	things about you beyond how much money do
19	you have and can you afford an attorney.
20	That one half hour, that one 15 minutes,
21	creates an attorney-client relationship
22	that your next court appearance may be
23	broken, may be substituted with a different
24	attorney. I don't believe that you can
25	have that type of circumstance, that

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	situation, when once you create the
3	attorney-client relationship. What's "I
4	like that lawyer. I want that lawyer to be
5	my lawyer. I want to continue in that
6	area."
7	Just one other point, Mr. Dunne, if
8	you will, my concerns are that my belief
9	is that the criteria must be flexible
10	enough and the judges must have a very
11	clear sense of how flexible they are. You
12	can't there's not one size does not
13	fit all when you're making eligibility
14	determinations. And since these are made
15	in justice courts, again, by lay judges,
16	there are I've appeared in front of
17	judges I've seen this happen more often
18	than not. There are two types: There's
19	the one judge that says, "Well, I'm going
20	to assign the public defender to everybody
21	who asks me because I want someone
22	represented. I don't want people appearing
23	in my court unrepresented. And that's just
24	the way I'm going to be." And that's
25	unfair to the public defenders' office

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	because they get overwhelmed. It's unfair
3	to the other people, and it's unfair to the
4	private bar, if a person can my first
5	job in 1974, I was a law student. I worked
6	for Kings County Criminal Bar Association.
7	MR. DUNNE: Could you try to bring
8	this to a
9	MR. LUBOW: I watched I watched
10	lawyers hustling outside of courts in
11	Brooklyn, New York, hustling for
12	arraignments because they wanted the case.
13	I was hired to the private bar was
14	concerned that the Legal Aid Society was
15	taking too many cases to bolster their
16	numbers. Mr. Linville and I have no need
17	to bolster our numbers. We're not well
18	paid I wasn't well paid. He may be
19	better paid. I haven't asked him.
20	But, generally, they're not
21	public defenders' offices are underfunded
22	and understaffed, so I think that we need
23	to they don't need more cases. The
24	other side of that, the flip side of that,
25	there are judges in that that take
	ЧЧ

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	the attitude they're more [inaudible].
3	They're more concerned with people gaining
4	assistance, and may be, in fact, reluctant
5	to assign counsel in questionable cases.
6	"I don't think you're eligible." I've seen
7	judges, "I don't think you're eligible for
8	a public defender. You go out and hire a
9	lawyer and come back at your next
10	arraignment." While there are six attorney
11	judges in Greene County, there are also six
12	former law enforcement personnel in Greene
13	County as town and village judges.
14	I will be submitting something in
15	writing so you will have a much more
16	in-depth statement from me, but I thank you
17	for the opportunity.
18	MR. DUNNE: Appreciate you coming.
19	MR. LEAHY: Appreciate you coming.
20	MR. DUNNE: The Honorable Dr. Carrie
21	O'Hare, who is town justice of Stuyvesant
22	Town Court and also is an elected director
23	of the New York State Magistrates
24	Association.
25	HON. DR. O'HARE: Good afternoon.

61

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	MR. DUNNE: I think good afternoon.
3	MS. MACRI: I think we just did.
4	HON. DR. O'HARE: Dangers when they
5	give me a microphone.
6	Mr. Breslin, I hope that was not a
7	family member you had attend today. I'm
8	MR. BRESLIN: It was a dear
9	friend, but it was not a family member.
10	Thank you.
11	HON. DR. O'HARE: Good afternoon. I
12	am the Hon. Dr. Carrie A. O'Hare, and I am
13	town justice in the town of Stuyvesant,
14	Columbia County, and I have been a
15	Stuyvesant town justice since March 2001.
16	I am the past president of the Columbia
17	County Magistrates Association, and as
18	mentioned you folks have mentioned
19	already, I am the current director of the
20	New York State Magistrates Association. We
21	represent 1872 town and village judges
22	presiding over 1277 town and village courts
23	across the State of New York. And I'm
24	proud to do that.
25	I want to thank you this morning

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	or this afternoon, folks, the New York
3	State Office of Indigent Legal Services,
4	for the opportunity to speak today, before
5	you develop the criteria and procedures to
6	guide the courts when determining
7	eligibility for mandated legal
8	representation in criminal court
9	proceedings.
10	As a local criminal court justice
11	serving a rural community in Upstate New
12	York, my objective today is to lend some
13	insight with respect to the current
14	procedures followed, as well as
15	respectfully presenting suggestions as to
16	how the system might be improved. Upon
17	review of the Hurrell-Harring Stipulation
18	and Order of Settlement, it appears there
19	are four main objectives that the parties
20	to the lawsuit sought to achieve: (1)
21	counsel at arraignment regardless of
22	eligibility, (2) caseload relief for
23	attorneys providing mandated
24	representation, (3) quality of mandated
25	representation, and (4) eligibility

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	standards for representation.
3	Today I will specifically address
4	items one and four, counsel at arraignment
5	and eligibility standards.
6	Criminal Procedure Law 170.10 sets
7	forth the current requirements for the
8	arraignment of a defendant on an
9	information, simplified traffic
10	information, prosecutor's information, or a
11	misdemeanor complaint. Criminal Procedure
12	Law 180.10 has comparable language for
13	arraignments on felony complaints. These
14	statutes contemplate that the defendant may
15	be appearing without the assistance of
16	counsel, and, in that instance, is entitled
17	to (a) an adjournment to obtain counsel,
18	(b) an opportunity to communicate free of
19	charge for the purpose of obtaining counsel
20	and informing a relative or friend that he
21	or she has been charged with an offense,
22	and (c) have counsel appointed free of
23	charge by the court if he or she is unable
24	to afford the same.
25	These statutes direct that the court
•	

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	must take such affirmative action as is
3	necessary to effectuate a defendant's right
4	to counsel. Moreover, the statutes provide
5	that the court must be certain that the
6	defendant understands the significance of
7	proceeding without counsel; the court must
8	engage in a pro se colloquy, and that to do
9	so is not deemed a waiver of her or her
10	right to counsel at a later time.
11	Prior to Hurrell-Harring, there were
12	additional safeguards in place to protect
13	the defendant's constitutional rights at
14	every stage of the proceedings. In
15	addition to the following in addition to
16	the following statutorily proscribed
17	process for an arraignment, town and
18	village judges justices were taught to
19	provide the defendant with a public
20	defender application.
21	By the way, folks, I did provide
22	bring one with me from Columbia County.
23	You are so he is one of the best public
24	defenders. I actually brought it with me.
25	I don't have ten copies for you. I

1 ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility 2 apologize, but it is a front and back 3 and --4 MS. MACRI: Thank you. 5 HON. DR. O'HARE: -- so you 6 appreciate that. What I do have, I have for the TV-1 and TV-2. I will get to that 7 8 in just a moment. 9 Now, in addition to following that, 10 the town and village justices, they do 11 make, at this point, an assessment as to 12 eligibility at the time of arraignment. Ιf 13 counsel is assigned at arraignment, the 14 court is instructed to issue a form 15 referred to as TV-1, order assigning 16 counsel; if counsel is not assigned at 17 arraignment, the court is instructed to 18 issue a form referred to as TV-2, a notice 19 that there was no assignment of counsel. Pursuant to Title 22 New York Code 20 21 of Rules and Regulations §200.26(c), the 22 court is required within 24 hours of 23 arraignment to notify the public defender, 24 conflict defender, legal aid, et cetera, 25 and pretrial services agency/unit by

66

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	telephone and fax of the issuance of the
3	Order of Assignment, TV-1, the notice of
4	excuse me, the Order of Assignment, TV-1,
5	or notice that there was no assignment of
6	counsel, TV-2.
7	You folks have a copy of those, both
8	of those forms with you there.
9	I just want to stress that I am a
10	town judge, and if do an arraignment at
11	2:00, 3:00, 4:00, doesn't make a difference
12	what time it is. I do before I leave
13	that court, regardless of the time, I do my
14	very best to make sure that it's faxed at
15	that time. Mr. Linville's office and
16	again I can speak because he's here, but
17	his office has it available so that when I
18	do fax it, it's there. Okay. And they
19	have it. I also follow up the next morning
20	to make sure all parties have this
21	information. All right.
22	Now, the sole purpose of the TV-1 or
23	TV-2 is to inform the aforesaid agencies
24	that the defendant has been incarcerated
25	with or without bail so that they can

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	promptly take whatever steps they deem
3	necessary to protect the defendant's
4	rights.
5	As part of the arraignment process,
6	the court is directed to consider the bail
7	factors set forth in CPL 510.30 to
8	determine whether the defendant is a flight
9	risk. The question of bail is what degree
10	of control or restriction is necessary to
11	secure the defendant's future court
12	attendance. The factors to be considered
13	are (1) the defendant's character,
14	reputation, habits, and mental condition;
15	(2) defendant's employment and financial
16	condition; (3) defendant's family ties and
17	length of residence in the community; (4)
18	defendant's criminal record; (5)
19	defendant's record as a juvenile
20	delinquent; (6) defendant's record of
21	responding to the court appearances when
22	required the history of that; (7) the
23	weight of evidence against the defendant in
24	the pending criminal action; and (8) the
25	possible sentence that might be imposed.

ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

After Hurrell-Harring, as a result of increased funding, steps have been instituted by public defenders' offices and legal aid offices to protect the right to counsel at the time of arraignment, regardless of eligibility. The Columbia County Public Defender's Office has notified the town and village courts that their office is available to appear for the arraignment of all defendants at any time, day or night. The procedure is that upon receiving a callout by a police agency, to court is to contact the public defender's arraignment phone number. It is a cell number, and it is now programmed in my cell phone, so that --

MR. DUNNE: I wonder if you could move on to your portion of your remarks about eligibility because we've had many, many conversations with members of your association about counsel arraignment, and we look forward to having many, many more, but the purpose of today's hearing and our limited time is focused on the eligibility

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	and like to make sure you have time to make
3	a presentation on that.
4	HON. DR. O'HARE: I'll be glad to do
5	that, sir. All right. So moving right
6	along, then. And I timed this to be just
7	exactly ten minutes, because that's what
8	you folks gave me.
9	With respect to the issue of
10	eligibility, the Columbia County Public
11	Defender's Office has a designated
12	application form. Again, I didn't make
13	copies, but we do have it here. It is my
14	opinion that the court needs to
15	examine/question eligibility on a
16	case-by-case basis and make a determination
17	whether the defendant can afford to hire
18	counsel or not, taking into account not
19	only the defendant's income but also cash
20	on hand, expenses, liabilities, liquidity,
21	anticipated cost of counsel, et cetera.
22	Perhaps it would be instructive for the New
23	York State Office of Indigent Legal
24	Services to develop a statewide application
25	form that incorporates the factors set

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	forth in the Hurrell-Harring stipulation
3	and order of stipulation.
4	Specifically, the form should
5	include language indicating whether the
6	defendant can afford the actual cost of
7	retaining a private attorney in the
8	relevant jurisdiction for the category of
9	the crime charged. Also, the form should
10	provide a means whereby the defendant can
11	segregate the amount of income needed to
12	meet the reasonable living expenses of the
13	applicant and any dependent minors within
14	his or her immediate family, or a dependant
15	parent or spouse, as well as identify
16	assets that are necessary to maintain their
17	employment. Non-liquid assets and assets
18	of family members should be characterized
19	separately.
20	Furthermore, application should
21	allow a defendant to set forth whether
22	their income is below federal poverty
23	guidelines, whether they reside in a mental
24	health or correctional facility or receive
25	public assistance. The defendant's debts

71

1	TLC Public Heaving on Eligibility
1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	and obligations should be identified, as
3	well as income and assets so that the court
4	may make an informed decision as to whether
5	the defendant possesses disposable income
6	sufficient to afford to retain private
7	counsel.
8	The court should err on the side of
9	assigning counsel since the public defender
10	has a remedy if they disagree with the
11	court's assessment, in that they can bring
12	a proceeding pursuant to County Law 722-d
13	to force the defendant to pay all or part
14	of the cost of representation. The
15	defendant does not have a similar remedy
16	under that statute.
17	Lastly, I want to dispel any
18	misconception that the current system of
19	justice in the town and village courts is
20	the genesis of the problem addressed in
21	Hurrell-Harring. Our current system is not
22	broken. It is simply needs to be tweaked.
23	Town and village courts are the courts
24	closed to the people and charged with the
25	responsible of protecting a defendant's

7	
1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	fundamental right to an immediate
3	arraignment. The right to an immediate
4	arraignment is the hallmark of the right to
5	liberty as guaranteed to each person under
6	the United States and New York State
7	Constitutions.
8	In Upstate New York, town and
9	village justices are the only judges in the
10	Unified Court System that are on call
11	24-hours a day, 7 days a week, and 365 days
12	a year. And that does mean every holiday,
13	too, folks. Doesn't make a difference.
14	The significance of that fact is not lost
15	on the average defendant, faced with
16	spending a night or two in jail while
17	waiting to be arraigned. Certainly a
18	defendant, given a choice, would choose
19	appearing before a town or village justice,
20	with or without counsel, to discuss the
21	issue of bail rather than sitting in a
22	holding cell until counsel can be present
23	for an arraignment.
24	While the right to counsel is a
25	fundamental right we swear to preserve, so

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	is the right to one's liberty. My hope is
3	that the State Office of Indigent Legal
4	Services will consider both when proposing
5	a solution to this vexing problem. I will
6	say, folks, the last thing this judge ever
7	wants to do is take anyone's liberty away.
8	MR. DUNNE: Thank you very much.
9	HON. DR. O'HARE: I thank you very
10	much for your time.
11	MR. BRESLIN: I have no questions.
12	MS. MACRI: Can I ask one question?
13	Thank you. Thank you, Dr. O'Hare,
14	for spending your time with us this morning
15	and providing your insight. Just a quick
16	question. I know that the Magistrates
17	Association is a large membership. Do you
18	have opportunities to provide trainings and
19	mentoring on how to address these issues in
20	terms of eligibility, how new judges come
21	in? Does that happen?
22	And also my second question, real
23	quickly, is: Have you, within the
24	association, talked about baseline criteria
25	where it should be a determination whether
•	

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	it's, for example, somebody in public
3	housing or what have you?
4	HON. DR. O'HARE: First, training,
5	yes, there is a significant amount of
6	training. There is a taking-the-bench
7	training when they first come in, and part
8	of that is understanding not only the bail
9	situation itself, but the eligibility for
10	counsel. All right? And that is being
11	brought as a matter of fact, I believe
12	that we have another program that is set
13	up, because we have the once a year the
14	state magistrate holds a conference, and
15	that is being held at Niagara Falls in
16	September of this year, and I believe we
17	have part of that on one of our programs
18	agendas there. All right?
19	So, yes, there is training ongoing,
20	and there is also we do have, as Mr.
21	Breslin, as you know, we have a supervising
22	judge, who is outstanding. He provides a
23	lot of information to us through our
24	different representatives there, so we do
25	try to provide that information and

75

ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility 1 2 training on an ongoing basis. 3 Because Mr. Linville brought up a 4 good point: It's on a case-by-case basis. 5 You cannot -- and that would defeat the 6 purpose of justice to make it a blanket 7 statement that, based on this, then all 8 things would be this way. I appreciate you 9 want to have some kind of a quote, unquote 10 benchmark, but at the same time, you need 11 to look on a case-by-case basis. Because 12 rarely have I ever seen it be the same set 13 of circumstances for each defendant. You 14 know, there's no two defendants that are 15 alike. And you have to really look at it 16 and understand what's going on. 17 Lastly I will say, these are 18 probably some of the most challenging times 19 I've ever seen. And see folks coming to 20 court before us, they're working one, two, 21 three, four, five -- if they even have a 22 job. All right. So we have to take all 23 that, and we do our very best to take that 2.4 under advisement. I know you folks are 25 great. Thank you for your time. Ι

> TARA M. DRAKE MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC. (518) 587 - 6832

76

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	appreciate it very much.
3	THE BOARD MEMBERS: Thank you.
4	MR. DUNNE: Lee Kindlon, practicing
5	attorney with the Kindlon Law Firm, and
6	happy to have you with us.
7	MR. KINDLON: Good afternoon,
8	everybody. I snuck in the back a few
9	minutes ago. I've not had the opportunity
10	to listen to everyone testify today. I
11	will do my best not to repeat what you may
12	have heard already.
13	For those of you who do know me
14	or whom have never met me before. Some of
15	my brief background: I was a initially
16	a prosecutor in the Marine Corp. And moved
17	back to Albany in 2006 and joined my firm.
18	Part of a legal family. The first six
19	years I was back, that was probably six of
20	the best years of my legal career so far.
21	I has worked as either a public defender or
22	assistant public defender or an alternate
23	public defender with Mr. Alhern [phonetic],
24	my old colleague who sits in the back.
25	I've been in a hundred different

1 ILS Public Hearing on Eligibili:	
	ty
2 local courts a thousand different	times.
3 The night my second son was born,	I was
4 actually in Colonie Town Court as	an
5 assistant conflict defender, as my	v wife
6 called and said she was in labor,	and I
7 said, "I just have a couple more c	cases.
8 I'll be home in a bit." So I like	e to think
9 that, you know, I've been working	in the
10 trenches for a long time. Even no	w I do my
11 best to represent clients; althoug	ıh, I'm
12 not working in the public sector a	nymore,
13 for as little money as I can possi	bly do to
14 get by with my own practice.	
15 So I come today hopefully w	with some
16 real practical knowledge about wha	it a
17 defendant may see when he or she c	confronts
18 the criminal justice system for ma	ybe the
19 first time or maybe the hundredth	time.
20 MR. DUNNE: I think we migh	nt be
21 familiar with that. Could you for	cus,
22 please, on eligibility standards.	
23 MR. KINDLON: Well, let me	join the
24 previous two speakers in saying th	at the
25 uniform rule, I think, would be un	niformly

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	terrible. There is no one size fits all
3	criteria for a defendant who steps in front
4	of the bar. I think there should be two
5	default positions when a defendant first
6	comes in front of the court.
7	First should be the automatic
8	assignment of a public defender, at least
9	for purposes of arraignment. I think here
10	in Albany, frankly, the court system I
11	practice in the most I think the public
12	defender's office gets it right. They're
13	the ones who steps forward and says I will
14	stand with you for the purposes of
15	arraignment; unless there's a glaring
16	conflict like when two defendants are
17	arraigned together, and then an alternate
18	public defender steps in. Those things,
19	that is a good place to be.
20	The second default position should
21	be the release status of the defendant.
22	Because I think when bail is factored into
23	the mix, I think it creates a Hobson's
24	choice for a defendant of who needs to
25	decide do I need to pay bail money, do I

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	need to pay a lawyer? I think the that
3	release status, unless it's I know in
4	New York we don't take into, you know,
5	whether or not the defendant poses a danger
6	to the community. I agree with Judge
7	Lippman, that should be changed outright,
8	but I think more often than not, a
9	defendant, especially accused of a
10	low-level nonviolent offense, misdemeanor,
11	should be released or at least released on
12	the supervision of probation in extreme
13	circumstances.
14	I think financial ramifications on
15	many, many defendants are so great that,
16	you know, to to lock them up and and
17	ask questions later, would be would be
18	really detrimental to that individual.
19	So in terms of what the criteria
20	should be for an individual to be
21	assigned and I know this question was
22	asked a few minutes ago. I have seen, I'll
23	say in the past 10 years, one defendant,
24	and I just saw it a couple weeks ago. One
25	defendant who was clearly, clearly not

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	eligible for indigent counsel. I think
3	that the local judges here sorry, the
4	local level and the county level have it
5	right when they look to see what kind of
6	criteria and what kind of facts exist. And
7	I think the public defender system here
8	does a very good job in figuring out
9	whether or not you should be eligible for
10	their services or not.
11	But and I'm sure other people
12	have said this, but I think it bears
13	repeating, as every person who comes up to
14	this podium probably says, the answer is
15	not just simply dumping more defendants
16	onto the public defender and the alternate
17	public defender's office. What is
18	ultimately the answer? The answer is more.
19	And what do people need? They need more.
20	The public defender's office needs more
21	bodies, more resources, more money, more
22	you know, not just lawyers, but support
23	staff. I know that. I again, I
24	experience that even today.
25	So, you know, in terms of the

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	individual having indigent defense services
3	assigned, you know, it should be a very
4	basic question to get them counsel as a
5	default. And then from there, decisions
6	are made by that individual and by the
7	public defender's office and secondary by
8	the court system, that individual should be
9	should have the opportunity to get
10	counsel assigned to them.
11	MS. BURTON: May I ask?
12	I think, you know, given your status
13	as, you know, having worked both as a
14	private attorney
15	MR. KINDLON: Yes.
16	MS. BURTON: and as a public
17	defender and conflict defender, you're sort
18	of in a good position to speak to the issue
19	of ability to pay
20	MR. KINDLON: Yes.
21	MS. BURTON: for an attorney
22	MR. KINDLON: Yes.
23	MS. BURTON: in various types of
24	cases.
25	MR. KINDLON: Uh-huh.

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	MS. BURTON: And so my question goes
3	to in terms of, you know, sort of using
4	the federal poverty guidelines or some
5	multiplier thereof as a baseline or, you
6	know, sort of a place to start, what are
7	your thoughts with respect to increasing a
8	range in our research from a hundred in
9	New York State, as well as around the
10	country, from a hundred twenty-five percent
11	to 350 percent rate? So given your
12	understanding of the actual cost of
13	retaining an attorney in these cases, what
14	suggestions might you make along those
15	lines?
16	MR. KINDLON: Well, and that
17	also, at this point, I run a business and I
18	have employees and I I tell clients,
19	"Look, truly I'll take your case for a
20	dollar. This is what I enjoy to do," and I
21	had a brief thought that I might do
22	something else with my career, but at end
23	of the day, I think I was intended to do
24	this. So it's it's truly a market-based
25	thing, because I will take a case for X

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	and, I think, do a good job, but I always
3	find that there are people out there who
4	are willing to undercut my price. And I
5	know it's not exactly the answer to your
6	question in terms what you're looking for a
7	baseline in terms of what people should be
8	able to pay.
9	There are only a few situations in
10	which I've ever heard of people having
11	money sitting around for paying for an
12	attorney when they come into contact with
13	the criminal justice system. And most of
14	those are horror stories from the US
15	Attorney's Office, you know, drug cartels
16	and mules and whatnot, so I don't actually
17	think that people plan, you know, a rainy
18	day fund for lawyers.
19	But that being said, you know, who
20	ultimately the federal poverty guideline
21	of 125 percent, I've seen that benchmark as
22	well. I think that that's a very good
23	idea. I've seen that that's used, you
24	know, couple cases down in federal court in
25	which I often work closely with public and

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	assigned counsel. And their you know,
3	their system is a little different in terms
4	of how the individuals are assigned and how
5	bail is set, and that's one of the things
6	I [inaudible] how actually bail and
7	release status is done. I know it's not
8	the point of today, but I think that's a
9	very important thing to look at here.
10	But who ultimately makes that call?
11	Is it up to the public defender, once they
12	realize that, you know, their client drove
13	a was arrested in a Porsche? You know,
14	it is up to the public defender to then cut
15	that person out of their services? And can
16	an attorney do that? I mean, that's
17	always, I think, the practical matter that
18	comes in, is, you know, as an attorney can
19	you fire your client unless something
20	happened and then you need court approval
21	and then it gets into a lot of really hairy
22	situations.
23	So you know what ultimately is the
24	solution? Much bigger brains than I, so I
25	look to the five of you, but, you know, in

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	terms of the assignment of counsel and I
3	think, again, the default position should
4	be, yes, absolutely. But with all respect
5	to today's inquiry, I don't think and I
6	doubt it does, but I don't think the
7	inquiry should end there, because what else
8	can be done in the interest of defendants
9	of the state of New York.
10	MR. LEAHY: I want to follow up with
11	one of your points earlier.
12	MR. KINDLON: Yes, sir.
13	MR. LEAHY: To the two default
14	positions, one being automatic assignment
15	of counsel in the early stage and,
16	secondly, that the what I take to be a
17	kind of presumption of release under
18	cognizance.
19	MR. KINDLON: Yes.
20	MR. LEAHY: What just like to ask
21	you to elaborate on whether it comes a
22	sense of bail is utilized and cases where
23	it's not necessary. I'm thinking about the
24	New York City proposal now, where really
25	making a strong effort to eliminate or at

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	least dramatically reduce the use of cash
3	bail and bonds and detention, and then the
4	question about what to replace it with.
5	But leaving that aside, is are
6	you suggesting that we should take a
7	serious look at a proposal that addresses
8	not just eligibility but the overuse of
9	of money bail that puts people in this
10	Hobson's choice that you mentioned?
11	MR. KINDLON: Yes, yes. Absolutely.
12	And I was making a veiled reference to what
13	New York City has recently done
14	unfortunately because of course tragic
15	defense, but sometimes tragedy makes us
16	take a second look. I was [inaudible]
17	Judge Lippman's discussions about bail and
18	misuse of bail and have stated the
19	judiciary for 2013, I believe. A few
20	months ago I had the pleasure of arguing in
21	front of the court of appeals. I lost four
22	to one. The one that [inaudible] voice was
23	Judge Lippman, and he supported my
24	argument, so I'm hoping you're in favor of
25	supporting his arguments today.

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	[Inaudible] but I think Judge Lippman's
3	comment on the use of bail or misuse of
4	bail to a lot of people I think should
5	should be looked at. And I think his
6	comments should carry some serious weight.
7	Because, again, I've been in local
8	courts where, you know, a woman stole
9	diapers from the local Walmart was you
10	know, so petty larceny, which is a
11	misdemeanor, was suddenly locked up on
12	\$10,000 bail she had some old failures to
13	appear, you know, and then, you know, the
14	case kind of bubbles along and public
15	defender can't get assigned right away
16	because the public defender is overworked;
17	there were a hundred and eighty cases on
18	that night, and, "We'll get to you at some
19	point." And then she's in jail and the
20	kids are in foster care for the next month.
21	I mean, it's just it's the
22	problems compound and exacerbate one
23	another, and we all wonder what's going on.
24	And it's just [inaudible]. You know,
25	what are the other resources? We have

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	probation. We just have a person's word.
3	You know, we have personal progress
4	response in federal court, which you just
5	sign and promise to come back otherwise you
6	have civil judgment against you. And there
7	are there are other solutions here that
8	go beyond the use of cash money bail and,
9	you know, financial interest for bail
10	bondsman. As much as I personally enjoy
11	local bail bondsmen here, I think the
12	system is upside down.
13	MR. DUNNE: Any other questions of
14	Mr. Kindlon?
15	THE BOARD MEMBERS: No.
16	Thank you very much.
17	MR. KINDLON: Thank you all very
18	much. Have a nice day.
19	MR. LEAHY: Thank you.
20	MR. DUNNE: Is James Milstein here?
21	James is Albany County's public
22	defender, and, once again, good to have
23	somebody who's in the trenches.
24	MR. MILSTEIN: Pleasure to be here
25	[inaudible] speaking as well. This

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	committee is charged with the important
3	task to determine under what circumstances
4	a person should be deemed to be unable to
5	afford counsel and thereby entitled to
6	representation at the cost of [inaudible].
7	My comments are designed to suggest that
8	there could be a myriad of factors and
9	circumstances that must be considered
10	before arriving at what would appear to be
11	a simple decision. There are two issues
12	that should be addressed and clarified by
13	this. The first is who determines whether
14	a client is eligible for representation and
15	the second issue is what criteria should be
16	utilized to determine if a person meets
17	eligibility criteria.
18	In Albany County, we're confronted
19	on a daily basis whether or not a client is
20	eligible to be assigned counsel at the
21	county's expense. Within Albany, there are
22	more than 35 judges that could potentially
23	assign a client in family court or criminal
24	court to our office. Some judges will
25	request we represent a client subject to

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	his later qualifying for our services, and
3	others will rely on our office to make the
4	determination as to whether a client is
5	eligible. Other judges believe that it's
6	the court's responsibility to determine if
7	the client is eligible, and will assign us
8	the cases. And then other courts will
9	automatically assign our office to any
10	client that's committed to the county jail,
11	as I heard a previous speaker [inaudible],
12	as pursuant to an order pursuant to 22
13	NYCRR 220.26.
14	When our attorneys appear with the
15	accused at their arraignment, it is
16	sometimes unclear whether our
17	representation continues throughout the
18	case or is just for the purposes of the
19	arraignment. For example, the court may
20	mark the court file with the public
21	defender as the counsel of record and
22	advise the client to go to our office to
23	have eligibility determined. If the client
24	doesn't come to our office, does not
25	communicate with the office, the court and

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	district attorney will frequently assume
3	that our office still represents the
4	client, and we're considered obligated to
5	reach out, find this client, who has never
6	come to our offices, to complete financial
7	questionnaires or intake.
8	If the client does apply for
9	representation to our office, we apply the
10	constitutional and statutory standards in
11	determining whether a person is financially
12	unable to afford counsel. Income measures,
13	such as percentage of poverty guidelines
14	are used to make an initial eligibility
15	determination, but they are not the sole
16	criteria utilized. Certainly, if a person
17	is receiving public assistance benefits,
18	that would be proof of an inability to hire
19	an attorney. Other factors the committee
20	may choose to consider in determining if a
21	person is financially able to afford
22	counsel are the income and expenses of the
23	client, the potential funds needed for a
24	retainer of private counsel, the assets or
25	debts of the client, the amount of bail

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	posted by or on behalf of the client, and
3	the complexity of the case and the cost of
4	private representation in the community.
5	In addition, the public defender is
6	frequently the first attorney to appear in
7	court and the last to leave. As a result,
8	there is a temptation by courts to assign
9	our office to individuals in order to get
10	the cases moving. An accused may come to
11	court with the belief that their charge is
12	not that serious, even though it's a
13	misdemeanor. The court does not believe it
14	can give legal advice to the accused and
15	will sometimes tell the person to go speak
16	with the public defender. This will result
17	in individuals being assigned who may not
18	otherwise be eligible, but it ensures that
19	the client's rights are protected.
20	A public defender's DNA is to help
21	who are accused of crimes. We don't turn
22	people away that easy. If a person appears
23	in court and is unfamiliar with the
24	process, the accused will often seek formal
25	or informal guidance from the public

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	defender. Sometimes clients come to court
3	believing that their first appearance is
4	their trial or their opportunity to be
5	heard on the case, in which case they want
6	to start telling the judge what happened in
7	their domestic violence situation.
8	Frequently judges will stop them and say,
9	"Go talk to the public defender."
10	Sometimes people have travelled at a
11	great expense or distance in attempt to
12	resolve the case in one appearance, and the
13	court is sympathetic to that person and
14	asks the public defender to assist the
15	person.
16	Thus, there is a spectrum of
17	circumstances and factors that will
18	determine when a public defender will be
19	appointed; sometimes regardless of
20	financial eligibility.
21	Another issue for the committee to
22	consider is whether a person I haven't
23	heard this discussed yet today. Is whether
24	a person who is a dependent on another's
25	income tax return should be eligible for

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	assignment. These cases would include when
3	a child or spouse is arrested. In cases
4	where a spouse or family member is the
5	complainant, it would be unfair to require
6	that the person that actually made the
7	complaint would then have to pay for the
8	representation of the person they accused
9	of a crime. In those cases, the accused
10	may believe the attorney is not serving
11	their best interest because they perceive
12	the attorney is getting manipulated by the
13	complainant who hired them. So the public
14	defender sometimes is the best choice to
15	make sure the person is best represented.
16	If the courts are going to liberally
17	assign public defenders in cases where the
18	accused may not necessarily be eligible,
19	this committee should consider examining
20	whether partial payment to the county could
21	be justified pursuant to Section 7.82(B)
22	[phonetic] of the county law. When a
23	person's liberty is taken at the
24	arraignment, there should be a presumption
25	that the client is financially unable to

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	afford counsel and the public defender
3	should be assigned.
4	In Albany County, our attorneys are
5	usually at the arraignment and can assist
6	the client in communicating with family and
7	posting bail. These steps will be critical
8	for the client
9	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry.
10	Excuse me, I couldn't hear that.
11	MS. MACRI: If you would just speak
12	up.
13	MR. MILSTEIN: Significant
14	collateral or direct consequences to the
15	accused, such as citizen status
16	citizenship status or license suspension.
17	However, if a client is not in custody and
18	is above the poverty guidelines, the court
19	should give the accused a brief adjournment
20	to demonstrate what efforts were made to
21	obtain counsel. Frequently, the accused
22	may have cash flow problems or they're
23	living paycheck to paycheck. There could
24	be a myriad of other circumstances for the
25	court to consider, which may make it

3attorney, especially when accused of a4felony.5In conclusion, this committee has an6important mission to establish clear7eligibility standards for New York.8However, it must be remembered that there9are numerous factors, based upon of the10nature of the offense and the nature of the11offender that should be considered in order12to determine whether a client is deemed to13be financially unable to afford counsel.14Thank you.15MR. DUNNE: Mr. Breslin?16MR. BRESLIN: Jim, what's your17notion of how this system could better18work, given all of the of the various19procedures that you have encountered with20judges, what you do you think is the best21way?22MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think,23especially under the offices of indigent24services is highly the importance of	I	
3attorney, especially when accused of a4felony.5In conclusion, this committee has as6important mission to establish clear7eligibility standards for New York.8However, it must be remembered that there9are numerous factors, based upon of the10nature of the offense and the nature of the11offender that should be considered in order12to determine whether a client is deemed to13be financially unable to afford counsel.14Thank you.15MR. DUNNE: Mr. Breslin?16MR. BRESLIN: Jim, what's your17notion of how this system could better18work, given all of the of the various19procedures that you have encountered with20judges, what you do you think is the best21way?22MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think,23especially under the offices of indigent24services is highly the importance of	1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
4felony.5In conclusion, this committee has an6important mission to establish clear7eligibility standards for New York.8However, it must be remembered that there9are numerous factors, based upon of the10nature of the offense and the nature of the11offender that should be considered in order12to determine whether a client is deemed to13be financially unable to afford counsel.14Thank you.15MR. BRESLIN: Jim, what's your16MR. BRESLIN: Jim, what's your17notion of how this system could better18work, given all of the of the various19procedures that you have encountered with20judges, what you do you think is the best21way?22MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think,23especially under the offices of indigent24services is highly the importance of	2	unlikely that the client is able to hire an
5In conclusion, this committee has an important mission to establish clear eligibility standards for New York.8However, it must be remembered that there are numerous factors, based upon of the nature of the offense and the nature of the offender that should be considered in order to determine whether a client is deemed to be financially unable to afford counsel.14Thank you.15MR. DUNNE: Mr. Breslin?16MR. BRESLIN: Jim, what's your notion of how this system could better work, given all of the of the various procedures that you have encountered with judges, what you do you think is the best way?22MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think, especially under the offices of indigent services is highly the importance of	3	attorney, especially when accused of a
 important mission to establish clear eligibility standards for New York. However, it must be remembered that there are numerous factors, based upon of the nature of the offense and the nature of the offender that should be considered in order to determine whether a client is deemed to be financially unable to afford counsel. Thank you. MR. DUNNE: Mr. Breslin? MR. BRESLIN: Jim, what's your notion of how this system could better work, given all of the of the various procedures that you have encountered with judges, what you do you think is the best way? MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think, especially under the offices of indigent services is highly the importance of 	4	felony.
 Peligibility standards for New York. However, it must be remembered that there are numerous factors, based upon of the nature of the offense and the nature of the offender that should be considered in order to determine whether a client is deemed to be financially unable to afford counsel. Thank you. MR. DUNNE: Mr. Breslin? MR. BRESLIN: Jim, what's your notion of how this system could better work, given all of the of the various procedures that you have encountered with judges, what you do you think is the best way? MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think, especially under the offices of indigent services is highly the importance of 	5	In conclusion, this committee has an
 However, it must be remembered that there However, it must be remembered that there are numerous factors, based upon of the nature of the offense and the nature of the offender that should be considered in order to determine whether a client is deemed to be financially unable to afford counsel. Thank you. MR. DUNNE: Mr. Breslin? MR. BRESLIN: Jim, what's your notion of how this system could better work, given all of the of the various procedures that you have encountered with judges, what you do you think is the best way? MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think, especially under the offices of indigent services is highly the importance of 	6	important mission to establish clear
9 are numerous factors, based upon of the nature of the offense and the nature of the offender that should be considered in order to determine whether a client is deemed to be financially unable to afford counsel. Thank you. 15 MR. DUNNE: Mr. Breslin? 16 MR. BRESLIN: Jim, what's your notion of how this system could better work, given all of the of the various procedures that you have encountered with judges, what you do you think is the best way? 22 MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think, especially under the offices of indigent services is highly the importance of	7	eligibility standards for New York.
10 nature of the offense and the nature of the offender a client is deemed to determine whether a client is deemed to be financially unable to afford counsel. 14 Thank you. 15 MR. DUNNE: Mr. Breslin? 16 MR. BRESLIN: Jim, what's your notion of how this system could better work, given all of the of the various procedures that you have encountered with judges, what you do you think is the best way? 22 MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think, especially under the offices of indigent services is highly the importance of	8	However, it must be remembered that there
offender that should be considered in order to determine whether a client is deemed to be financially unable to afford counsel. Thank you. MR. DUNNE: Mr. Breslin? MR. BRESLIN: Jim, what's your notion of how this system could better work, given all of the of the various procedures that you have encountered with judges, what you do you think is the best way? MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think, especially under the offices of indigent services is highly the importance of	9	are numerous factors, based upon of the
12to determine whether a client is deemed to13be financially unable to afford counsel.14Thank you.15MR. DUNNE: Mr. Breslin?16MR. BRESLIN: Jim, what's your17notion of how this system could better18work, given all of the of the various19procedures that you have encountered with20judges, what you do you think is the best21way?22MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think,23especially under the offices of indigent24services is highly the importance of	10	nature of the offense and the nature of the
be financially unable to afford counsel. Thank you. MR. DUNNE: Mr. Breslin? MR. BRESLIN: Jim, what's your notion of how this system could better work, given all of the of the various procedures that you have encountered with judges, what you do you think is the best way? MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think, especially under the offices of indigent services is highly the importance of	11	offender that should be considered in order
14 Thank you. 15 MR. DUNNE: Mr. Breslin? 16 MR. BRESLIN: Jim, what's your 17 notion of how this system could better 18 work, given all of the of the various 19 procedures that you have encountered with 20 judges, what you do you think is the best 21 way? 22 MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think, 23 especially under the offices of indigent 24 services is highly the importance of	12	to determine whether a client is deemed to
15 MR. DUNNE: Mr. Breslin? 16 MR. BRESLIN: Jim, what's your 17 notion of how this system could better 18 work, given all of the of the various 19 procedures that you have encountered with 20 judges, what you do you think is the best 21 way? 22 MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think, 23 especially under the offices of indigent 24 services is highly the importance of	13	be financially unable to afford counsel.
MR. BRESLIN: Jim, what's your notion of how this system could better work, given all of the of the various procedures that you have encountered with judges, what you do you think is the best way? MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think, especially under the offices of indigent services is highly the importance of	14	Thank you.
17 notion of how this system could better 18 work, given all of the of the various 19 procedures that you have encountered with 19 judges, what you do you think is the best 20 way? 22 MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think, 23 especially under the offices of indigent 24 services is highly the importance of	15	MR. DUNNE: Mr. Breslin?
18 work, given all of the of the various 19 procedures that you have encountered with judges, what you do you think is the best 20 way? 22 MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think, 23 especially under the offices of indigent 24 services is highly the importance of	16	MR. BRESLIN: Jim, what's your
19 procedures that you have encountered with 20 judges, what you do you think is the best 21 way? 22 MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think, 23 especially under the offices of indigent 24 services is highly the importance of	17	notion of how this system could better
 judges, what you do you think is the best way? MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think, especially under the offices of indigent services is highly the importance of 	18	work, given all of the of the various
21 way? 22 MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think, 23 especially under the offices of indigent 24 services is highly the importance of	19	procedures that you have encountered with
22 MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think, 23 especially under the offices of indigent 24 services is highly the importance of	20	judges, what you do you think is the best
especially under the offices of indigent services is highly the importance of	21	way?
24 services is highly the importance of	22	MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think,
	23	especially under the offices of indigent
25 arraignment. And our office has	24	services is highly the importance of
	25	arraignment. And our office has

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	fortunately received a grant and we've been
3	doing arraignments outside the regular
4	presence of the court. And what we've
5	determined, I think, is arraignments are
6	critical. They're dramatic. They're
7	important. Because at that point, a
8	person's liberty can be taken from them,
9	and then there's a waterfall of effects
10	that could occur if that liberty's taken.
11	MR. BRESLIN: We all agree to the
12	importance. And there's a question of
13	whether do you have a standard across the
14	board or who makes the decision and who has
15	the discretion? Who do you think is the
16	one who should be reviewing this discretion
17	and how that decision should be made?
18	MR. MILSTEIN: Well, I think
19	initially the presumption should be the
20	court should contact the public defender
21	for an arraignment, because if they're not
22	certain, unless the person accused says, "I
23	called Mr. Jones, he's my lawyer, and he's
24	going to be here any minute." And that's
25	fine. But other than that, I think our

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	office takes the position we should be
3	contacted for the arraignment. It's a
4	critical stage; however, once you reach
5	that stage, I think, there's a time where
6	you can step back, especially if the person
7	is released, to say, well, who now what
8	is going to be the criteria? Should it be
9	done by one intake office for the whole
10	county? The judges from when I've
11	spoken to them, believe it's the inherent
12	authority of the judge to determine who is
13	appointed. And sometimes once they're
14	appointed, well, if you learn factors that
15	are new and different, bring them to my
16	attention and we will reconsider the
17	appointment of counsel.
18	So I think it's in theory, the
19	judges still have to be the people that
20	make that determination, but I think we
21	have to have some type of guidelines that
22	will request the judges or implore the
23	judges to not necessarily automatically
24	appoint us where there is potential mole in
25	the action to give people an opportunity to

99

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	go out and hire an attorney.
3	We've had cases where people have
4	posted bail in the amount of \$60,000,
5	hundred thousand dollar bonds, and we're
6	the attorney of record. And you walk in
7	the court and everybody in the private
8	party is saying to you, "Why are you
9	representing this person?"
10	"We've been appointed."
11	And then it creates issues as to
12	what we're allowed to question the client
13	about, and a lot of times it's not the
14	client has the money. It's the people
15	around the client, the parents; the spouse;
16	family members, who pooled their resources
17	together, whether it's to post bail or hire
18	an attorney.
19	MR. LEAHY: Jim, do you see an
20	overuse of bail in minor cases,
21	misdemeanors and violation cases, along the
22	lines of Atty. Kindlon mentioned?
23	MR. MILSTEIN: I would say and I
24	think probably speaking as a defense
25	attorney, I would say, yes. I'm sure if we

100

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	polled certain judges and prosecutors,
3	they're probably saying there's not enough
4	use of bail because there's
5	MR. LEAHY: I'm just speaking from
6	the defense perspective.
7	MR. MILSTEIN: I would say, yes. I
8	think there should be a presumption that
9	people are people, bail should be
10	reasonable, and it should be reasonable not
11	just based on the charges but reasonable to
12	a person who might be reasonable to set
13	a thousand dollars bail for a person who
14	has a job that can easily post a thousand
15	dollars bail, but if you set bail for a
16	thousand dollars for a person who's
17	unemployed it would be equivelent to
18	setting bail at \$500,000.
19	MR. LEAHY: Thank you.
20	MS. MACRI: I have one question.
21	In terms of this idea of having
22	attorney arraignment to possibly determine
23	eligibility, for example, do you have any
24	concerns about the attorneys sharing that
25	information with the court when it is the

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	court that is obligated the assign, or do
3	you feel that the court should basically
4	just ensure some confidence in the public
5	defender, for example, in making that
6	determination?
7	MR. MILSTEIN: I think the court,
8	you know, should should have some
9	confidence in our office as to what we do.
10	I don't think we've ever gone and divulged
11	what the person has. It tends to be fairly
12	obvious to to the court. Sometimes it's
13	obvious by how the person is dressed, what
14	they're wearing, what pocketbook they have,
15	and how they approach, or what car they
16	drove up to court in. Word gets back to
17	the judge, and they'll say, "Why are you
18	representing this person?"
19	And I think so it's hard to set
20	up a full set of standards that will cover
21	everything. But I think we certainly don't
22	want to do any have any standards that
23	will result in people being unrepresented
24	for significant periods of time, and more
25	importantly, specifically, at arraignments

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	or when they're incarcerated and can't make
3	bail.
4	MS. MACRI: Thank you.
5	MR. DUNNE: Thank you very much.
6	Appreciate that.
7	MR. MILSTEIN: Thank you.
8	MR. DUNNE: Melanie Trimble is here
9	with us representing the New York Civil
10	Liberties Union Albany chapter. Welcome.
11	You've been very patient for staying.
12	MS. TRIMBLE: And thank you very
13	much for doing this. I really appreciate
14	everything. It's been, you know, very
15	educational for me and also to the
16	[inaudible] to establish a good statewide
17	standard.
18	I'm Melanie Trimble. I'm the
19	director of Capital Region chapter for the
20	New York Civil Liberties Union. We cover
21	eight counties in the area, including
22	Washington County, which is part of our
23	Hurrell-Harring suit. I speak today to
24	emphasize the need to develop statewide
25	standards for determining who's eligible

1ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility2for public defense services in criminal3cases. The NYCLU's litigation,4Hurrell-Harring5THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me,6would you speak up, please.7MS. TRIMBLE: Okay.8MS. MACRI: Thank you.9S. TRIMBLE: The NYCLU's10litigation, Hurrell-Harring vs. The Stat11of New York, resulted in an historic	ç
 3 cases. The NYCLU's litigation, 4 Hurrell-Harring 5 THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me, 6 would you speak up, please. 7 MS. TRIMBLE: Okay. 8 MS. MACRI: Thank you. 9 MS. TRIMBLE: The NYCLU's 10 litigation, Hurrell-Harring vs. The Stat 	õ
 4 Hurrell-Harring 5 THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me, 6 would you speak up, please. 7 MS. TRIMBLE: Okay. 8 MS. MACRI: Thank you. 9 MS. TRIMBLE: The NYCLU's 10 litigation, Hurrell-Harring vs. The Stat 	ð
 5 THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me, 6 would you speak up, please. 7 MS. TRIMBLE: Okay. 8 MS. MACRI: Thank you. 9 MS. TRIMBLE: The NYCLU'S 10 litigation, Hurrell-Harring vs. The Stat 	ð
 6 would you speak up, please. 7 MS. TRIMBLE: Okay. 8 MS. MACRI: Thank you. 9 MS. TRIMBLE: The NYCLU'S 10 litigation, Hurrell-Harring vs. The Stat 	ð
 MS. TRIMBLE: Okay. MS. MACRI: Thank you. MS. TRIMBLE: The NYCLU's litigation, Hurrell-Harring vs. The Stat 	Ð
8 MS. MACRI: Thank you. 9 MS. TRIMBLE: The NYCLU's 10 litigation, Hurrell-Harring vs. The Stat	Ð
9 MS. TRIMBLE: The NYCLU's 10 litigation, Hurrell-Harring vs. The Stat	Ð
10 litigation, Hurrell-Harring vs. The Stat	с
	Э
11 of New York, resulted in an historic	
12 agreement invoking new guidelines and	
13 requirements for public defense in five	
14 counties: Onondaga, Ontario, Schuyler,	
15 Suffolk, and Washington. The litigation	
16 also also gave rise to the mandate fo	2
17 the Office of Indigent sorry, Indigen	2
18 Legal Services to create statewide	
19 eligibility standards, which is the focu	3
20 of this hearing today.	
21 It is commonly asserted that ever	Y
22 defendant who cannot afford a private	
23 attorney eventually gets a public defend	er.
24 Even if this assertion were true, it is	
25 often the case of too little too late.	

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	Time and again our investigations across
3	New York State have uncovered wrongful
4	denials of counsel and uncounseled guilty
5	pleas that were accepted.
6	In my office's region, we have found
7	that these problems have particularly
8	pervasive in Washington County. The
9	Washington County Public Defender's Office
10	relies on eligibility guidelines that
11	ignore such crucial factors as debt
12	payments, regular monthly bills, credit
13	worthiness, or job loss due to arrest or
14	incarceration. And by its own admission,
15	the office does not appear in a large
16	number of arraignment sessions; thereby,
17	knowingly violating the right of counsel to
18	indigent defendants. Sadly, we have found
19	that similar circumstances exist in other
20	counties that are not covered by the
21	Hurrell-Harring agreement.
22	The ILS must also not overlook the
23	number of the large number of indigent
24	defendants who are not incarcerated at the
25	time of their arraignments. Generally

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	speaking, they are not presumptively
3	represented by public defense counsel, yet
4	misdemeanor defendants at liberty should
5	have no lesser right to counsel than any
6	other criminal defendant.
7	Even when judges eventually appoint
8	public defense counsel, initial denials
9	result in delays. Thus, in itself, it is
10	deprivation of the right to counsel during
11	the critical pretrial stage immediately
12	following the arraignment. Unfortunately,
13	New York has engaged in a decades-long
14	failure to ensure meaningful counsel for
15	poor people accused of crimes. Too often
16	this is resulting in public defenders'
17	offices that are underfunded and mismanaged
18	by cash-strapped and politically unwilling
19	county governments.
20	Although ILS now issues important
21	and laudable standards and although the
22	state has now agreed to provide necessary
23	resources to the five Hurrell-Harring
24	counties, the state continues to make
25	decisions that cause deprivations of the
•	

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	right to counsel in the forgotten 57
3	counties outside of New York City that are
4	not beneficiaries of the Hurrell-Harring
5	settlement. Access to justice should not
6	depend on which county a defendant is in.
7	Without an increase in state
8	funding, those forgotten counties will bear
9	the cost when state standards increase the
10	workloads of county defenders. In other
11	words, new standards may be more inclusive
12	to the populations they cause workload
13	increase.
14	Counties may object, but their
15	complaints, valid though they may be, do
16	not justify lower standards that fail to
17	ensure counsel to those that cannot afford
18	attorneys. Standards governing public
19	defense should drive drive funding, not
20	the other way around. While ILS will no
21	doubt have many assertions from those who
22	oppose higher standards, we would strongly
23	urge you not to accept representations and
24	theories unless they are backed up by
25	verifiable data, as those of the NYCLU are.

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	We thank the ILS for the opportunity
3	to testify today on the importance of
4	statewide eligibility standards. We look
5	forward to working with the ILS to ensure
6	that our criminal justice system does not
7	punish people simply because they are poor.
8	I have also offered you an expanded
9	testimony version so that you get more
10	detail.
11	MR. DUNNE: It will be part of the
12	record.
13	MS. TRIMBLE: Thank you very much.
14	I appreciate that.
15	Any questions?
16	MR. BRESLIN: One quick question:
17	Are you aware of any procedure set up in
18	any county which you think really hit the
19	button or is much closer to the idea in the
20	way they determine who does and doesn't get
21	a public defender?
22	MS. TRIMBLE: You know, I I
23	wasn't the lead attorney in the case, and
24	so I would have to refer back to the
25	attorneys that handled it.

ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility

Mr. BRESLIN: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

MS. TRIMBLE: Because I know they did a lot of research into many, many counties and dropped a few out because, in fact, the provision of indigent defense services was above certain standards. The five counties that we chose were representative of different systems of public defense and also different levels of care.

MR. LEAHY: I should mention that the time period for public comment on our eligibility requirements, it runs through August 26th, so further information becomes available that is responsive to Mr. Breslin's question as to --

MS. TRIMBLE: Yes, I be talking -- I believe you have another one in New York City, so at that point in time I will try to get an answer to that. MR. LEAHY: Thank you.

MS. BURTON: I have a question. So one of the key issues that many people have talked about is the issue of

> TARA M. DRAKE MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC. (518) 587 - 6832

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	who determines eligibility regardless of,
3	you know, at what point.
4	MS. TRIMBLE: Uh-huh.
5	MS. BURTON: Do you individually or
6	are you aware of any position by the NYCLU
7	with respect to whether or not that should
8	be an independent or the PD or
9	MS. TRIMBLE: I know what's
10	essential to my organization, is that
11	people are represented at arraignment and
12	that they get the most consistent legal
13	advice throughout their trip through the
14	criminal justice process, whatever way that
15	has to be determined. I mean I understand
16	the arguments that the public defender
17	deciding whether or not they're eligible
18	may actually be sort of a conflict of
19	interest in that they either want clients
20	or don't want clients, their case loads are
21	of a concern, so looking at an independent
22	body would be great, but then there are
23	political ramifications, who's on this
24	independent thing. And then judges,
25	actually I think in general across the

TARA M. DRAKE MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC. (518) 587 - 6832 110

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	state, have been the ones deciding it,
3	so but our main focus is that people be
4	represented at arraignment and then
5	throughout the criminal process to make it
6	as streamline as possible.
7	MS. BURTON: Okay.
8	MS. TRIMBLE: Thank you very much.
9	Appreciate it.
10	MR. DUNNE: See you in New York.
11	MS. TRIMBLE: Yes.
12	MR. DUNNE: If there are no further
13	members of the public who would like to
14	testify, we will close this hearing and
15	thank you very much for your attention.
16	Mr. Leahy?
17	MR. LEAHY: If I could, just with a
18	closing note, extend our special thanks to
19	the Office of Court Administration and
20	specifically two people: the district
21	director for the third judicial district,
22	Beth Diebel; and chief clerk of the Albany
23	County Supreme Court and County Courts,
24	Charles Diamond; as well as all the OCA
25	staff here and our court reporter for

TARA M. DRAKE MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC. (518) 587 - 6832

1	ILS Public Hearing on Eligibility
2	allowing us the opportunity to access this
3	court and its facilities and have a record
4	of the proceedings. Thank you very much.
5	MS. BURTON: Thank you.
6	MS. MACRI: Thank you.
7	(Whereupon, at 12:51 p.m., the
8	record was closed.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	I, Tara M. Drake, a Court Reporter and
5	Notary Public in and for the State of New York,
6	do hereby certify that the foregoing record
7	taken by me at the time and place herein stated
8	and the preceding testimony is a true and
9	accurate transcript hereof to the best of my
10	ability and belief.
11	
12	Tara M. Drake
13	TARA M. DRAKE
14	
15	Dated: July 28, 2015
16	
17	** PLEASE NOTE: This transcript is not to be distributed to any third-party. You may copy it
18	or send it internally within your own offices and branches. Notify this office first if you
19	need to distribute or copy any portion of it for any other purposes.
20	any cener parposes.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

\$10,000 [1] - 88:12 \$174 [1] - 6:5 \$20,000 [5] - 9:8, 13:17, 15:19, 18:4, 18.6 \$200 [1] - 50:16 \$214 [1] - 50:12 \$215 [1] - 50:23 \$30,000 [1] - 11:9 \$500,000 [1] - 101:18 \$60,000 [1] - 100:4 1 [2] - 63:20, 68:13 10 [1] - 80:23 **11** [1] - 1:10 12207 [1] - 1:9 **125** [1] - 84:21 1277 [1] - 62:22 12:51 [1] - 112:7 **15**[1] - 58:20 16 [3] - 1:8, 1:10, 12:9 **170** [1] - 43:22 170.10 [3] - 43:22, 44:9, 64:6 **180.10** [1] - 64:12 1872 [1] - 62:21 18B [1] - 2:25 **1965** [1] - 3:2 **1974** [1] - 60:5 1977 [2] - 42:2, 42:8 2 [3] - 52:20, 63:22, 68:15 2001 [1] - 62:15 2005 [1] - 42:9 2006 [1] - 77:17 2013 [1] - 87:19 2015 [2] - 1:10, 113:15 22 [2] - 66:20, 91:12 220.26 [1] - 91:13 24 [1] - 66:22 24-hours [1] - 73:11 26th [1] - 109:15 27 [2] - 42:3, 57:8 28 [1] - 113:15 2:00 [1] - 67:11 3 [4] - 44:5, 44:9, 63:24, 68:16 30 [1] - 45:23 **35** [1] - 90:22 350 [1] - 83:11 365 [2] - 20:19, 73:11 38 [1] - 42:2 3:00 [1] - 67:11 4 [3] - 44:4, 63:25, 68:17 427 [1] - 1:8 **4:00** [1] - 67:11 5[1] - 68:18 50 [1] - 2:20 **510.30** [1] - 68:7 **57** [3] - 6:2, 7:13, 107:2 6 [2] - 45:22, 68:20 7 [2] - 68:22, 73:11 7.82(B [1] - 95:21

70 [1] - 43:21 722-d [1] - 72:12 8 [1] - 68:24 **9** [1] - 53:6 99 [1] - 35:7 a.m [2] - 1:10, 53:6 ability [4] - 25:13, 25:16, 82:19, 113:10 able [12] - 23:5, 25:24, 28:6, 31:19, 49:3, 50:7, 51:2, 56:16, 56:17, 84:8, 92:21, 97:2 absolute [1] - 10:24 absolutely [3] - 23:11, 86:4, 87:11 absorb [1] - 7:17 abuse [2] - 8:11, 37:4 abused [1] - 8:10 abusing [1] - 15:5 accept [1] - 107:23 accepted [1] - 105:5 access [3] - 11:19, 107:5, 112:2 accessible [1] - 47:8 accommodation [1] - 30:5 account [1] - 70:18 accurate [1] - 113:9 accused [19] -80:9, 91:15, 93: 95:8, 95:9, 95:1 97:3, 98:22, 10(achieve [2] - 5: action [3] - 65:2, 00.27, 00.20 active [2] - 3:25, 4:8 actual [2] - 71:6, 83:12 add [1] - 5:10 addition [4] - 65:15, 66:9, 93:5 additional [2] - 47:20, 65:12 address [4] - 7:25, 10:17, 64:3, 74:19 addressed [2] - 72:20, 90:12 addresses [1] - 87:7 adjournment [2] - 64:17, 96:19 Administration [1] - 111:19 administrator [1] - 17:9 admission [1] - 105:14 adverse [1] - 38:10 advice [3] - 19:13, 93:14, 110:13 advise [3] - 44:5, 44:10, 91:22 advisement [1] - 76:24 afford [32] - 11:2, 11:18, 13:2, 13:4, 14:14, 18:7, 25:13, 25:16, 25:24, 26:7, 28:6, 28:9, 29:5, 31:18, 36:8, 44:7, 50:17, 50:18, 53:25, 58:19, 64:24, 70:17, 71:6, 72:6, 90:5, 92:12, 92:21, 96:2, 97:13, 104:22, 107:17 afloat [1] - 31:4 aforesaid [1] - 67:23 afternoon [5] - 61:25, 62:2, 62:11, 63:2, 77:7 agencies [1] - 67:23 agency [2] - 48:7, 69:13 agency/unit [1] - 66:25 agendas [1] - 75:18 TARA M. DALY MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES, (518) 587 - 6832

aggravation [1] - 57:4 ago [7] - 2:20, 4:13, 51:13, 77:9, 80:22, 80:24, 87:20 agree [5] - 11:21, 12:16, 12:24, 80:6, 98:11 agreed [1] - 106:22 agreement [2] - 104:12, 105:21 Aid [1] - 60:14 aid [2] - 66:24, 69:5 aired [1] - 38:24 Albany [23] - 1:7, 1:9, 1:19, 6:5, 8:15, 13:10, 14:11, 14:17, 19:3, 19:6, 19:11, 19:18, 41:25, 44:17, 53:15, 77:17, 79:10, 89:21, 90:18, 90:21, 96:4, 103:10, 111:22 alhern [1] - 77:23 alike [1] - 76:15 allegations [1] - 38:23 alleviate [1] - 13:13 allow [2] - 51:23, 71:21 allowed [1] - 100:12 allowing [1] - 112:2 almost [1] - 27:6 alternate [3] - 77:22, 79:17, 81:16 alternative [1] - 32:14 amend [1] - 9:25 amount (4) - 71:11, 25:5, 02:25, 100:4 amounts [1] - 11:8 analogy [1] - 37:3 analysis [3] - 28:5, 28:9, 37:6 Angela [3] - 1:16, 4:20, 4:25 announced [1] - 2:21 another's [1] - 94:24 answer [8] - 5:2, 21:21, 38:24, 81:14, 81:18, 84:5, 109:21 answers [1] - 36:16 anticipated [1] - 70:21 anyways [1] - 30:14 apologize [2] - 27:24, 66:2 appeal [3] - 24:17, 39:18, 40:4 appeals [1] - 87:21 appear [6] - 69:10, 88:13, 90:10, 91:14, 93:6, 105:15 appearance [3] - 58:22, 94:3, 94:12 appearances [1] - 68:21 appeared [6] - 44:15, 44:19, 44:20, 44:22, 44:23, 59:16 appearing [3] - 59:22, 64:15, 73:19 applicant [1] - 71:13 applicants [1] - 8:21 application [8] - 11:12, 26:16, 31:16, 39:20, 65:20, 70:12, 70:24, 71:20 applied [3] - 34:24, 50:14 apply [3] - 7:21, 92:8, 92:9 appoint [2] - 99:24, 106:7 appointed [7] - 42:7, 44:8, 64:22, 94:19, 99:13, 99:14, 100:10 appointment [1] - 99:17 appreciate [14] - 5:19, 5:22, 5:23,

6:17, 20:13, 61:18, 61:19, 66:6, 76:8, 77:2, 103:6, 103:13, 108:14, 111:9 approach [1] - 102:15 approval [2] - 9:9, 85:20 area [3] - 41:16, 59:6, 103:21 argue [1] - 23:23 arguing [1] - 87:20 argument [2] - 29:3, 87:24 arguments [2] - 87:25, 110:16 arises [2] - 40:23, 40:24 arraigned [2] - 73:17, 79:17 arraignment [56] - 15:12, 15:17, 21:12, 22:9, 22:10, 22:11, 22:15, 23:2, 23:10, 23:19, 24:10, 29:2, 29:4, 29:11, 29:20, 43:20, 43:23, 45:25, 46:9, 46:14, 52:18, 53:6, 57:21, 58:7, 61:10, 63:21, 64:4, 64:8, 65:17, 66:12, 66:13, 66:17, 66:23, 67:10, 68:5, 69:6, 69:11, 69:15, 69:22, 73:3, 73:4, 73:23, 79:9, 79:15, 91:15, 91:19, 95:24, 96:5, 97:25, 98:21, 99:3, 101:22, 105:16, 106:12, 110:11, 111:4 arraignments [12] - 21:15, 23:3, 37:15, 45:4, 47:6, 53:9, 60:12, 64:13, 98:3, 98:5, 102:25, 105:25 arrest [1] - 105:13 arrested [9] - 23:13, 27:5, 28:20, 29:20, 29:23, 53:11, 55:13, 85:13, 95:3 arrive [1] - 27:19 arriving [1] - 90:10 article [1] - 19:8 Article [1] - 43:21 articulated [1] - 20:5 aside [2] - 27:8, 87:5 aspect [2] - 17:2, 17:14 aspects [1] - 6:20 assembled [1] - 4:15 Assemblywoman [1] - 19:22 asserted [1] - 104:21 assertion [1] - 104:24 assertions [1] - 107:21 assessment [2] - 66:11, 72:11 assets [10] - 35:3, 35:20, 42:21, 48:21, 49:17, 71:16, 71:17, 72:3, 92:24 assign [12] - 41:7, 43:17, 43:18, 48:3, 59:20, 61:5, 90:23, 91:7, 91:9, 93:8, 95:17, 102:2 assigned [14] - 42:13, 44:3, 56:3, 66:13, 66:16, 80:21, 82:3, 82:10, 85:2, 85:4, 88:15, 90:20, 93:17, 96:3 assigning [3] - 50:3, 66:15, 72:9 Assignment [3] - 1:4, 67:3, 67:4 assignment [12] - 2:19, 29:14, 43:5, 43:6, 43:13, 58:6, 66:19, 67:5, 79:8, 86:2, 86:14, 95:2 assist [2] - 94:14, 96:5 assistance [6] - 6:12, 19:5, 61:4, 64:15, 71:25, 92:17 assistant [2] - 77:22, 78:5 assistants [1] - 56:25

Association [5] - 60:6, 61:24, 62:17, 62:20, 74:17 association [2] - 69:22, 74:24 assume [1] - 92:2 at that time [2] - 23:7, 67:14 at the same time [1] - 76:10 at the time [6] - 24:9, 30:14, 66:12, 69:6, 105:24, 113:7 attack [1] - 22:18 attempt [3] - 7:25, 53:24, 94:11 attend [2] - 4:4, 62:7 attendance [1] - 68:12 attending [1] - 4:3 attention [7] - 17:2, 22:2, 25:5, 35:19, 42:22, 99:16, 111:15 attitude [1] - 61:2 attorney [65] - 8:24, 12:9, 13:2, 13:4, 14:7, 14:13, 14:15, 15:16, 18:9, 21:2, 22:22, 22:24, 23:10, 25:14, 25:16, 28:10, 28:22, 28:24, 29:6, 29:8, 29:10, 29:24, 30:4, 30:14, 31:18, 31:25, 32:3, 36:8, 40:18, 41:18, 41:23, 47:12, 47:14, 50:18, 50:21, 56:3, 58:10, 58:13, 58:15, 58:19, 58:21, 58:24 50:2 61:40 71.7 77:5, 82:14, 82: 85:18, 92:2, 92: 97:3, 100:2, 100 au 101:22, 104:23, Attornev's [1] > attorney-client [3] - 58:10, 58:21, 59:3 attorneys [17] - 19:13, 21:12, 21:14, 22:9, 23:19, 24:4, 29:14, 29:15, 32:8, 37:14, 51:3, 63:23, 91:14, 96:4, 101:24, 107:18, 108:25 attornies [1] - 8:6 Atty [1] - 100:22 audience [1] - 19:2 August [1] - 109:15 authority [1] - 99:12 automatic [4] - 25:17, 58:6, 79:7, 86:14 automatically [2] - 91:9, 99:23 automobile [3] - 28:12, 35:2 automobiles [1] - 26:20 available [6] - 10:13, 30:13, 67:17, 69:10, 109:16 average [1] - 73:15 avoid [2] - 51:21, 56:20 aware [6] - 3:9, 8:4, 8:9, 49:25, 108:17, 110:6 awareness [1] - 5:17 backed [1] - 107:24 background [4] - 7:24, 16:17, 41:15, 77:15 bad [2] - 32:3, 51:14 badly [2] - 34:9, 34:10 bail [41] - 9:15, 10:12, 13:17, 17:5, 18:7, 22:18, 22:19, 28:21, 31:8, 67:25, 68:6, 68:9, 73:21, 75:8, 79:22, 79:25,

85:5, 85:6, 86:22, 87:3, 87:9, 87:17, 87:18, 88:3, 88:4, 88:12, 89:8, 89:9, 89:11, 92:25, 96:7, 100:4, 100:17, 100:20, 101:4, 101:9, 101:13, 101:15, 101:18, 103:3 bails [2] - 9:5, 9:8 bar [3] - 60:4, 60:13, 79:4 Bar [1] - 60:6 based [7] - 42:20, 53:19, 54:20, 76:7, 83:24, 97:9, 101:11 baseline [4] - 15:13, 74:24, 83:5, 84:7 baselines [1] - 15:8 basic [2] - 48:19, 82:4 basis [5] - 70:16, 76:2, 76:4, 76:11. 90:19 bear [1] - 107:8 bears [1] - 81:12 became [2] - 42:10, 47:23 become [1] - 9:22 becomes [2] - 21:17, 109:15 begin [2] - 6:23, 9:24 beginning [3] - 15:12, 28:18, 30:23 begins [1] - 10:3 behalf [2] - 1:25, 93:2 behind [1] - 8:22 belief [7] - 6:24, 8:7, 9:21, 31:13, 59:8, 93:11, 113:10 AC RO below [1] - 71:22 bench [3] - 40:12, 45:15, 75:6 benchmark [2] - 76:10, 84:21 beneficiaries [1] - 107:4 benefit [1] - 49:14 benefits [2] - 49:10, 92:17 best [15] - 12:13, 14:12, 58:13, 65:23, 67:14, 76:23, 77:11, 77:20, 78:11, 95:11, 95:14, 95:15, 97:20, 113:9 Beth [1] - 111:22 better [5] - 2:3, 4:25, 8:8, 60:19, 97:17 between [1] - 39:15 beyond [3] - 58:15, 58:18, 89:8 big [1] - 35:2 bigger [1] - 85:24 Bill [6] - 3:20, 4:11, 5:9, 6:9, 10:15, 21:8 bill [3] - 4:16, 7:16, 19:23 bills [1] - 105:12 bit [4] - 17:23, 26:25, 36:25, 78:8 blanket [1] - 76:6 blow [1] - 23:14 blows [2] - 33:21 BMW [2] - 34:16, 35:4 BMWs [1] - 14:3 BOARD [2] - 77:3, 89:15 board [7] - 4:2, 4:8, 5:24, 15:21, 16:12, 18:11, 98:14 Bob [2] - 21:2, 36:17 bodies [1] - 81:21 body [2] - 52:6, 110:22 bolster [2] - 60:15, 60:17

TARA M. DALY MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES, 3 (518) 587 - 6832

bonds [2] - 87:3, 100:5 bondsman [1] - 89:10 bondsmen [1] - 89:11 boots [1] - 22:5 born [1] - 78:3 borrow [5] - 26:7, 28:15, 28:16, 31:5, 35.5 borrowed [1] - 31:9 bother [1] - 14:24 bothers [1] - 14:9 bought [2] - 29:13, 35:7 boy [1] - 36:3 brains [1] - 85:24 branches [1] - 113:18 breadwinner[1] - 11:10 break [1] - 51:23 breathed [1] - 4:12 Brennan [2] - 51:12, 52:3 Breslin [9] - 1:14, 3:25, 17:8, 17:17, 18:18, 33:14, 62:6, 75:21, 97:15 BRESLIN [14] - 27:18, 27:23, 32:24, 33:3, 33:6, 33:15, 35:10, 35:14, 62:8, 74:11, 97:16, 98:11, 108:16, 109:2 Breslin's [1] - 109:17 brief [4] - 43:15, 77:15, 83:21, 96:19 bring [5] - 40:12, 60:7, 65:22, 72:11, 99:15 brings [1] - 39:21 broad [1] - 16:25 broken [2] - 58:23, 72:22 Brooklyn [1] - 60:11 brother [1] - 36:4 brother-in-law [1] - 36:4 brought [6] - 7:22, 25:5, 42:21, 65:24, 75:11, 76:3 bubbles [1] - 88:14 budgets [1] - 7:12 built [1] - 57:9 bumper [1] - 35:8 burden [1] - 3:11 burdens [1] - 5:16 BURTON [13] - 12:22, 36:17, 38:25, 57:16, 82:11, 82:16, 82:21, 82:23, 83:2, 109:23, 110:5, 111:7, 112:5 Burton [2] - 1:16, 4:20 business [1] - 83:17 busy [1] - 20:14 button [1] - 108:19 calculation [1] - 26:25 callout [1] - 69:13 cannot [5] - 11:18, 12:25, 76:5, 104:22, 107:17 capable [1] - 4:15 capacity [1] - 42:10 capital [1] - 17:21 Capital [2] - 18:3, 103:19 car [6] - 28:16, 34:20, 35:5, 35:6, 35:8, 102:15 care [4] - 33:23, 36:21, 88:20, 109:11

career [3] - 51:9, 77:20, 83:22 careful [2] - 22:25, 26:2 Carrie [3] - 1:22, 61:20, 62:12 carry [2] - 29:16, 88:6 carrying [1] - 3:11 cartels [1] - 84:15 case [38] - 12:3, 12:11, 23:6, 23:22, 24:9, 26:8, 32:13, 33:13, 33:19, 34:7, 34:12, 34:18, 37:17, 38:6, 43:9, 43:13, 49:18, 49:20, 52:16, 56:4, 60:12, 70:16, 76:4, 76:11, 83:19, 83:25, 88:14, 91:18, 93:3, 94:5, 94:12, 104:25, 108:23, 110:20 case-by-case [3] - 70:16, 76:4, 76:11 case-related [1] - 23:6 caseload [3] - 12:5, 51:19, 63:22 caseloads [1] - 14:19 cases [32] - 3:12, 22:4, 24:24, 31:6, 31:22, 32:11, 37:4, 37:8, 38:4, 43:5, 49:6, 49:7, 49:21, 60:15, 60:23, 61:5, 78:7, 82:24, 83:13, 84:24, 86:22, 88:17, 91:8, 93:10, 95:2, 95:3, 95:9, 95:17, 100:3, 100:20, 100:21, 104:3 cash [5] - 70:10 07-2 00-0 06-22 106:18 cash-strappe category [1] - 1 ara Catskill [1] - 5! caught [2] - 30 causes [1] - 33:22 cautiously [1] - 17:6 cell [4] - 29:19, 69:15, 69:16, 73:22 Center [2] - 51:12, 52:3 centers [2] - 19:5, 19:7 centralized [1] - 53:14 century [1] - 2:11 certain [5] - 8:12, 65:5, 98:22, 101:2, 109:7 certainly [7] - 9:17, 12:24, 18:16, 31:10, 39:7, 92:16, 102:21 Certainly [1] - 73:17 **CERTIFICATION** [1] - 113:2 certify [1] - 113:6 cetera [2] - 66:24, 70:21 chain [1] - 54:12 Chairman [2] - 41:19, 41:21 chairman [1] - 18:11 challenging [1] - 76:18 changed [2] - 49:11, 80:7 chapter [2] - 103:10, 103:19 character [1] - 68:13 characterized [1] - 71:18 charge [7] - 11:11, 11:13, 33:11, 51:3, 64:19, 64:23, 93:11 charged [4] - 64:21, 71:9, 72:24, 90:2 charges [5] - 43:25, 47:11, 47:21, 48:23, 101:11 Charles [1] - 111:24 chief [4] - 18:12, 41:16, 42:5, 111:22 TARA M. DALY MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES,

(518) 587 - 6832

child [3] - 37:3, 37:5, 95:3 children [2] - 23:16, 50:21 choice [4] - 73:18, 79:24, 87:10, 95:14 choose [2] - 73:18, 92:20 chose [1] - 109:8 circumstance [1] - 58:25 circumstances [7] - 76:13, 80:13, 90:3, 90:9, 94:17, 96:24, 105:19 citizen [1] - 96:15 citizenship [1] - 96:16 City [5] - 48:6, 86:24, 87:13, 107:3, 109.20 city [1] - 53:15 civil [1] - 89:6 Civil [2] - 103:9, 103:20 clarified [1] - 90:12 clear [3] - 46:10, 59:11, 97:6 clearly [6] - 49:7, 49:9, 49:17, 57:13, 80:25 clerk [1] - 111:22 client [35] - 25:3, 42:19, 47:13, 53:23, 56:22, 58:10, 58:12, 58:21, 59:3, 85:12, 85:19, 90:14, 90:19, 90:23, 90:25, 91:4, 91:7, 91:10, 91:22, 91:23, 92:4, 92:5, 92:8, 92:23, 92:25, 93:2, 95:25, 96:6, 96;8, 96:17, 97:2, 97:12, 100:12, 100/14, 100:15 NORO client's [1] - 93:19 clients [13] - 22:13, 30:22, 39:7, 39:25, 51:17, 54:2, 55:5, 78:11, 83:18, 94:2, 110:19, 110:20 clock [2] - 22:6, 29:12 close [6] - 17:22, 31:23, 32:10, 32:12, 33:4, 111:14 closed [3] - 34:18, 72:24, 112:8 closely [3] - 3:14, 9:16, 84:25 closer[1] - 108:19 closing [1] - 111:18 coats [1] - 54:15 Code [1] - 66:20 cognizance [1] - 86:18 collateral [3] - 9:24, 13:19, 96:14 colleague [3] - 4:7, 27:16, 77:24 collecting [1] - 49:9 collision [2] - 38:5, 38:6 colloquy [1] - 65:8 Colonie [1] - 78:4 Columbia [17] - 20:25, 21:11, 21:13, 24:7, 29:8, 29:21, 35:22, 35:24, 35:25, 41:9, 44:17, 48:11, 62:14, 62:16, 65:22, 69:7, 70:10 coming [7] - 5:20, 13:21, 27:24, 40:2, 61:18, 61:19, 76:19 commences [1] - 43:12 comment [2] - 88:3, 109:13 comments [2] - 88:6, 90:7 committed [1] - 91:10 committee [5] - 90:2, 92:19, 94:21, 95:19, 97:5

common [2] - 9:14, 11:6 commonly [2] - 11:7, 104:21 communicate [2] - 64:18, 91:25 communicating [1] - 96:6 communications [1] - 39:8 community [4] - 63:11, 68:17, 80:6, 93:4 company [1] - 35:8 comparable [1] - 64:12 complainant [2] - 95:5, 95:13 complaint [2] - 64:11, 95:7 complaints [2] - 64:13, 107:15 complete [2] - 55:25, 92:6 complex [1] - 26:12 complexity [1] - 93:3 compound [1] - 88:22 concept [2] - 30:20, 34:25 concern [3] - 11:16, 45:23, 110:21 concerned [2] - 60:14, 61:3 concerns [3] - 3:10, 59:8, 101:24 conclusion [1] - 97:5 condition [2] - 68:14, 68:16 conduct [1] - 52:7 conference [1] - 75:14 confidence [2] - 102:4, 102:9 confidential [2] - 39:8, 39:13 confidentiality [5] - 46:22, 46:23, 46:24, 51:18, 57:25 conflict [10] - 8:24, 41:3, 41:7, 51:17, 52:8, 66:24, 78:5, 79:16, 82:17, 110:18 conflicts [1] - 51:25 confrontation [1] - 24:12 confronted [1] - 90:18 confronts [1] - 78:17 congratulations [1] - 19:3 consequence [1] - 7:5 consequences [2] - 36:20, 96:14 consider [6] - 68:6, 74:4, 92:20, 94:22, 95:19, 96:25 considerations [1] - 23:23 considered [4] - 68:12, 90:9, 92:4, 97:11 consistent [1] - 110:12 constitute [2] - 6:21, 7:10 constitutional [2] - 65:13, 92:10 Constitutions [1] - 73:7 contact [4] - 34:22, 69:14, 84:12, 98:20 contacted [1] - 99:3 contemplate [1] - 64:14 contest [2] - 39:17, 40:24 context [1] - 22:7 continue [1] - 59:5 continues [3] - 43:6, 91:17, 106:24 contrast [1] - 51:11 contributing [1] - 4:2 control [2] - 51:19, 68:10 conversations [1] - 69:21

cooperative [1] - 34:8 copies [2] - 65:25, 70:13 copy [3] - 67:7, 113:17, 113:19 Corp [1] - 77:16 correct [2] - 17:12, 20:20 correctional [1] - 71:24 cost [9] - 7:17, 9:20, 70:21, 71:6, 72:14, 83:12, 90:6, 93:3, 107:9 costs [2] - 51:3, 54:13 counsel [72] - 2:19, 2:24, 3:12, 9:13, 10:14, 15:11, 25:25, 28:6, 30:2, 37:12, 42:14, 43:6, 43:14, 43:17, 43:18, 43:19, 44:6, 44:7, 44:11, 48:3, 50:3, 61:5, 63:21, 64:4, 64:16, 64:17, 64:19, 64:22, 65:4, 65:7, 65:10, 66:13, 66:16, 66:19, 67:6, 69:6, 69:22, 70:18, 70:21, 72:7, 72:9, 73:20, 73:22, 73:24, 75:10, 81:2, 82:4, 82:10, 85:2, 86:2, 86:15, 90:5, 90:20, 91:21, 92:12, 92:22, 92:24, 96:2, 96:21, 97:13, 99:17, 105:4, 105:17, 106:3, 106:5, 106:8, 106:10, 106:14, 107:2, 107:17 Counsel [1] - 1:4 counties [22] - 6:2 6:3 7:10 7:18 7:21, 7:22, 9:20 48:10, 53:17, 54 105:20, 106:24, 109:5, 109:8 country [2] - 1! counts [1] - 26:12 County [51] - 1:7, 1:19, 5:15, 8:15, 13:10, 14:11, 14:17, 19:4, 19:6, 20:25, 21:11, 21:13, 29:8, 29:21, 35:23, 35:24, 36:2, 41:9, 41:17, 42:6, 43:14, 44:16, 44:17, 44:18, 44:19, 44:22, 44:24, 45:22, 47:22, 48:11, 48:12, 50:23, 52:13, 57:7, 60:6, 61:11, 61:13, 62:14, 62:17, 65:22, 69:8, 70:10, 72:12, 90:18, 96:4, 103:22, 105:8, 105:9, 111:23 county [35] - 5:13, 6:5, 6:14, 7:6, 7:12, 19:14, 21:16, 21:18, 22:10, 22:12, 22:16, 24:16, 24:21, 34:15, 39:9, 43:7, 43:8, 43:11, 43:15, 45:13, 49:23, 51:20, 52:13, 53:7, 53:22, 54:8, 81:4, 91:10, 95:20, 95:22, 99:10, 106:19, 107:6, 107:10, 108:18 County's [1] - 89:21 county's [1] - 90:21 couple [4] - 54:13, 78:7, 80:24, 84:24 course [4] - 26:8, 34:2, 55:15, 87:14 Court [6] - 61:22, 73:10, 78:4, 111:19, 111:23, 113:4 COURT [2] - 96:9, 104:5 court [95] - 2:20, 2:24, 8:23, 12:19, 13:25, 17:11, 25:9, 36:24, 37:2, 37:6, 37:11, 37:13, 37:14, 37:17, 37:19, 37:21, 38:2, 38:3, 38:7, 38:11, 38:19, 39:21, 40:6, 41:7, 43:2, 43:7, 43:8, 43:11, 43:15, 43:21, 44:9, 44:12, 45:8,

45:13, 45:19, 46:4, 46:6, 47:15, 53:4, 53:7, 53:12, 54:8, 58:22, 59:23, 63:8, 63:10, 64:23, 64:25, 65:5, 65:7, 66:14, 66:17, 66:22, 67:13, 68:6, 68:11, 68:21, 69:14, 70:14, 72:3, 72:8, 76:20, 79:6, 79:10, 82:8, 84:24, 85:20, 87:21, 89:4, 90:23, 90:24, 91:19, 91:20, 91:25, 93:7, 93:11, 93:13, 93:23, 94:2, 94:13, 96:18, 96:25, 98:4, 98:20, 100:7, 101:25, 102:2, 102:3, 102:7, 102:12, 102:16, 111:25, 112:3 court's [2] - 72:11, 91:6 courteous [1] - 25:7 Courthouse [1] - 1:7 courtroom [2] - 2:4, 40:11 Courtroom [1] - 1:8 courts [32] - 6:14, 8:13, 8:16, 9:6, 11:8, 17:22, 22:6, 24:2, 24:17, 24:25, 29:9, 39:11, 43:3, 44:15, 44:23, 44:25, 45:2, 46:25, 47:25, 59:15, 60:10, 62:22, 63:6, 69:9, 72:19, 72:23, 78:2, 88:8, 91:8, 93:8, 95:16 Courts [1] - 111:23 cover [2] - 102:20, 103:20 covered [1] - 105:20 CPL [1] - 68:7 drack [2] - 24:8, 24:9 reate [2] - 59:2, 104:18 created [3] - 4:13, 6:20, 7:11 creates [4] - 46:17, 58:21, 79:23, 100:11 credit [1] - 105:12 crime [4] - 46:19, 47:9, 71:9, 95:9 crimes [2] - 93:21, 106:15 criminal [28] - 2:24, 3:12, 6:14, 6:25, 8:5, 8:16, 9:25, 17:10, 22:10, 22:11, 30:24, 37:21, 38:4, 43:13, 45:19, 55:9, 63:8, 63:10, 68:18, 68:24, 78:18, 84:13, 90:23, 104:2, 106:6, 108:6, 110:14, 111:5 Criminal [4] - 43:22, 60:6, 64:6, 64:11 crisis [1] - 9:25 criteria [15] - 45:6, 45:12, 47:22, 50:7, 50:10, 59:9, 63:5, 74:24, 79:3, 80:19, 81:6, 90:15, 90:17, 92:16, 99:8 critical [7] - 23:11, 27:4, 28:8, 96:7, 98:6, 99:4, 106:11 crucial [1] - 105:11 current [5] - 62:19, 63:13, 64:7, 72:18, 72.21 custody [1] - 96:17 cut [1] - 85:14 cuts [1] - 27:10 daily [1] - 90:19 damage [2] - 32:7, 38:19 Dan [1] - 5:13 danger [2] - 38:9, 80:5 dangerous [1] - 7:5 dangers [1] - 62:4

TARA M. DALY MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES, I (518) 587 - 6832

Daniel [1] - 1:19 data [3] - 17:18, 39:24, 107:25 Dated [1] - 113:15 days [4] - 20:19, 29:12, 73:11 de [1] - 42:14 deal [2] - 10:10, 34:3 dealing [3] - 45:11, 45:13, 46:7 dear [3] - 3:24, 4:6, 62:8 debated [1] - 3:2 ${\bm debt}\,{\scriptscriptstyle [8]}-26{\scriptscriptstyle :}3,\,26{\scriptscriptstyle :}19,\,30{\scriptscriptstyle :}21,\,30{\scriptscriptstyle :}23,$ 31:2, 105:11 debts [2] - 71:25, 92:25 decades [1] - 106:13 decades-long [1] - 106:13 decide [2] - 8:17, 79:25 deciding [2] - 110:17, 111:2 decision [23] - 7:9, 10:24, 23:21, 23:25, 24:18, 24:20, 25:9, 30:15, 31:17, 32:25, 36:11, 38:10, 39:18, 39:23, 40:13, 42:12, 42:23, 43:16, 72:4, 90:11, 98:14, 98:17 decision-making [1] - 42:12 decisions [6] - 23:11, 30:5, 32:5, 43:4, 82:5, 106:25 decline [1] - 24:21 dedicated [2] - 29:13, 37:14 deem [1] - 68:2 deemed [4] - 42:20, 65:9, 90:4, 97:12 deems [1] - 44:12 deep [1] - 21:6 deeper [1] - 30:21 default [5] - 79:5, 79:20, 82:5, 86:3, 86:13 defeat [1] - 76:5 defend [3] - 9:23, 16:20, 16:21 defendant [46] - 22:3, 23:3, 24:17, 25:4, 26:9, 32:13, 33:19, 34:6, 35:18, 36:7, 39:16, 43:25, 44:5, 44:10, 46:16, 46:18, 47:9, 64:8, 64:14, 65:6, 65:19, 67:24, 68:8, 68:23, 70:17, 71:6, 71:10, 71:21, 72:5, 72:13, 72:15, 73:15, 73:18, 76:13, 78:17, 79:3, 79:5, 79:21, 79:24, 80:5, 80:9, 80:23, 80:25, 104:22, 106:6, 107:6 defendant's [13] - 65:3, 65:13, 68:3, 68:11, 68:13, 68:15, 68:16, 68:18, 68:19, 68:20, 70:19, 71:25, 72:25 defendants [12] - 13:25, 22:13, 23:7, 69:11, 76:14, 79:16, 80:15, 81:15, 86:8, 105:18, 105:24, 106:4 defended [1] - 34:11 defender [65] - 8:23, 8:24, 12:8, 14:15, 14:18, 18:8, 20:25, 24:13, 41:17, 42:6, 42:13, 47:23, 50:4, 51:9, 51:10, 52:6, 52:18, 53:20, 54:10, 55:5, 55:6, 55:9, 55:17, 55:21, 55:22, 56:5, 56:22, 57:3, 57:5, 57:9, 59:20, 61:8, 65:20, 66:23, 66:24, 72:9, 77:21, 77:22, 77:23, 78:5, 79:8, 79:18, 81:7, 81:16, 82:17, 85:11,

85:14, 88:15, 88:16, 89:22, 91:21, 93:5, 93:16, 94:2, 94:9, 94:14, 94:18, 95:14, 96:2, 98:20, 102:5, 104:23, 108:21, 110.16 Defender's [3] - 69:8, 70:11, 105:9 defender's [6] - 69:14, 79:12, 81:17, 81:20, 82:7, 93:20 Defenders [1] - 50:9 defenders [13] - 10:4, 12:4, 14:17, 23:24, 24:4, 24:6, 30:8, 41:8, 51:15, 52:2, 65:24, 95:17, 107:10 defenders' [4] - 59:25, 60:21, 69:4, 106:16 defense [22] - 6:6, 6:13, 6:25, 8:3, 8:5, 12:14, 13:21, 14:7, 19:10, 23:21, 52:25, 82:2, 87:15, 100:24, 101:6, 104:2, 104:13, 106:3, 106:8, 107:19, 109:6, 109:10 defining [1] - 3:16 degree [1] - 68:9 Delaware [1] - 44:21 delays [1] - 106:9 delighted [2] - 2:4, 2:16 delinquent [1] 68.20 demand [1] - 2 demeaning [3] demonstrate La denials [2] - 1(denied [1] - 40 denies [1] - 25:22 dent [1] - 9:18 dependant [1] - 71:14 dependent [2] - 71:13, 94:24 deprivation [1] - 106:10 deprivations [1] - 106:25 depth [1] - 61:16 designated [1] - 70:11 designed [1] - 90:7 detail [2] - 31:15, 108:10 detailed [1] - 30:7 detention [1] - 87:3 determinant [1] - 42:15 determination [16] - 24:23, 39:12, 46:12, 49:4, 49:8, 51:7, 52:16, 52:20, 57:19, 58:7, 70:16, 74:25, 91:4, 92:15, 99:20, 102:6 determinations [6] - 48:8, 51:16, 52:7, 54:9, 54:20, 59:14 determine [11] - 30:9, 56:5, 68:8, 90:3, 90:16, 91:6, 94:18, 97:12, 99:12, 101:22, 108:20 determined [3] - 91:23, 98:5, 110:15 determines [2] - 90:13, 110:2 determining [6] - 3:18, 39:5, 63:6, 92:11, 92:20, 103:25 detrimental [1] - 80:18 develop [4] - 28:18, 63:5, 70:24, 103:24 developed [1] - 45:16 TARA M. DALY MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES,

(518) 587 - 6832

developing [3] - 29:9, 45:5, 45:12 dialogue [2] - 17:23, 24:25 Diamond [1] - 111:24 diapers [1] - 88:9 Diebel [1] - 111:22 difference [3] - 16:9, 67:11, 73:13 different [21] - 8:16, 12:20, 14:20, 14:21, 16:2, 16:3, 16:4, 23:22, 25:9, 26:18, 45:3, 49:13, 58:23, 75:24, 77:25, 78:2, 85:3, 99:15, 109:9, 109:10 difficult [2] - 6:19, 21:17 difficulty [1] - 25:10 digging [1] - 58:8 direct [2] - 64:25, 96:14 directed [1] - 68:6 director [9] - 4:11, 4:21, 5:5, 5:9, 6:9, 61.22, 62:19, 103:19, 111:21 directors [1] - 2:6 disagree [1] - 72:10 discretion [2] - 98:15, 98:16 discuss [2] - 2:18, 73:20 discussed [1] - 94:23 discussing [1] - 57:23 discussion [3] - 17:14, 25:7, 27:20 discussions [3] - 17:7, 32:11, 87:17 dispel [1] / 72:17 disposable [8] - 14:6, 26 8, 26:13, 2817, 30:20, 34:25, 35:3, 72:5 disgualification [1] - 41:6 dissatisfied [2] - 24:18, 24:20 distance [2] - 53:17, 94:11 distribute [1] - 113:19 distributed [1] - 113:17 district [6] - 8:24, 46:6, 53:7, 92:2, 111:20, 111:21 divulged [1] - 102:10 DNA [1] - 93:20 documents [2] - 22:24, 26:16 dollar [2] - 83:20, 100:5 dollars [5] - 26:23, 54:14, 101:13, 101:15, 101:16 domestic [2] - 37:17, 94:7 done [6] - 45:24, 46:2, 85:7, 86:8, 87:13, 99:9 double [1] - 11:22 double-edge [1] - 11:22 doublewide [2] - 26:21, 28:17 doubt [3] - 18:10, 86:6, 107:21 down [12] - 21:6, 32:9, 34:23, 36:14, 39:25, 40:19, 48:18, 55:24, 56:21, 57:15, 84:24, 89:12 Downstate [2] - 12:6, 16:2 downward [1] - 7:20 dozen [1] - 8:15 DR [7] - 61:25, 62:4, 62:11, 66:5, 70:4, 74:9, 75:4 Dr [4] - 1:22, 61:20, 62:12, 74:13 drafted [1] - 7:14 Drake [1] - 113:4

DRAKE [1] - 113:13 dramatic [1] - 98:6 dramatically [1] - 87:2 dream [1] - 20:4 dressed [1] - 102:13 drive [2] - 107:19 driving [3] - 14:2, 34:16 drop [1] - 18:6 dropped [1] - 109:5 drove [2] - 85:12, 102:16 drug [4] - 23:4, 54:9, 54:10, 84:15 drugs [1] - 54:11 due [1] - 105:13 dumping [1] - 81:15 Dunne [6] - 1:13, 2:5, 25:11, 35:23, 41:22 59:7 DUNNE [37] - 2:2, 5:14, 10:9, 12:21, 16:23, 17:13, 18:10, 18:15, 18:21, 19:18, 20:18, 20:22, 24:11, 27:13, 41:10, 41:14, 41:20, 51:4, 53:18, 54:5, 57:12, 60:7, 61:18, 61:20, 62:2, 69:18, 74:8, 77:4, 78:20, 89:13, 89:20, 97:15, 103:5, 103:8, 108:11, 111:10, 111:12 during [2] - 2:24, 106:10 DWI [3] - 11:11, 11:13, 14:12 Eagle [1] - 1:8 early [1] - 86:15 easily [2] - 30:12, 101:14 easy [2] - 46:11, 93:22 economic [2] - 27:11, 29:3 economy [1] - 31:4 edge [1] - 11:22 educational [1] - 103:15 effect [1] - 11:23 effective [1] - 20:8 effects [1] - 98:9 effectuate [2] - 44:13, 65:3 effort [2] - 22:14, 86:25 efforts [1] - 96:20 eight [2] - 53:12, 103:21 eighty [2] - 26:22, 88:17 either [3] - 51:19, 77:21, 110:19 elaborate [1] - 86:21 elected [2] - 45:15, 61:22 element [1] - 28:8 elements [2] - 26:18, 38:14 Eligibility [1] - 1:4 eligibility [56] - 2:18, 3:18, 6:18, 8:2, 8:3, 8:17, 10:20, 17:15, 23:21, 23:25, 24:14, 24:15, 24:23, 25:8, 25:21, 25:22, 30:2, 30:9, 39:5, 39:10, 39:19, 42:11, 42:15, 50:6, 51:8, 51:15, 52:7, 57:19, 58:8, 58:16, 59:13, 63:7, 63:22, 63:25, 64:5, 66:12, 69:7, 69:20, 69:25, 70:10, 70:15, 74:20, 75:9, 78:22, 87:8, 90:17, 91:23, 92:14, 94:20, 97:7, 101:23, 104:19, 105:10, 108:4, 109:14, 110:2 eligible [17] - 42:20, 49:5, 49:17, 56:6, 61:6, 61:7, 81:2, 81:9, 90:14, 90:20,

91:5, 91:7, 93:18, 94:25, 95:18, 103:25, 110.17 eliminate [1] - 86:25 eluded [1] - 31:16 emphasize [1] - 103:24 employees [1] - 83:18 employer [1] - 51:20 employers [1] - 31:7 employment [3] - 30:11, 68:15, 71:17 enacted [1] - 3:2 encountered [1] - 97:19 encouraged [1] - 29:16 end [5] - 8:11, 10:19, 11:17, 83:22, 86:7 enforcement [1] - 61:12 engage [1] - 65:8 engaged [1] - 106:13 enhance [1] - 7:19 enhancement [1] - 4:21 enjoy [2] - 83:20, 89:10 ensure [5] - 7:20, 102:4, 106:14, 107:17, 108:5 ensures [1] - 93:18 entire [3] - 7:7, entirely [1] - 54 entitled [6] - 1{ 64:16, 90:5 equal [1] - 38:1 equipped [1] - TILLY equivelent [2] - 37:20, 101:17 err [1] - 72:8 especially [9] - 6:9, 45:9, 45:10, 45:18, 45:24, 80:9, 97:3, 97:23, 99:6 Esq [4] - 1:20, 1:21, 1:23, 1:24 essential [2] - 28:13, 110:10 essentially [2] - 37:18, 39:18 establish [3] - 15:15, 97:6, 103:16 estimate [1] - 53:21 et [2] - 66:24, 70:21 evaluate [2] - 12:3, 20:15 evaluation [1] - 26:2 eventual [1] - 27:9 eventually [2] - 104:23, 106:7 evidence [1] - 68:23 evolves [1] - 24:3 evolving [1] - 37:16 exacerbate [1] - 88:22 exactly [2] - 70:7, 84:5 examination [2] - 10:11, 25:18 examine/question [1] - 70:15 examined [1] - 9:16 examining [1] - 95:19 example [9] - 10:21, 15:11, 15:14, 23:4, 26:20, 75:2, 91:19, 101:23, 102:5 examples [1] - 9:17 except [1] - 35:7 excuse [3] - 51:4, 67:4, 104:5 Excuse [1] - 96:10

Executive [4] - 1:19, 5:15, 10:16, 18:24 executive [6] - 4:11, 5:13, 6:9, 27:16, 34:15, 53:23 exhibited [1] - 45:17 exist [2] - 81:6, 105:19 existing [1] - 53:4 expanded [1] - 108:8 expectation [1] - 31:12 expense [2] - 90:21, 94:11 expenses [3] - 70:20, 71:12, 92:22 expensive [1] - 34:20 experience [3] - 38:2, 53:20, 81:24 explode [2] - 38:11, 38:16 explosion [1] - 37:23 expressed [1] - 3:9 extend [1] - 111:18 extent [2] - 5:7, 10:5 extraordinarily [1] - 4:15 extreme [1] - 80:12 extremely [2] - 6:25, 54:5 extremity [1] - 19:19 face [1] - 4:10 faced [2] - 6:3, 73:15 facilities [1] - 112:3 facility [1] - 71:24 1 facing [2] - 11:11, 37:23 R.C fact [7] - 46:16, 46:25, 48:25, 61:4, 73:14, 75:11, 109:6 facto [1] - 42:14 factored [1] - 79:22 factors [13] - 25:4, 33:7, 33:10, 33:25, 68:7, 68:12, 70:25, 90:8, 92:19, 94:17, 97:9, 99:14, 105:11 facts [7] - 35:10, 35:15, 38:5, 38:6, 38:22, 39:20, 81:6 Fahy [1] - 19:23 fail [1] - 107:16 failure [2] - 7:4, 106:14 failures [1] - 88:12 fair [2] - 13:23, 14:25 fairly [5] - 7:18, 15:4, 20:16, 49:22, 102:11 fall [1] - 46:13 falls [1] - 51:8 Falls [1] - 75:15 false [1] - 47:19 familiar [3] - 3:6, 42:11, 78:21 family [29] - 6:13, 11:9, 22:16, 31:6, 35:22, 36:22, 36:24, 37:2, 37:10, 37:13, 37:14, 37:17, 37:19, 38:2, 38:3, 38:7, 38:11, 38:15, 38:19, 62:7, 62:9, 68:16, 71:14, 71:18, 77:18, 90:23, 95:4, 96:6, 100:16 far [5] - 5:4, 21:17, 44:19, 44:20, 77:20 favor [2] - 52:21, 87:24 fax [2] - 67:2, 67:18 faxed [1] - 67:14 February [1] - 42:9

federal [9] - 10:22, 11:5, 25:18, 50:11, 71:22, 83:4, 84:20, 84:24, 89:4 fee [1] - 56:19 felony [7] - 26:13, 43:7, 43:10, 46:19, 50:22, 64:13, 97:4 felt [1] - 18:5 few [5] - 77:8, 80:22, 84:9, 87:19, 109:5 figuring [2] - 13:8, 81:8 file [1] - 91:20 final [3] - 24:14, 32:25, 42:23 financial [6] - 48:19, 68:15, 80:14, 89:9. 92:6. 94:20 financially [4] - 92:11, 92:21, 95:25, 97:13 fine [1] - 98:25 fire [1] - 85:19 Firm [1] - 77:5 firm [1] - 77:17 first [23] - 3:23, 3:24, 5:11, 6:7, 6:12, 7:3, 25:6, 35:12, 42:3, 43:4, 49:3, 58:12, 60:4, 75:4, 75:7, 77:18, 78:19, 79:5, 79:7, 90:13, 93:6, 94:3, 113:18 first-rate [2] - 6:12, 7:3 fit [1] - 59:13 fits [1] - 79:2 five [9] - 5:25, 7:22, 11:5, 76:21, 83:10, 85:25, 104:13, 106:23, 109:8 flaunting [1] - 54:15 flex [1] - 50:14 flexible [6] - 25:15, 31:14, 50:15, 59:9, 59:11 flight [2] - 22:17, 68:8 flip [1] - 60:24 flow [1] - 96:22 focus [6] - 6:17, 12:18, 12:25, 78:21, 104:19, 111:3 focused [1] - 69:25 folks [9] - 62:18, 63:2, 65:21, 67:7, 70:8, 73:13, 74:6, 76:19, 76:24 follow [5] - 17:3, 40:4, 53:18, 67:19, 86:10 followed [1] - 63:14 following [4] - 65:15, 65:16, 66:9, 106:12 food [1] - 50:20 force [1] - 72:13 forced [1] - 30:5 foregoing [1] - 113:6 forgotten [2] - 107:2, 107:8 form [9] - 8:14, 8:18, 48:19, 66:14, 66:18, 70:12, 70:25, 71:4, 71:9 formal [1] - 93:24 formed [1] - 58:11 former [2] - 41:16, 61:12 forms [2] - 31:16, 67:8 forth [6] - 11:15, 17:18, 64:7, 68:7, 71:2, 71:21 fortunate [3] - 17:8, 20:22, 21:24

fortunately [1] - 98:2 forum [1] - 37:20 forward [3] - 69:23, 79:13, 108:5 foster [3] - 33:23, 36:21, 88:20 four [6] - 4:13, 11:10, 63:19, 64:4, 76:21, 87:21 frankly [2] - 53:3, 79:10 fraud [4] - 8:22, 9:4, 13:7, 53:23 free [4] - 9:15, 53:24, 64:18, 64:22 frequently [6] - 10:18, 37:15, 92:2, 93:6, 94:8, 96:21 friend [5] - 3:24, 35:23, 50:8, 62:9, 64.20 front [6] - 5:24, 59:16, 66:2, 79:3, 79:6, 87:21 fulfilling [1] - 4:18 full [3] - 2:3, 55:9, 102:20 full-time [1] - 55:9 fully [2] - 6:16, 15:4 fund [3] - 6:6, 52:24, 84:18 fundamental [2] - 73:2, 73:25 fundamentally [1] - 32:18 funded [1] - 21:12 funding [5] - 20 107:19 funds [3] - 9:11 funeral [1] - 4: Furthermore future [1] - 68: . . gained [2] - 8:25, 39:7 gaining [2] - 54:23, 61:3 gap [1] - 44:22 gears [1] - 37:23 general [3] - 8:5, 56:8, 110:25 generally [2] - 60:20, 105:25 genesis [1] - 72:20 Gideon [7] - 2:10, 2:12, 2:15, 2:21, 3:5, 6:21, 11:20 given [8] - 9:4, 10:9, 15:10, 16:25, 73:18, 82:12, 83:11, 97:18 glad [2] - 18:17, 70:4 glaring [1] - 79:15 gold [1] - 54:12 governed [1] - 43:21 governing [1] - 107:18 government [1] - 3:10 governments [1] - 106:19 graced [1] - 27:15 grade [1] - 33:20 grant [2] - 19:4, 98:2 gratifying [1] - 17:3 great [15] - 2:11, 5:7, 5:14, 10:10, 14:18, 17:22, 21:3, 21:4, 27:17, 34:3, 57:6, 76:25, 80:15, 94:11, 110:22 Green [1] - 48:11 Greene [11] - 41:17, 42:6, 43:14. 44:16, 45:22, 47:22, 50:22, 52:13, 57:7, 61:11, 61:12 Greg [4] - 1:21, 41:15, 41:23, 48:11 TARA M. DALY MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES, (518) 587 - 6832

grind [1] - 38:3 ground [1] - 22:5 guaranteed [1] - 73:5 guidance [1] - 93:25 guide [1] - 63:6 guideline [1] - 84:20 guidelines [15] - 10:22, 10:23, 11:6, 25:19, 25:20, 42:16, 46:10, 50:12, 71:23, 83:4, 92:13, 96:18, 99:21, 104:12, 105:10 guilty [1] - 105:4 guy [1] - 29:4 habits [1] - 68:14 hairy [1] - 85:21 half [3] - 2:11, 21:22, 58:20 hallmark [1] - 73:4 Hancock [1] - 44:21 hand [4] - 45:20, 51:19, 51:21, 70:20 handed [1] - 50:11 handle [3] - 12:5, 57:18, 57:20 handled [1] - 108:25 happy [3] - 4:6, 21:13, 77:6 hard [5] - 29:18, 31:2, 31:5, 31:25, 102:19 harm [1] - 31:20 Harring [1/1] - 63:17, 65:11, 69:2, 71:2, 72.21, 103:23, 104:4:104:0, 105:21, 106:23, 107:4 Harring's [1] - 7:20 health [1] - 71:24 hear [4] - 6:22, 10:18, 20:14, 96:10 heard [8] - 56:10, 56:12, 57:10, 77:12, 84:10, 91:11, 94:5, 94:23 hearing [5] - 3:13, 4:23, 69:24, 104:20, 111:14 Hearing [2] - 1:3, 1:6 heart [1] - 58:14 heavy [1] - 3:11 held [3] - 27:20, 42:8, 75:15 hello [1] - 21:5 help [11] - 8:4, 8:11, 13:13, 20:3, 20:15, 21:8, 21:9, 23:18, 34:10, 38:15, 93:20 helpful [1] - 18:20 hereby [1] - 113:6 herein [1] - 113:7 hereof [1] - 113:9 higher [2] - 26:11, 107:22 highly [1] - 97:24 hire [14] - 2:23, 8:5, 11:3, 11:18, 13:2, 13:4, 22:22, 53:25, 61:8, 70:17, 92:18, 97:2, 100:2, 100:17 hired [2] - 60:13, 95:13 hiring [1] - 10:14 historic [1] - 104:11 history [1] - 68:22 hit [2] - 27:9, 108:18 Hobson's [2] - 79:23, 87:10 hold [2] - 38:12, 38:15

holding [2] - 53:8, 73:22 holds [1] - 75:14 holiday [1] - 73:12 home [3] - 16:18, 23:14, 78:8 HON [7] - 61:25, 62:4, 62:11, 66:5, 70:4, 74:9, 75:4 Hon [2] - 1:22, 62:12 honestly [1] - 52:21 honor [1] - 5:14 Honorable [1] - 61:20 honored [1] - 20:11 hope [5] - 28:3, 36:15, 45:16, 62:6, 74:2 hopefully [1] - 78:15 hoping [2] - 3:17, 87:24 horror [2] - 10:4, 84:14 host [1] - 51:25 hostile [3] - 49:24, 50:2, 50:3 hour [2] - 21:22, 58:20 hours [2] - 53:12, 66:22 house [5] - 26:21, 27:2, 28:11, 28:17, 47:16 household [1] - 33:22 housing [1] - 75:3 Hudson [2] - 44:25, 45:9 hundred [7] - 11:5, 26:22, 77:25, 83:8, 83:10, 88:17, 100:5 hundreds [2] - 45:2 hundredth [1] - 78:19 Hurrell [12] - 7:20, 63:17, 65:11, 69:2, 71:2, 72:21, 103:23, 104:4, 104:10, 105:21, 106:23, 107:4 Hurrell-Harring [11] - 63:17, 65:11, 69:2, 71:2, 72:21, 103:23, 104:4, 104:10, 105:21, 106:23, 107:4 Hurrell-Harring's [1] - 7:20 hurt [1] - 13:22 hustling [2] - 60:10, 60:11 I.. [1] - 33:14 idea [5] - 28:18, 51:14, 84:23, 101:21, 108:19 identified [2] - 53:22, 72:2 identify [1] - 71:15 identifying [1] - 13:7 ignore [1] - 105:11 ill [1] - 47:25 ILS [9] - 8:11, 8:17, 8:19, 9:8, 105:22, 106:20, 107:20, 108:2, 108:5 immediate [6] - 28:22, 28:25, 29:23, 71:14, 73:2, 73:3 immediately [3] - 15:16, 41:3, 106:11 immigrant [1] - 19:10 immigration [1] - 19:5 impact [2] - 36:22, 37:21 impatient [2] - 21:19, 21:23 implement [1] - 3:17 implementing [3] - 3:4, 5:18, 8:12 implore [1] - 99:22 importance [4] - 8:2, 97:24, 98:12,

108:3 important [10] - 2:13, 2:14, 7:2, 22:7, 23:13, 85:9, 90:2, 97:6, 98:7, 106:20 importantly [2] - 44:8, 102:25 impose [2] - 56:23, 56:25 imposed [5] - 42:17, 52:23, 55:18, 68:25 improved [1] - 63:16 in-depth [1] - 61:16 inability [1] - 92:18 inaudible [9] - 6:5, 7:11, 13:15, 85:6, 87:16, 87:22, 89:25, 91:11, 103:16 Inaudible [2] - 37:9, 88:2 inaudible] [5] - 14:3, 46:8, 61:2, 88:24, 90:6 incarcerated [5] - 15:14, 16:10, 67:24, 103:2, 105:24 incarceration [4] - 10:5, 11:14, 22:20, 105:14 include [2] - 71:5, 95:2 including [2] - 47:5, 103:21 inclusive [1] - 107:11 income [22] - 11:9, 25:21, 26:3, 26:5, 26:14, 26:18, 28 7 20:00 25:0 40:00 49:16, 50:18, 54 71:22, 72:3, 72: incomes [2] - 1 ara incorporates increase [3] - 1 increased [1] - 69:3 increasing [4] - 3:11, 6:11, 9:19, 83:7 independent [5] - 48:7, 52:6, 110:8, 110:21, 110:24 indicates [1] - 2:13 indicating [1] - 71:5 indictment [2] - 43:10, 43:11 Indigent [8] - 1:2, 2:6, 6:8, 63:3, 70:23, 74:3, 104:17 indigent [9] - 6:6, 6:13, 6:25, 81:2, 82:2, 97:23, 105:18, 105:23, 109:6 individual [6] - 11:16, 80:18, 80:20, 82:2, 82:6, 82:8 individually [1] - 110:5 individuals [3] - 85:4, 93:9, 93:17 inform [2] - 43:24, 67:23 informal [1] - 93:25 information [12] - 26:17, 39:6, 39:10, 40:25, 64:9, 64:10, 67:21, 75:23, 75:25, 101:25, 109:15 informed [1] - 72:4 informing [1] - 64:20 inherent [1] - 99:11 initial [7] - 22:24, 24:8, 24:22, 25:8, 52:11, 92:14, 106:8 initiatives [1] - 5:6 inmates [2] - 12:9, 48:17 input [2] - 12:22, 36:18 inquire [3] - 9:6, 9:10, 40:15 inquiring [2] - 17:5, 58:2 TARA M. DALY

MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES,

(518) 587 - 6832

INC.

inquiry [11] - 22:14, 22:25, 29:25, 30:3, 30:8, 34:3, 36:16, 37:18, 43:16, 86:5, 86:7 inside [1] - 36:2 insight [3] - 39:3, 63:13, 74:15 instance [5] - 9:4, 40:23, 43:4, 49:3, 64:16 instances [1] - 48:9 instead [1] - 53:9 instituted [1] - 69:4 instituting [1] - 13:12 institution [1] - 33:24 instructed [2] - 66:14, 66:17 instructions [1] - 9:9 instructive [1] - 70:22 intake [3] - 56:2, 92:7, 99:9 intakes [1] - 48:8 integrated [1] - 37:16 intended [1] - 83:23 intercity [1] - 7:5 interest [6] - 28:3, 52:8, 86:8, 89:9, 95:11. 110:19 interests [1] - 58:13 internally [1] - 113:18 interpret [1] - 27:13 interview[3] - 55:15, 55:19, 56:2 interviews [3] - 34:5,35:17 0 intimately [1] - 42:11 intolerant [1] - 7:11 invariably [1] - 35:14 investigated [1] - 9:3 investigating [2] - 13:7, 16:16 investigation [2] - 8:20, 38:22 investigations [1] - 105:2 investigator [2] - 48:15, 48:24 investigators [1] - 49:2 invoking [1] - 104:12 involved [4] - 2:9, 5:18, 51:7, 51:15 Irish [1] - 4:10 iron [1] - 36:10 isolate [1] - 6:19 issuance [1] - 67:2 issue [20] - 2:9, 5:25, 22:19, 24:22, 27:4, 46:17, 54:3, 54:6, 54:24, 57:20, 58:9, 66:14, 66:18, 70:9, 73:21, 82:18, 90:15, 94:21, 109:25 issues [11] - 7:25, 37:13, 38:7, 49:21, 57:24, 58:16, 74:19, 90:11, 100:11, 106:20, 109:24 items [1] - 64:4 itself [2] - 75:9, 106:9 Jaguars [1] - 14:3 jail [9] - 12:8, 48:14, 48:15, 48:16, 48:18, 53:12, 73:16, 88:19, 91:10 James [3] - 1:24, 89:20, 89:21 **Jim** [2] - 97:16, 100:19 Joanne [2] - 1:17, 5:4 job [9] - 14:18, 33:21, 48:21, 60:5, 76:22, 81:8, 84:2, 101:14, 105:13

jobs [1] - 23:13 John [5] - 1:13, 2:5, 5:22, 21:7, 35:23 join [2] - 4:7, 78:23 joined [1] - 77:17 joining [1] - 2:17 Jonathan [1] - 50:8 Jones [1] - 98:23 judge [27] - 14:21, 18:12, 24:12, 40:11, 42:18, 42:22, 43:8, 43:15, 43:23, 43:24, 44:3, 45:13, 46:11, 46:16, 46:20, 47:15, 47:17, 47:21, 52:5, 57:25, 59:19, 67:10, 74:6, 75:22, 94:6, 99:12, 102:17 Judge [7] - 17:8, 17:17, 18:18, 80:6, 87:17, 87:23, 88:2 judge's [3] - 21:25, 39:23, 42:22 judges [35] - 9:10, 13:16, 15:21, 21:18, 24:21, 29:16, 45:4, 45:12, 45:21, 51:6, 54:8, 59:10, 59:15, 59:17, 60:25, 61:7, 61:11, 61:13, 62:21, 65:18, 73:9, 74:20, 81:3, 90:22, 90:24, 91:5, 94:8, 97:20, 99:10, 99:19, 99:22, 99:23, 101:2, 106:7, 110:24 judgment [1] - 89:6 judicial [2] - 42:17, 111:21 judiciary [1] - 87:19 July [1] - 113:15 June [1] - 1:10 jurisdiction [1] - 71:8 justice [25] - 10:2, 10:6, 20:6, 30:24, 43:2, 43:3, 43:21, 44:23, 44:24, 45:8, 46:4, 53:4, 59:15, 61:21, 62:13, 62:15, 63:10, 72:19, 73:19, 76:6, 78:18, 84:13, 107:5, 108:6, 110:14 justices [3] - 65:18, 66:10, 73:9 justified [1] - 95:21 justify [1] - 107:16 juvenile [1] - 68:19 keep [4] - 22:12, 26:15, 30:19, 45:7 key [1] - 109:24 kicked [1] - 23:16 kids [3] - 33:22, 36:20, 88:20 kind [10] - 10:20, 15:2, 17:17, 51:8, 51:22, 76:9, 81:5, 81:6, 86:17, 88:14 Kindlon [5] - 1:23, 77:4, 77:5, 89:14, 100:22 KINDLON [11] - 77:7, 78:23, 82:15, 82:20, 82:22, 82:25, 83:16, 86:12, 86:19, 87:11, 89:17 kinds [1] - 23:22 Kings [1] - 60:6 knowingly [1] - 105:17 knowledge [1] - 78:16 knows [6] - 5:2, 17:10, 35:24, 54:12, 54:14 labor [1] - 78:6 language [3] - 2:22, 64:12, 71:5 larceny [1] - 88:10 large [5] - 9:5, 37:11, 74:17, 105:15, 105:23

last [2] - 74:6, 93:7 lastly [1] - 72:17 Lastly [1] - 76:17 late [3] - 27:19, 27:24, 104:25 laudable [1] - 106:21 Law [5] - 43:22, 64:6, 64:12, 72:12, 77:5 law [8] - 9:22, 36:4, 41:24, 45:17, 52:17, 60:5, 61:12, 95:22 lawsuit [1] - 63:20 lawyer [25] - 2:23, 11:3, 11:18, 26:7, 26:10, 31:8, 32:17, 36:10, 36:12, 36:13, 36:14, 44:2, 44:3, 53:24, 55:7, 55:23, 56:10, 56:12, 56:16, 59:4, 59:5, 61:9, 80:2. 98:23 lawyers [6] - 45:21, 57:6, 57:11, 60:10, 81:22, 84:18 lay [2] - 45:12, 59:15 lead [3] - 8:7, 19:12, 108:23 leader [2] - 4:14, 4:19 leaders [1] - 3:3 leadership [1] - 19:21 leading [1] - 19:9 LEAHY [14] - 5 10 10.10 10 00 61:19, 86:10, 86 100:19, 101:5, 111.17 Leahy [7] - 1:1: 21:8, 111:16 learn [5] - 23:6, 36:4, 37:15, 39:6, 99:14 least [8] - 15:10, 24:7, 37:3, 43:14, 45:14, 79:8, 80:11, 87:2 leather [1] - 54:15 leave [4] - 15:21, 18:25, 67:12, 93:7 leaving [1] - 87:5 Lee [2] - 1:23, 77:4 left [2] - 4:20, 48:10 legacy [1] - 2:15 Legal [7] - 1:2, 6:8, 60:14, 63:3, 70:23, 74:3, 104:18 legal [13] - 6:12, 6:24, 7:19, 9:15, 13:21, 27:9, 63:7, 66:24, 69:5, 77:18, 77:20, 93:14, 110:12 legally [3] - 11:2, 11:17, 51:24 legislation [1] - 9:21 Legislature [1] - 7:15 legislature [5] - 19:24, 49:24, 49:25, 50:2, 52:14 lend [1] - 63:12 length [1] - 68:17 less [2] - 11:8, 38:21 lesser [1] - 106:5 level [5] - 26:13, 43:12, 80:10, 81:4 levels [1] - 109:10 liabilities [2] - 26:3, 70:20 liability [1] - 57:22 liberally [1] - 95:16 Liberties [2] - 103:10, 103:20 TARA M. DALY

MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES,

(518) 587 - 6832

INC.

liberty [6] - 73:5, 74:2, 74:7, 95:23, 98:8, 106:4 liberty's [1] - 98:10 license [2] - 11:15, 96:16 life [5] - 4:12, 32:5, 36:22, 50:20, 55:6 likelihood [1] - 22:17 likely [1] - 33:10 limit[1] - 23:8 limited [1] - 69:25 line [1] - 29:18 lines [3] - 30:10, 83:15, 100:22 LINVILLE [15] - 21:4, 24:15, 27:22, 27:25, 33:2, 33:5, 33:13, 33:18, 35:13, 35:16, 37:9, 39:14, 40:9, 40:21, 41:13 Linville [9] - 1:20, 20:24, 41:11, 48:10, 49:20, 51:10, 51:22, 60:16, 76:3 Linville's [1] - 67:15 Lippman [2] - 80:7, 87:23 Lippman's [2] - 87:17, 88:2 liquid [1] - 71:17 liquidity [1] - 70:20 listen [2] - 3:14, 77:10 litigants [1] - 36:20 litigation [6] - 7:23, 52:22, 104:3, 104:10, 104:15 litigations [1] - 3:7 lives [3] 23:14, 87:22, 31224 living [2] - 71:12, 96:23 load [3] - 26:4, 26:19, 31:2 loads [1] - 110:20 loan [1] - 28:11 local [8] - 3:10, 63:10, 78:2, 81:3, 81:4, 88:7, 88:9, 89:11 Location [1] - 1:6 lock [1] - 80:16 locked [1] - 88:11 long-term [1] - 21:2 look [14] - 14:23, 16:10, 25:25, 26:4, 26:13, 69:23, 76:11, 76:15, 81:5, 85:9, 85:25, 87:7, 87:16, 108:4 Look [2] - 55:22, 83:19 looked [2] - 17:25, 88:5 looking [6] - 14:10, 15:20, 25:20, 55:20, 84:6, 110:21 loss [2] - 11:14, 105:13 lost [2] - 73:14, 87:21 low [3] - 33:20, 54:5, 80:10 low-level [1] - 80:10 lower [2] - 16:5, 107:16 Lubow [6] - 41:15, 41:23, 48:11, 55:12, 56:9, 57:15 LUBOW [7] - 41:19, 41:21, 52:11, 54:4, 54:6, 58:10, 60:9 Lubrow [1] - 1:21 luxuries [1] - 50:20 ma'am [1] - 32:22 Macri [2] - 1:17, 5:4 MACRI [13] - 15:7, 39:2, 40:3, 40:20, 41:12, 62:3, 66:4, 74:12, 96:11, 101:20, 103:4, 104:8, 112:6 magistrate [1] - 75:14 Magistrates [4] - 61:23, 62:17, 62:20, 74:16 magnitude [1] - 13:11 main [4] - 13:6, 33:25, 63:19, 111:3 maintain [1] - 71:16 maintained [1] - 7:8 major [1] - 9:25 majority [3] - 22:4, 45:20, 49:6 Malone [1] - 44:20 mandate [1] - 104:16 mandated [3] - 63:7, 63:23, 63:24 manipulated [1] - 95:12 manner [1] - 50:15 March [1] - 62:15 Marine [1] - 77:16 mark [1] - 91:20 market [2] - 51:3, 83:24 market-based [1] - 83:24 marketplace [1] - 26:10 mass [1] - 10:4 Massachusetts [1] - 4:17 material [2] - 23:7, 30:12 math [1] - 42:2 matter [5] - 9:3, 26:24, 37:18, 75:11, 85:17 Matter [1] - 1:1 matters [1] - 37:11 MCCOY [11] - 5:22, 11:21, 13:15, 15:18, 17:12, 17:16, 18:14, 18:19, 19:17, 20:11, 20:20 McCoy [5] - 1:19, 5:13, 5:15, 10:16, 12:23 mean [6] - 31:11, 37:2, 73:12, 85:16, 88:21, 110:15 meaning [1] - 25:25 meaningful [1] - 106:14 means [5] - 13:19, 13:20, 14:4, 54:11, 71:10 measures [1] - 92:12 medium [1] - 16:6 meet [1] - 71:12 meeting [1] - 58:12 meets [1] - 90:16 Melanie [3] - 1:25, 103:8, 103:18 member [5] - 4:2, 4:8, 62:7, 62:9, 95:4 members [7] - 29:6, 31:6, 41:22, 69:21, 71:18, 100:16, 111:13 MEMBERS [2] - 77:3, 89:15 Members [1] - 1:12 membership [1] - 74:17 men [1] - 20:23 mental [2] - 68:14, 71:23 mention [1] - 109:12 mentioned [9] - 13:5, 36:19, 49:20. 51:5, 51:22, 62:18, 87:10, 100:22 mentoring [1] - 74:19 meshed [1] - 41:4

message [1] - 3:5 met [1] - 77:14 method [1] - 24:16 methods [1] - 8:17 Michael [3] - 1:14, 3:24, 27:17 microphone [1] - 62:5 middle [2] - 21:19, 40:22 midnight [5] - 45:25, 46:9, 46:14, 47:6, 53.11 might [14] - 8:21, 10:13, 12:8, 13:20, 15:9, 15:13, 42:18, 47:17, 63:16, 68:25, 78:20, 83:14, 83:21, 101:12 million [1] - 6:5 MILSTEIN [8] - 89:24, 96:13, 97:22, 98:18, 100:23, 101:7, 102:7, 103:7 Milstein [2] - 1:24, 89:20 mind [5] - 7:14, 7:24, 30:19, 31:13, 45:7 minor [1] - 100:20 minors [1] - 71:13 minute [1] - 98:24 minutes [4] - 58:20, 70:7, 77:9, 80:22 misconception [1] - 72:18 misdemeano 64:11, 80:10, 88 misdemeanor mismanaged mission [1] - 9 misuse [2] - 87...., mix [1] - 79:23 mole [1] - 99:24 moment [4] - 27:14, 28:25, 41:9, 66:8 Monday [1] - 53:5 money [32] - 9:7, 9:14, 10:12, 13:19, 14:5, 14:12, 16:18, 27:3, 27:8, 28:15, 28:17, 28:21, 29:24, 30:25, 31:5, 31:8, 31:24, 36:13, 40:17, 49:16, 52:12, 56:7, 58:18, 78:13, 79:25, 81:21, 84:11, 87:9, 89:8, 100:14 month [2] - 50:12, 88:20 monthly [1] - 105:12 months [2] - 38:8, 87:20 moreover [1] - 65:4 morning [12] - 2:2, 2:13, 5:8, 27:22, 27:23, 41:20, 48:16, 52:20, 53:6, 62:25, 67:19, 74:14 mortgaged [1] - 26:23 most [14] - 24:6, 33:10, 40:23, 43:5, 45:8, 45:16, 49:5, 49:6, 55:4, 76:18, 79:11, 84:13, 110:12 mostly [1] - 22:11 move [1] - 69:19 moved [1] - 77:16 moving [2] - 70:5, 93:10 MR [116] - 2:2, 5:12, 5:14, 5:22, 10:9, 10:16, 11:21, 12:21, 13:15, 15:18, 16:23, 17:12, 17:13, 17:16, 18:10, 18:14, 18:15, 18:19, 18:21, 18:23, 19:17, 19:18, 20:11, 20:18, 20:20,

27:22, 27:23, 27:25, 32:24, 33:2, 33:3, 33:5, 33:6, 33:13, 33:15, 33:18, 35:10, 35:13, 35:14, 35:16, 37:9, 39:14, 40:9, 40:21, 41:10, 41:13, 41:14, 41:19, 41:20, 41:21, 51:4, 52:11, 53:18, 54:4, 54:5, 54:6, 57:12, 58:10, 60:7, 60:9, 61:18, 61:19, 61:20, 62:2, 62:8, 69:18, 74:8, 74:11, 77:4, 77:7, 78:20, 78:23, 82:15, 82:20, 82:22, 82:25, 83:16, 86:10, 86:12, 86:13, 86:19, 86:20, 87:11, 89:13, 89:17, 89:19, 89:20, 89:24, 96:13, 97:15, 97:16, 97:22, 98:11, 98:18, 100:19, 100:23, 101:5, 101:7, 101:19, 102:7, 103:5, 103:7, 103:8, 108:11, 108:16, 109:12, 109:22, 111:10, 111:12, 111:17 MS [37] - 12:22, 15:7, 36:17, 38:25, 39:2, 40:3, 40:20, 41:12, 57:16, 62:3, 66:4, 74:12, 82:11, 82:16, 82:21, 82:23, 83:2, 96:11, 101:20, 103:4, 103:12, 104:7, 104:8, 104:9, 108:13, 108:22, 109:3, 109:18, 109:23, 110:4, 110:5, 110:9, 111:7, 111:8, 111:11, 112:5, 112:6 mules [1] - 84:16 multi [1] - 26:12 multiple [2] - 6:20, 23:3 RC multiplier [2] - 10:23, 83:5 must [14] - 2:23, 6:23, 7:3, 7:8, 9:6, 9:10, 59:9, 59:10, 65:2, 65:5, 65:7, 90:9, 97:8, 105:22 myriad [2] - 90:8, 96:24 name [3] - 2:5, 4:9, 41:22 Nassau [1] - 44:24 nation [1] - 19:9 nature [2] - 97:10 necessarily [2] - 95:18, 99:23 necessary [8] - 19:25, 44:13, 65:3, 68:3, 68:10, 71:16, 86:23, 106:22 neck [1] - 54:13 need [35] - 9:2, 9:23, 11:24, 11:25, 12:17, 14:4, 16:13, 16:20, 28:20, 28:21, 28:22, 28:24, 30:3, 30:4, 30:25, 31:14, 31:15, 32:3, 40:15, 46:4, 46:10, 52:17, 58:17, 60:16, 60:22, 60:23, 76:10, 79:25, 80:2, 81:19, 85:20, 103:24, 113:19 needed [5] - 9:24, 30:14, 30:16, 71:11, 92:23 needs [11] - 14:8, 20:7, 27:9, 29:23, 35:5, 46:5, 52:4, 70:14, 72:22, 79:24, 81:20 negligent [1] - 37:4 network [1] - 36:3 never [6] - 25:10, 28:14, 36:2, 39:25, 77:14, 92:5 New [41] - 1:2, 1:9, 3:3, 7:7, 7:15, 7:16, 10:2, 11:8, 15:24, 18:12, 19:9, 19:16,

TARA M. DALY MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC. (518) 587 - 6832

20:22, 21:4, 24:11, 24:15, 27:13, 27:18,

41:24, 45:10, 48:6, 50:9, 60:11, 61:23, 62:20, 62:23, 63:2, 63:11, 66:20, 70:22, 73:6, 73:8, 80:4, 83:9, 86:9, 86:24, 87:13, 97:7, 103:9, 103:20, 104:11, 105:3, 106:13, 107:3, 109:19, 111:10, 113:5 new [6] - 39:22, 48:17, 74:20, 99:15, 104:12.107:11 newly [1] - 35:6 next [7] - 34:22, 41:14, 47:14, 58:22, 61:9, 67:19, 88:20 Niagara [1] - 75:15 nice [2] - 6:22, 89:18 night [6] - 21:15, 21:19, 69:12, 73:16, 78:3, 88:18 nineteen [1] - 42:9 non [1] - 71:17 non-liquid [1] - 71:17 none [1] - 50:24 nonviolent [1] - 80:10 normally [1] - 40:9 north [7] - 6:2, 6:3, 16:3, 17:24, 19:15, 44:19 northern [1] - 19:16 Notary [1] - 113:5 note [1] - 111:18 NOTE [1] - 113:17 nothing [4] - 2:3, 5:10, 31:10, 31:11 notice [3] - 66:18, 67:3, 67:5 noticed [1] - 18:10 notified [1] - 69:9 Notify [1] - 113:18 notify [1] - 66:23 notion [2] - 50:2, 97:17 number [15] - 11:7, 18:2, 18:5, 29:17, 29:18, 29:19, 33:16, 52:14, 52:15, 57:23, 69:15, 69:16, 105:16, 105:23 numbers [2] - 60:16, 60:17 numerous [1] - 97:9 NYCLU [3] - 1:25, 107:25, 110:6 NYCLU's [2] - 104:3, 104:9 NYCRR [1] - 91:13 o'clock [1] - 52:20 O'HARE [7] - 61:25, 62:4, 62:11, 66:5, 70:4, 74:9, 75:4 O'Hare [4] - 1:22, 61:21, 62:12, 74:13 oath [2] - 9:10, 47:18 object [1] - 107:14 objective [1] - 63:12 objectives [1] - 63:19 obligated [2] - 92:4, 102:2 obligation [2] - 6:21, 7:10 obligations [2] - 52:24, 72:2 obscene [1] - 51:21 obscenity [1] - 36:15 obtain [2] - 64:17, 96:21 obtaining [2] - 8:3, 64:19 obtains [1] - 8:3 obvious [4] - 49:7, 49:23, 102:12,

102:13 obviously [1] - 17:20 OCA [3] - 9:9, 17:7, 111:24 occasion [2] - 29:11, 42:18 occasional [1] - 25:6 occur [4] - 23:12, 23:18, 57:24, 98:10 occurred [2] - 9:4, 58:4 occurs [1] - 22:15 odds [1] - 32:18 offender [1] - 97:11 offense [3] - 64:21, 80:10, 97:10 offered [1] - 108:8 office [35] - 3:15, 4:2, 4:12, 4:23, 5:16, 21:9, 21:11, 29:18, 39:16, 55:11, 55:19, 55:25, 59:25, 67:15, 67:17, 69:10, 79:12, 81:17, 81:20, 82:7, 90:24, 91:3, 91:9, 91:22, 91:24, 91:25, 92:3, 92:9, 93:9, 97:25, 99:2, 99:9, 102:9, 105:15, 113:18 Office [12] - 1:2, 2:6, 6:8, 63:3, 69:8, 70:11, 70:23, 74:3, 84:15, 104:17, 105:9, 111:19 office's [1] - 105:6 officer [2] - 46:04 50:0 offices [8] - 50 92:6, 97:23, 10€ often [7] - 49:2 93:24, 104:25, ⁻ old [3] - 36:2, 7 Olivia [2] - 1:16, 4:20 once [7] - 38:5, 59:2, 75:13, 85:11, 89:22, 99:4, 99:13 one [60] - 2:5, 3:3, 5:2, 10:5, 10:6, 12:9, 12:10, 13:5, 17:4, 19:7, 20:6, 20:7, 20:8, 20:23, 23:5, 26:9, 29:14, 33:11, 33:16, 33:25, 36:19, 38:6, 38:13, 39:2, 45:7, 50:5, 51:19, 52:14, 53:25, 54:4, 56:19, 57:16, 58:20, 59:7, 59:12, 59:19, 64:4, 65:22, 65:23, 74:12, 75:17, 76:20, 79:2, 80:23, 80:24, 85:5, 86:11, 86:14, 87:22, 88:22, 94:12, 98:16, 99:9, 101:20, 108:16, 109:19, 109:24 one's [3] - 24:19, 28:8, 74:2 one-on-one [1] - 12:10 ones [3] - 42:16, 79:13, 111:2 ongoing [3] - 31:2, 75:19, 76:2 Onondaga [1] - 104:14 Ontario [1] - 104:14 open [1] - 47:15 operating [1] - 19:7 opinion [2] - 55:2, 70:14 opportunities [2] - 10:13, 74:18 opportunity [12] - 5:23, 6:10, 22:2, 61:17, 63:4, 64:18, 77:9, 82:9, 94:4, 99:25, 108:2, 112:2 oppose [1] - 107:22 opposed [3] - 9:22, 54:21, 58:8 or.. [1] - 110:8 Order [3] - 63:18, 67:3, 67:4

TARA M. DALY

(518) 587 - 6832

INC.

MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES,

order [5] - 66:15, 71:3, 91:12, 93:9, 97:11 organization [1] - 110:10 otherwise [2] - 89:5, 93:18 ought [5] - 23:24, 24:5, 25:2, 32:20, 34:24 ourselves [1] - 25:13 outcome [2] - 12:19, 14:20 outcomes [1] - 14:21 outfitted [1] - 47:2 outright [1] - 80:7 outside [5] - 34:20, 35:25, 60:10, 98:3, 107:3 outstanding [1] - 75:22 overburden [1] - 10:3 overburdened [1] - 12:5 overlook [1] - 105:22 oversight [1] - 20:3 overuse [2] - 87:8, 100:20 overwhelmed [1] - 60:2 overworked [1] - 88:16 own [11] - 14:6, 18:8, 22:13, 22:22, 30:17, 34:19, 43:17, 47:16, 78:14, 105:14, 113:18 owned [1] - 35:8 ownness [1] - 7:9 10.m.[1] -12:7 NO. pages [1] - 48:22 pàid [4] - 6:6, 60:18, 60:19 panel [2] - 29:7, 41:22 Panel [1] - 1:12 paper [2] - 30:10, 34:4 parent [2] - 4:22, 71:15 parenthetically [1] - 2:7 parents [1] - 100:15 part [16] - 3:22, 6:22, 36:16, 42:5, 45:8, 45:16, 49:5, 55:8, 56:24, 68:5, 72:13, 75:7, 75:17, 77:18, 103:22, 108:11 part-time [2] - 42:5, 55:8 part-timers [1] - 56:24 partial [1] - 95:20 particular [3] - 2:14, 9:2, 37:25 particularly [5] - 10:10, 20:22, 28:13, 30:19, 105:7 parties [3] - 37:13, 63:19, 67:20 party [2] - 100:8, 113:17 past [2] - 62:16, 80:23 path [1] - 52:10 patient [1] - 103:11 patting [1] - 56:11 pay [12] - 13:20, 14:6, 52:12, 52:14, 56:13, 56:16, 72:13, 79:25, 80:2, 82:19, 84:8, 95:7 paycheck [2] - 96:23 paying [3] - 50:19, 84:11 payment [1] - 95:20 payments [1] - 105:12 PD [1] - 110:8 pending [3] - 3:8, 58:7, 68:24

people [47] - 11:17, 11:23, 11:25, 12:17, 12:18, 13:3, 15:5, 16:9, 16:13, 16:14, 24:7, 27:7, 29:20, 30:21, 32:19, 37:22, 37:23, 54:22, 55:10, 57:5, 59:22, 60:3, 61:3, 72:24, 81:11, 81:19, 84:3, 84:7, 84:10, 84:17, 87:9, 88:4, 93:22, 94:10, 99:19, 99:25, 100:3, 100:14, 101:9, 102:23, 106:15, 108:7, 109:25, 110:11, 111:3, 111:20 perceive [2] - 54:21, 95:11 perceived [1] - 42:21 percent [7] - 11:5, 35:7, 54:2, 54:4, 83:10, 83:11, 84:21 percentage [2] - 53:21, 92:13 perform [1] - 45:4 perhaps [4] - 46:19, 57:14, 58:5, 70:22 period [1] - 109:13 periods [1] - 102:24 perjury [1] - 47:21 person [46] - 2:22, 12:13, 14:8, 16:21, 25:2, 28:19, 31:18, 32:2, 34:13, 34:16, 35:4, 35:21, 40:14, 43:18, 47:18, 49:4, 50:16, 50:17, 51:23, 60:4, 73:5, 81:13, 85:15, 90:4, 90:16, 92:11, 92:16, 92:21, 93:15, 93:22, 94:13, 94:15, 94:22, 94:24, 95:6, 95:8, 95:15, 98:22, 99:6, 100:9, 101:12, 101:13, 101:16, 102:11, 102:13, 102:18 person's [7] - 25:21, 29:22, 32:17, 37:4, 89:2, 95:23, 98:8 personal [3] - 4:4, 53:2, 89:3 personally [1] - 89:10 personnel [1] - 61:12 perspective [2] - 11:4, 101:6 pervasive [1] - 105:8 petty [1] - 88:10 philosophies [1] - 38:13 philosophy [1] - 37:7 phone [5] - 29:16, 29:19, 29:20, 69:15, 69:17 phonetic [2] - 77:23, 95:22 phrase [2] - 25:13, 28:6 place [8] - 7:9, 23:2, 27:2, 47:24, 65:12, 79:19, 83:6, 113:7 places [1] - 48:2 plan [1] - 84:17 play [1] - 23:23 playing [1] - 19:11 pleas [1] - 105:5 PLEASE [1] - 113:17 pleased [1] - 27:25 pleasure [3] - 21:4, 87:20, 89:24 pocketbook [1] - 102:14 podium [1] - 81:14 point [22] - 10:24, 17:4, 20:15, 21:22, 23:12, 23:20, 43:16, 55:17, 56:8, 56:14, 57:13, 57:24, 58:9, 59:7, 66:11, 76:4, 83:17, 85:8, 88:19, 98:7, 109:20, 110:3 pointed [1] - 51:14

points [2] - 13:6, 86:11 police [3] - 46:21, 58:2, 69:13 policy [2] - 22:8, 32:12 political [1] - 110:23 politically [1] - 106:18 polled [1] - 101:2 pooled [1] - 100:16 poor [5] - 2:23, 10:7, 20:7, 106:15, 108.7 populations [1] - 107:12 Porsche [1] - 85:13 portion [2] - 69:19, 113:19 poses [1] - 80:5 position [14] - 4:16, 16:24, 17:9, 19:6, 21:10, 34:11, 39:15, 42:7, 46:17, 79:20, 82:18, 86:3, 99:2, 110:6 positions [2] - 79:5, 86:14 possesses [1] - 72:5 possibility [2] - 11:14, 54:16 possible [4] - 36:20, 38:21, 68:25, 111:6 possibly [2] - 78:13, 101:22 post [3] - 13:18, 100:17, 101:14 posted [4] - 9:€ posting [1] - 9(potential [7] - 1 54:17, 56:20, 92 potentially [2] potentially.. [1] poverty [9] - 10:22, 11:6, 25:18, 50:11, 71:22, 83:4, 84:20, 92:13, 96:18 practical [2] - 78:16, 85:17 practice [12] - 9:14, 41:23, 42:4, 44:14, 45:14, 50:25, 55:8, 55:10, 56:23, 78:14, 79:11 practicing [4] - 21:2, 41:18, 41:25, 77:4 pre [1] - 56:20 preceding [1] - 113:8 predict [1] - 31:11 preference [1] - 53:2 prepared [2] - 3:20, 4:24 presence [3] - 2:12, 27:15, 98:4 present [3] - 34:2, 44:2, 73:22 presentation [1] - 70:3 presented [1] - 7:15 presenting [1] - 63:15 preserve [1] - 73:25 president [2] - 27:16, 62:16 presiding [1] - 62:22 pressed [2] - 49:23, 50:5 pressures [1] - 51:18 presumption [4] - 86:17, 95:24, 98:19, 101:8 presumptively [1] - 106:2 pretrial [2] - 66:25, 106:11 previous [2] - 78:24, 91:11 price [1] - 84:4 primarily [1] - 43:2 TARA M. DALY MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES,

(518) 587 - 6832

private [18] - 8:6, 12:9, 42:4, 44:14, 50:25, 55:8, 55:23, 56:23, 57:10, 60:4, 60:13, 71:7, 72:6, 82:14, 92:24, 93:4, 100:7, 104:22 privileged [1] - 4:19 pro [1] - 65:8 probation [2] - 80:12, 89:2 problem [10] - 6:4, 6:11, 10:18, 13:11, 13:14, 33:17, 53:10, 53:22, 72:20, 74:5 problems [4] - 6:20, 88:22, 96:22, 105:7 procedure [2] - 69:12, 108:17 Procedure [3] - 43:22, 64:6, 64:11 procedures [4] - 8:13, 63:5, 63:14, 97:19 proceeding [5] - 2:25, 40:22, 47:5, 65:7, 72:12 proceedings [3] - 63:9, 65:14, 112:4 process [13] - 33:12, 36:25, 39:4, 40:5, 42:12, 42:25, 56:2, 56:4, 65:17, 68:5, 93:24, 110:14, 111:5 productive [1] - 21:10 profligate [1] - 8:18 program [2] - 5:18, 75:12 programmed [1] - 69:16 programs [1] - 75:17 progress [1] - 89:6 0 prohibiting [1] - 22:19 promise [1] - 89:5 prompt [1] - 2:3 promptly [1] - 68:2 proof [1] - 92:18 propagate [1] - 9:9 proper [1] - 3:12 properly [1] - 52:24 property [1] - 26:19 proposal [2] - 86:24, 87:7 proposing [2] - 19:23, 74:4 proscribed [1] - 65:16 prosecutor [2] - 47:8, 77:16 prosecutor's [1] - 64:10 prosecutors [1] - 101:2 protect [3] - 65:12, 68:3, 69:5 protected [1] - 93:19 protecting [1] - 72:25 proud [2] - 19:11, 62:24 prove [1] - 54:21 provide [11] - 7:4, 8:19, 19:25, 54:25, 65:4, 65:19, 65:21, 71:10, 74:18, 75:25, 106:22 provided [2] - 2:23, 15:16 provides [1] - 75:22 providing [6] - 3:12, 6:12, 6:24, 9:23, 63:23, 74:15 provision [1] - 109:6 Public [5] - 1:3, 69:8, 70:10, 105:9, 113:5 public [91] - 8:23, 10:3, 10:14, 12:4, 12:8, 14:15, 14:16, 14:17, 18:8, 20:25,

23:24, 24:4, 24:6, 24:13, 30:8, 41:16, 42:5, 42:13, 47:23, 50:4, 51:10, 51:14, 53:20, 55:5, 55:6, 55:8, 55:16, 55:20, 55:22, 56:5, 56:22, 57:3, 57:5, 57:9, 59:20, 59:25, 60:21, 61:8, 65:19, 65:23, 66:23, 69:4, 69:14, 71:25, 72:9, 75:2, 77:21, 77:22, 77:23, 78:12, 79:8, 79:11, 79:18, 81:7, 81:16, 81:17, 81:20, 82:7, 82:16, 84:25, 85:11, 85:14, 88:14, 88:16, 89:21, 91:20, 92:17, 93:5, 93:16, 93:20, 93:25, 94:9, 94:14, 94:18, 95:13, 95:17, 96:2, 98:20, 102:4, 104:2, 104:13, 104:23, 106:3, 106:8, 106:16, 107:18, 108:21, 109:10, 109:13, 110:16, 111:13 pull [1] - 41:5 punish [1] - 108:7 purpose [5] - 3:13, 64:19, 67:22, 69:24, 76:6 purposes [5] - 58:6, 79:9, 79:14, 91:18, 113:19 pursuant [4] - 72:12, 91:12, 95:21 Pursuant [1] - 66:20 push [2] - 33:7, 33:10 put [10] - 10:20, 11:4, 12:2, 17:19, 27:8, 31:11, 33:23, 47:17, 55:3, 57:19 puts [2] - 19:6, 87:9 putting [1] - 46:16 qualify [1] - 32:16 qualifying [1] - 91:2 quality [3] - 4:21, 12:10, 63:24 questionable [2] - 49:19, 61:5 questionnaires [1] - 92:7 questions [10] - 18:22, 18:23, 34:21, 46:20, 47:16, 57:14, 74:11, 80:17, 89:13, 108:15 quick [2] - 74:15, 108:16 quickly [1] - 74:23 quite [2] - 29:10, 53:2 quote [3] - 33:4, 55:7, 76:9 rainy [1] - 84:17 raises [2] - 34:21, 49:21 ramifications [3] - 11:15, 80:14, 110:23 range [1] - 83:8 rare [1] - 43:9 rarely [1] - 76:12 rate [3] - 6:12, 7:3, 83:11 rather [3] - 10:14, 19:22, 73:21 rational [1] - 3:17 reach [2] - 92:5, 99:4 reached [1] - 23:20 reaches [1] - 21:18 read [5] - 3:19, 34:13, 35:21, 35:22, 47:10 readily [1] - 30:13 real [6] - 11:13, 11:15, 26:19, 55:7, 74:22, 78:16 realistic [1] - 28:14

reality [1] - 3:4 realize [3] - 20:4, 41:2, 85:12 really [26] - 4:12, 5:6, 11:18, 11:24, 12:2, 12:7, 12:11, 14:6, 14:8, 14:22, 15:22, 16:5, 16:20, 17:2, 17:3, 20:14, 24:19, 26:9, 31:25, 76:15, 80:18, 85:21, 86:24, 103:13, 108:18 reason [1] - 52:17 reasonable [6] - 31:17, 71:12, 101:10, 101:11, 101:12 receive [1] - 71:24 received [1] - 98:2 receiving [3] - 49:14, 69:13, 92:17 recently [1] - 87:13 recognizance [1] - 34:19 recognize [1] - 4:9 recognized [1] - 52:23 recommend [2] - 15:8, 32:8 recommendation [1] - 52:3 reconsider [2] - 40:7, 99:16 record [12] - 3:22, 27:21, 47:5, 68:18, 68:19, 68:20, 91:21, 100:6, 108:12, 112:3, 112:8, 113:6 recorded [1] - "" reduce [1] - 87 refer [1] - 108:2 reference [1] referred [2] - 6 refining [1] - 20.10 reformed [1] - 46:5 regard [3] - 3:10, 10:11, 10:24 regarding [1] - 6:11 regardless [5] - 63:21, 67:13, 69:7, 94:19, 110:2 Region [2] - 18:3, 103:19 region [3] - 19:15, 19:16, 105:6 regional [3] - 5:5, 19:5, 19:7 regular [3] - 31:9, 98:3, 105:12 Regulations [1] - 66:21 related [1] - 23:6 relationship [4] - 32:7, 58:11, 58:21, 59.3 relative [1] - 64:20 relaxed [1] - 25:14 release [5] - 34:19, 79:21, 80:3, 85:7, 86:17 released [4] - 34:18, 80:11, 99:7 relevant [1] - 71:8 relief [1] - 63:22 relies [1] - 105:10 reluctant [1] - 61:4 rely [1] - 91:3 remains [1] - 39:13 remarks [2] - 3:20, 69:19 remedy [2] - 72:10, 72:15 remember [1] - 2:25 remembered [1] - 97:8 remortgage [1] - 28:11 removed [1] - 37:5 TARA M. DALY MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES,

(518) 587 - 6832

Rensselaer [1] - 44:18 rent [1] - 50:19 repeat [2] - 25:12, 77:11 repeated [2] - 34:5, 35:17 repeating [2] - 18:25, 81:13 replace [2] - 53:5, 87:4 replaced [1] - 46:5 **REPORTER** [2] - 96:9, 104:5 reporter [1] - 111:25 Reporter [1] - 113:4 represent [13] - 8:6, 15:4, 20:16, 23:5, 40:14, 40:16, 41:3, 50:22, 56:13, 58:17, 62:21, 78:11, 90:25 representation [21] - 4:22, 7:17, 8:14, 13:23, 20:8, 30:15, 38:17, 51:23, 57:8, 57:21, 63:8, 63:24, 63:25, 64:2, 72:14, 90:6, 90:14, 91:17, 92:9, 93:4, 95:8 representations [1] - 107:23 Representative [1] - 19:22 representative [1] - 109:9 representatives [2] - 7:3, 75:24 represented [5] - 59:22, 95:15, 106:3, 110:11, 111:4 representing [3] - 100:9, 102:18, 103:9 represents [1] - 92:3 reputation [2] - 57:10.68(14) request [5] - 5:10, 40:8, 56:15, 90:25, 99:22 require [2] - 7:16, 95:5 required [4] - 39:9, 44:4, 66:22, 68:22 requirement [2] - 10:25, 36:10 requirements [6] - 6:18, 42:12, 50:6, 64:7, 104:13, 109:14 requires [2] - 43:23, 44:9 research [2] - 83:8, 109:4 reside [1] - 71:23 residence [1] - 68:17 resolve [1] - 94:12 resources [10] - 12:15, 16:15, 16:17, 16:22, 34:24, 48:5, 81:21, 88:25, 100:16, 106:23 respect [9] - 12:23, 19:10, 39:10, 57:17, 63:13, 70:9, 83:7, 86:4, 110:7 respected [1] - 4:6 respectfully [1] - 63:15 responding [1] - 68:21 response [3] - 52:9, 52:11, 89:4 responsibilities [1] - 16:24 responsibility [6] - 3:16, 4:4, 4:17, 37:12, 48:2, 91:6 responsible [1] - 72:25 responsive [1] - 109:16 restriction [1] - 68:10 result [9] - 8:8, 23:3, 24:8, 51:21, 69:2, 93:7, 93:16, 102:23, 106:9 resulted [1] - 104:11 resulting [1] - 106:16 results [1] - 25:19

retain [3] - 8:14, 9:13, 72:6 retainer [2] - 26:11, 92:24 retaining [2] - 71:7, 83:13 retrospect [1] - 35:15 return [1] - 94:25 returns [1] - 30:11 review [2] - 25:8, 63:17 reviewing [1] - 98:16 reviews [1] - 42:17 rich [3] - 10:6, 20:7, 41:15 righteous [2] - 32:15 rights [3] - 65:13, 68:4, 93:19 rise [1] - 104:16 risk [2] - 54:19, 68:9 risks [1] - 52:8 road [1] - 40:2 Robert [2] - 1:20, 20:24 role [1] - 19:12 room [1] - 17:11 rooms [1] - 53:8 roots [1] - 22:16 roughly [1] - 6:4 rule [2] - 8:5, 78:25 Rules [1] - 66:21 run [2] - 34:22, 83:17 runs [1] - 109:14 rural [4] - 45:10, 48:9, 53:17, 63:11 sadly [1] - 105:18 safeguards [1] - 65:12 salute [1] - 19:20 Saratoga [1] - 44:18 satisfy [1] - 31:19 saving [1] - 9:14 saw [1] - 80:24 schedules [1] - 20:14 Schuyler [1] - 104:14 se [1] - 65:8 second [6] - 11:13, 74:22, 78:3, 79:20, 87:16, 90:15 secondary [2] - 37:20, 82:7 secondly [1] - 86:16 Section [1] - 95:21 sector [1] - 78:12 secure [1] - 68:11 securing [1] - 19:4 see [12] - 9:3, 16:17, 27:18, 27:19, 27:25, 28:4, 34:17, 76:19, 78:17, 81:5, 100:19, 111:10 seeing [2] - 2:3, 19:12 seek [1] - 93:24 seeking [1] - 57:5 segregate [1] - 71:11 selling [1] - 54:11 send [3] - 22:8, 55:24, 113:18 sense [6] - 34:6, 34:8, 51:2, 57:2, 59:11, 86:22 sentence [1] - 68:25 separate [1] - 22:14

separately [1] - 71:19 September [2] - 42:8, 75:16 sequence [1] - 41:8 serious [10] - 3:15, 26:9, 26:12, 32:4, 33:16, 33:20, 33:21, 87:7, 88:6, 93:12 service [6] - 8:20, 9:15, 9:24, 31:3, 49:10, 49:14 Services [7] - 1:2, 2:7, 6:8, 63:3, 70:24, 74:4, 104:18 services [12] - 42:14, 49:18, 52:25, 55:2, 66:25, 81:10, 82:2, 85:15, 91:2, 97:24, 104:2, 109:7 serving [2] - 63:11, 95:10 sessions [1] - 105:16 set [12] - 15:3, 68:7, 70:25, 71:21, 75:12, 76:12, 85:5, 101:12, 101:15, 102:19, 102:20, 108:17 sets [2] - 51:16, 64:6 setting [1] - 101:18 Settlement [1] - 63:18 settlement [2] - 7:21, 107:5 seven [2] - 29:12, 51:13 several [4] - 36:19, 44:23, 45:6, 49:2 shake [1] - 14:07 shall [4] - 5:10 share [5] - 7:18 39:22 shared [1] - 19 sharing [2] - 35....... shock [1] - 28:20 show [2] - 16:12, 40:6 shut [1] - 11:19 side [6] - 36:24, 37:2, 55:4, 60:24, 72:8 sign [1] - 89:5 significance [2] - 65:6, 73:14 significant [5] - 32:5, 54:24, 75:5, 96:13, 102:24 similar [4] - 4:16, 37:6, 72:15, 105:19 simple [2] - 48:22, 90:11 simplified [1] - 64:9 simply [3] - 72:22, 81:15, 108:7 single [1] - 50:17 sit [1] - 12:7 sits [1] - 77:24 sitting [3] - 14:24, 73:21, 84:11 situation [9] - 9:2, 12:12, 17:21, 33:19, 36:7, 44:13, 59:2, 75:9, 94:7 situations [4] - 9:5, 38:3, 84:9, 85:22 six [6] - 19:7, 51:13, 61:10, 61:11, 77:18.77:19 size [2] - 59:12, 79:2 skilled [1] - 48:25 smiling [1] - 4:10 smoke [1] - 26:16 snooker [1] - 35:20 snuck [1] - 77:8 so-called [1] - 45:25 social [3] - 33:23, 49:10, 49:13 Society [1] - 60:14 TARA M. DALY MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES, (518) 587 - 6832

sole [3] - 11:10, 67:22, 92:15 solution [2] - 74:5, 85:24 solutions [1] - 89:7 someone [21] - 14:14, 15:13, 16:21, 17:24, 18:7, 25:24, 36:5, 41:4, 48:24, 48:25, 49:9, 50:17, 52:4, 52:5, 53:10, 53:13, 54:10, 56:20, 56:21, 57:2, 59:21 sometimes [12] - 31:7, 40:19, 40:21, 87:15, 91:16, 93:15, 94:2, 94:10, 94:19, 95:14, 99:13, 102:12 somewhere [1] - 55:15 son [1] - 78:3 sorry [5] - 27:19, 35:13, 81:3, 96:9, 104:17 sort [7] - 15:9, 37:6, 58:5, 82:17, 83:3, 83:6, 110:18 sorts [1] - 49:13 sought [1] - 63:20 source [2] - 9:11, 17:6 south [2] - 41:24, 44:20 southern [1] - 19:19 speaker [1] - 91:11 speakers [1] - 78:24 Speakers [1] - 1:18 speaking [5] - 58:14, 89:25, 100:24, 101:5, 106:2 special 11:180 R specific [2] - 33:14, 33:15 specifically [4] - 64:3, 71:4, 102:25, 111:20 spectrum [2] - 10:19, 94:16 spending [3] - 12:10, 73:16, 74:14 spirited [1] - 32:10 spoken [1] - 99:11 spouse [4] - 71:15, 95:3, 95:4, 100:15 squeeze [1] - 32:6 staff [5] - 3:21, 4:15, 21:14, 81:23, 111:25 stage [5] - 65:14, 86:15, 99:4, 99:5, 106:11 stages [1] - 52:25 stand [2] - 32:19, 79:14 standard [2] - 98:13, 103:17 standards [18] - 3:17, 64:2, 64:5, 78:22, 92:10, 97:7, 102:20, 102:22, 103:25, 104:19, 106:21, 107:9, 107:11, 107:16, 107:18, 107:22, 108:4, 109:7 start [4] - 14:10, 14:22, 83:6, 94:6 started [1] - 49:12 starters [1] - 8:2 starting [2] - 14:23, 46:20 starts [1] - 47:15 State [20] - 1:2, 7:7, 7:15, 7:16, 10:2, 15:24, 18:12, 19:9, 50:9, 61:23, 62:20, 62:23, 63:3, 70:23, 73:6, 74:3, 83:9, 104:10, 105:3, 113:5 state [15] - 6:15, 9:22, 13:16, 16:7, 18:4, 20:2, 52:23, 52:24, 75:14, 86:9, 106:22, 106:24, 107:7, 107:9, 111:2

state's [1] - 7:9 statement [3] - 47:7, 61:16, 76:7 statements [2] - 46:15, 47:19 States [1] - 73:6 statewide [6] - 8:19, 70:24, 103:16, 103:24, 104:18, 108:4 statistics [3] - 14:11, 14:23, 16:11 status [7] - 22:16, 79:21, 80:3, 82:12, 85:7, 96:15, 96:16 statute [5] - 3:15, 24:2, 31:19, 48:2, 72:16 statutes [3] - 64:14, 64:25, 65:4 statutorily [1] - 65:16 statutory [2] - 10:25, 92:10 stay [2] - 31:4, 53:11 staying [1] - 103:11 step [2] - 38:9, 99:6 steps [9] - 34:14, 44:12, 57:15, 68:2, 69:3, 79:3, 79:13, 79:18, 96:7 still [5] - 29.9, 35.8, 40.18, 92:3, 99:19 Stipulation [1] - 63:17 stipulation [2] - 71:2, 71:3 stole [1] - 88:8 stop [1] - 94:8 stops [1] - 55:19 stories [1] - 84:14 story [1] - 47:4 strapped [1] - 106:18 stream [1] - 27:11 streamline [1] - 111:6 streams [1] - 26:18 street [1] - 34:17 Street [1] - 1:8 strength [1] - 34:7 stress [1] - 67:9 strong [2] - 4:14, 86:25 strongly [2] - 23:24, 107:22 structured [1] - 37:10 stubs [1] - 30:12 student [1] - 60:5 studies [2] - 51:12 study [1] - 52:3 Stuyvesant [3] - 61:21, 62:13, 62:15 sub [1] - 38:13 subdivision [3] - 44:4, 44:5, 44:9 subject [3] - 5:3, 22:7, 90:25 submit [2] - 24:5, 25:23 submitting [1] - 61:14 subsidizing [1] - 13:3 substituted [1] - 58:23 successor [1] - 48:12 sudden [1] - 41:2 suddenly [1] - 88:11 sufficient [2] - 8:20, 72:6 Suffolk [2] - 44:22, 104:15 suggest [1] - 90:7 suggesting [3] - 8:12, 35:4, 87:6 suggestion [3] - 9:7, 18:16, 58:5

suggestions [5] - 9:17, 13:12, 57:18, 63:15, 83:14 suit [1] - 103:23 supervising [1] - 75:21 supervision [1] - 80:12 support [5] - 19:13, 20:2, 22:5, 23:10, 81:22 supported [1] - 87:23 supporting [1] - 87:25 supportive [1] - 32:3 supposed [3] - 47:3, 47:4, 50:10 supreme [1] - 2:20 Supreme [1] - 111:23 surfaces [1] - 40:10 surprise [3] - 27:6, 27:7 surprises [1] - 36:5 surrounding [1] - 38:23 suspension [1] - 96:16 swear [1] - 73:25 sweep [1] - 23:4 switch [1] - 36:23 sword [1] - 11:23 sympathetic [1] - 94:13 system [38] - 8 11:24, 11:25, 12 15:5, 15:6, 17:2 29:8, 30:25, 46: un. 53:5, 53:14, 54: 78:18, 79:10, 8..., 02.0, 0...0, 89:12, 97:17, 108:6 System [1] - 73:10 systems [2] - 20:6, 109:9 taking-the-bench [1] - 75:6 talks [1] - 57:2 tangle [1] - 41:5 Tannersville [1] - 41:24 Tara [1] - 113:4 TARA [1] - 113:13 task [1] - 90:3 taught [1] - 65:18 tax [2] - 30:11, 94:25 team [1] - 2:8 telephone [3] - 21:25, 29:13, 67:2 telephonically [1] - 22:3 temptation [1] - 93:8 ten [3] - 45:14, 65:25, 70:7 tends [1] - 102:11 term [1] - 21:2 terms [16] - 13:6, 13:10, 15:7, 20:2, 20:3, 39:4, 57:25, 74:20, 80:19, 81:25, 83:3, 84:6, 84:7, 85:3, 86:2, 101:21 terrible [1] - 79:2 testified [1] - 51:11 testify [3] - 77:10, 108:3, 111:14 testimony [5] - 20:10, 40:25, 53:23, 108:9, 113:8 THE [4] - 77:3, 89:15, 96:9, 104:5 theories [1] - 107:24 theory [1] - 99:18 TARA M. DALY MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES,

(518) 587 - 6832

thereby [2] - 90:5, 105:16 thereof [1] - 83:5 thinking [1] - 86:23 third [2] - 111:21, 113:17 third-party [1] - 113:17 thoughts [3] - 5:21, 57:17, 83:7 thousand [7] - 26:22, 54:13, 78:2, 100:5, 101:13, 101:14, 101:16 thousands [2] - 45:3 threat [1] - 51:17 three [5] - 4:13, 33:7, 33:9, 41:8, 76:21 threshold [2] - 15:20, 18:6 throughout [9] - 11:8, 23:22, 44:16, 44:25, 45:10, 49:11, 91:17, 110:13, 111:5 throw [1] - 53:3 Thursday [1] - 1:10 ties [1] - 68:16 timed [1] - 70:6 timers [1] - 56:24 timing [3] - 27:4, 28:19, 29:22 tip [1] - 32:13 Title [1] - 66:20 today [21] - 2:18, 3:13, 6:17, 17:9, 21:11, 22:8, 39:4, 42:24, 62:7, 63:4, 63:12, 64:3, 77:10, 78:15, 81:24, 85:8, 87,25, 94,28, 103,23,104,20,108:3 today's [2] - 69:24, 86:5 together [5] - 17:19, 38:12, 38:15, 79:17, 100:17 took [1] - 49:18 top [1] - 26:23 total [1] - 11:9 totally [2] - 11:21, 12:16 tough [1] - 5:25 toward [1] - 32:13 town [25] - 43:3, 43:20, 44:15, 44:21, 45:3, 45:8, 45:21, 46:25, 47:24, 54:15, 61:13, 61:21, 62:13, 62:15, 62:21, 62:22, 65:17, 66:10, 67:10, 69:9, 72:19, 72:23, 73:8, 73:19 Town [2] - 61:22, 78:4 traffic [1] - 64:9 tragedy [1] - 87:15 tragic [1] - 87:14 trained [1] - 48:25 training [5] - 75:4, 75:6, 75:7, 75:19, 76:2 trainings [1] - 74:18 transcript [2] - 113:9, 113:17 transportation [1] - 14:5 travelled [1] - 94:10 tremendous [2] - 30:7, 38:20 tremendously [1] - 23:12 trenches [4] - 20:24, 21:7, 78:10, 89:23 trial [1] - 94:4 tried [1] - 26:15 Trimble [3] - 1:25, 103:8, 103:18

TRIMBLE [11] - 103:12, 104:7, 104:9, 108:13, 108:22, 109:3, 109:18, 110:4, 110:9, 111:8, 111:11 trip [1] - 110:13 true [3] - 9:17, 104:24, 113:8 truly [2] - 83:19, 83:24 trust [2] - 6:18, 32:4 try [10] - 31:25, 34:23, 36:3, 36:6, 54:19, 60:7, 75:25, 109:20 trying [8] - 5:19, 12:12, 31:3, 34:9, 35:19, 35:20, 38:12 turn [4] - 17:17, 22:23, 36:13, 93:21 turned [2] - 40:18, 56:21 TV-1 [5] - 66:7, 66:15, 67:3, 67:4, 67:22 **TV-2** [4] - 66:7, 66:18, 67:6, 67:23 tweaked [1] - 72:22 twenty [2] - 11:5, 83:10 twenty-five [2] - 11:5, 83:10 two [19] - 14:21, 20:6, 21:14, 29:15, 33:6, 33:9, 48:21, 52:15, 54:4, 59:18, 73:16, 76:14, 76:20, 78:24, 79:4, 79:16, 86:13, 90:11, 111:20 type [4] - 15:8, 46:17, 58:25, 99:21 types [3] - 49:13, 59:18, 82:23 typical [1] - 10:21 typically [2] - 16:5, 51:6 ultimately [4] - 81:18, 84:20, 85:10, 85:23 unable [5] - 64:23, 90:4, 92:12, 95:25, 97:13 unbelievable [1] - 9:19 unclear [1] - 91:16 uncounseled [1] - 105:4 uncovered [1] - 105:3 under [12] - 3:14, 6:21, 9:10, 44:4, 47:18, 72:16, 73:5, 76:24, 86:17, 90:3, 97:23 undercut [1] - 84:4 underfunded [2] - 60:21, 106:17 underneath [1] - 36:9 underrepresentation [1] - 38:21 understaffed [1] - 60:22 underwater [1] - 26:24 unemployed [1] - 101:17 unfair [4] - 59:25, 60:2, 60:3, 95:5 unfamiliar [1] - 93:23 unfortunately [2] - 87:14, 106:12 unified [1] - 53:14 Unified [1] - 73:10 uniform [1] - 78:25 uniformly [1] - 78:25 Union [2] - 103:10, 103:20 unique [1] - 17:20 United [1] - 73:6 unless [7] - 39:16, 39:17, 79:15, 80:3, 85:19, 98:22, 107:24 unlike [2] - 20:18, 48:6 unlikely [1] - 97:2

unnecessary [1] - 30:18 unquote [2] - 55:7, 76:9 unrepresented [2] - 59:23, 102:23 unsealed [2] - 43:10, 43:11 unshaken [1] - 8:7 unusually [1] - 21:10 unwilling [1] - 106:18 up [38] - 6:2, 6:3, 15:3, 15:18, 16:3, 17:3, 17:24, 18:16, 23:14, 33:21, 33:22, 35:11, 35:15, 37:22, 40:4, 40:6, 40:12, 49:22, 50:8, 51:16, 53:18, 54:7, 67:19, 75:13, 76:3, 80:16, 81:13, 85:11, 85:14, 86:10, 88:11, 96:12, 102:16, 102:20, 104:6, 107:24, 108:17 upper [2] - 26:12, 45:9 upside [1] - 89:12 Upstate [5] - 7:12, 12:6, 15:25, 63:11, 73:8 urge [1] - 107:23 US [1] - 84:14 utilize [1] - 8:13 utilized [4] - 9:12, 86:22, 90:16, 92:16 valid [1] - 107:15 100 Valley [2] - 44:00 valuable [1] - 1 value [1] - 38:1 various [4] - 3: vast [2] - 45:20 veiled [1] - 87:"_ verifiable [2] - 46:15, 107:25 version [1] - 108:9 vexing [1] - 74:5 $\textit{view}\, [6]-21{:}6,\, 25{:}9,\, 25{:}15,\, 28{:}23,\,$ 30:17 vigor [1] - 38:22 vigorous [1] - 38:17 village [14] - 44:15, 45:21, 46:25, 47:24, 61:13, 62:21, 62:22, 65:18, 66:10, 69:9, 72:19, 72:23, 73:9, 73:19 violating [1] - 105:17 violation [2] - 22:11, 100:21 violence [2] - 37:17, 94:7 vis [2] - 57:21 vis-a-vis [1] - 57:21 visible [1] - 54:11 visit [1] - 12:8 visits [1] - 12:9 voice [1] - 87:22 **vs** [2] - 2:10, 104:10 Wainwright [2] - 2:10, 2:21 waiting [1] - 73:17 waiver [1] - 65:9 walk [3] - 48:18, 56:19, 100:6 walks [1] - 54:14 Walmart [1] - 88:9 wants [1] - 74:7 Washington [4] - 103:22, 104:15, 105:8, 105:9 wasting [1] - 16:15 TARA M. DALY

watched [2] - 60:9 water [1] - 44:22 waterfall [1] - 98:9 wearing [1] - 102:14 weed [1] - 54:18 week [4] - 29:12, 50:13, 50:23, 73:11 weeks [1] - 80:24 weight [2] - 68:23, 88:6 welcome [2] - 2:16, 103:10 welfare [3] - 49:12, 49:15 west [1] - 17:24 whatnot [1] - 84:16 whereby [1] - 71:10 wherein [1] - 12:12 whole [5] - 7:6, 17:5, 19:14, 33:12, 99:9 wife [1] - 78:5 William [1] - 1:15 willing [1] - 84:4 witness [4] - 3:23, 5:11, 41:14, 47:22 woman [3] - 23:14, 29:5, 88:8 wonder [3] - 10:17, 69:18, 88:23 wondering [1] - 13:5 word [3] - 24:14, 89:2, 102:16 words [1] - 107:11 workload [1] - 107:12 workloads [1] - 10790 RC works [5] - 15:23, 16:6, 31:7, 37:2, 53:16 worse [1] - 32:14 worth [3] - 26:21, 54:19, 57:4 worthiness [1] - 105:13 writing [1] - 61:15 wrongful [1] - 105:3 wrongly [1] - 36:11 year [5] - 20:19, 50:5, 73:12, 75:13, 75.16 years [11] - 2:20, 4:13, 42:3, 45:14, 49:11, 51:13, 57:8, 77:19, 77:20, 80:23 York [41] - 1:2, 1:9, 3:3, 7:7, 7:15, 7:16, 10:2, 11:8, 15:24, 18:12, 19:9, 19:16, 41:24, 45:11, 48:6, 50:9, 60:11, 61:23, 62:20, 62:23, 63:2, 63:12, 66:20, 70:23, 73:6, 73:8, 80:4, 83:9, 86:9, 86:24, 87:13, 97:7, 103:9, 103:20, 104:11, 105:3, 106:13, 107:3, 109:19, 111:10, 113:5 yourself [2] - 47:20, 54:2 §200.26(c [1] - 66:21

MARTIN DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC. (518) 587 - 6832 113